

Celia Wong

From: Rue Statham
Sent: Monday, 25 May 2026 11:05 am
To: Celia Wong; Fiona Harte; Kala Sivaguru; rsimonds; Duffy Visser; Matt Byrne; Martin Meyer; Christian Greff Turza; Regine Leung; Kate Lewis
Cc: Warwick Pascoe; Louise Barclay; Jo Hart
Subject: Re: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Hi Celia

The information provided and the 'no condition 1' does not resolve the matters that I set out in my technical memo.

Regards

Rue Statham
Senior Ecologist
Ecology Advice Team
Environmental Services Department

From: Celia Wong <[REDACTED]nz>
Sent: 25 May 2026 10:59
To: Rue Statham <[REDACTED]>; Fiona Harte <[REDACTED]>;
Kala Sivaguru <[REDACTED]>; rsimonds <[REDACTED]>; Duffy Visser
<[REDACTED]>; Matt Byrne <[REDACTED]>; Martin Meyer
<[REDACTED]>; Christian Greff Turza <[REDACTED]>;
Regine Leung <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Warwick Pascoe <[REDACTED]>; Louise Barclay
<[REDACTED]>; Jo Hart <[REDACTED]>
Subject: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Morena everyone,

Many thanks for your assistance and expertise to date with your feedback for the NW Rapid Transit.

You may be aware that earlier this month the FT Panel made a request for further information relating to the absence of a 'Activity in General Accordance with Plans and Information' Condition 1 as attached.

Since your memos have been provided, the NZTA responded to this request last week (also attached).

In summary, NZTA has reinforced their position in progressing with a 'no Condition 1' approach, where Appendix A sets out how the various specialist assessments have assessed an 'envelope of effects' which will be managed through the designation boundaries/CMA permit areas and the proposed conditions.

Since those specialist assessments (and the Indicative Design) aren't referred to in conditions, from the face of it our concerns relating to a lack of Condition 1 don't appear to have changed.

Much appreciated if you could review your area of expertise in Appendix A and briefly confirm by this **Wednesday 27 May noon** if there are issues that have now been resolved based on the information provided.

Ngā mihi | Kind regards

Celia Wong | Senior Planner
Planning and Resource Consents Department

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Celia Wong

From: Matt Byrne
Sent: Monday, 25 May 2026 12:57 pm
To: Rue Statham; Celia Wong; Fiona Harte; Kala Sivaguru; rsimonds; Duffy Visser; Martin Meyer; Christian Greff Turza; Regine Leung; Kate Lewis
Cc: Warwick Pascoe; Louise Barclay; Jo Hart
Subject: Re: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Hi, Celia

I too can confirm that the information provided regarding "no condition 1" does not resolve the issues I've raised with regard to earthworks, erosion and sediment control and staging.

The application documents contain an indicative design, but none that relates to earthworks. Further, I do not consider that the two conditions that relate to earthworks and erosion and sediment control, are sufficient to avoid, remedy and mitigate any effects which might flow from the "flexibility" that the applicant seeks.

Thanks,

Matthew Byrne

Earthworks, Streamworks & Sediment Management Consultant
Earth, Streams & Trees - Specialist Unit
M: [REDACTED]
Auckland Council, 135 Albert Street, Auckland
Visit our website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

From: Rue Statham <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2026 11:05 AM
To: Celia Wong <[REDACTED]>; Fiona Harte <[REDACTED]>; Kala Sivaguru <[REDACTED]>; rsimonds <[REDACTED]>; Duffy Visser <[REDACTED]>; Matt Byrne <[REDACTED]>; Martin Meyer <[REDACTED]>; Christian Greff Turza <[REDACTED]>; Regine Leung <[REDACTED]>; Kate Lewis <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Warwick Pascoe <[REDACTED]>; Louise Barclay <[REDACTED]>; Jo Hart <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Hi Celia

The information provided and the '*no condition 1*' does not resolve the matters that I set out in my technical memo.

Regards

Rue Statham
Senior Ecologist
Ecology Advice Team
Environmental Services Department

Celia Wong

From: Fiona Harte
Sent: Monday, 25 May 2026 1:17 pm
To: Celia Wong
Cc: Warwick Pascoe
Subject: RE: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Hi Celia,

For the streamworks we condition for final design details and streamworks management - as the application plans were very high level, missing or only indicative.

Reliance on a condition 1 is therefore unsuitable.

Ngā mihi | Kind regards

Fiona Harte | Team Leader

Earth, Streams & Trees | Specialist Unit
Planning & Resource Consents
Ph 09 301 0101 | Mobile [REDACTED]
Auckland Council, Level 6, 135 Albert Street, Auckland Central
Private Bag 92 300 Auckland 1142
Visit our website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

From: Matt Byrne <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, 25 May 2026 12:57 pm
To: Rue Statham <[REDACTED]>; Celia Wong <[REDACTED]>;
Fiona Harte <Fiona.Harte@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>; Kala Sivaguru <Kala.Sivaguru@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>;
rsimonds <[REDACTED]>; Duffy Visser <[REDACTED]>; Martin Meyer
<[REDACTED]>; Christian Greff Turza <[REDACTED]>;
Regine Leung <[REDACTED]>; Kate Lewis <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Warwick Pascoe <[REDACTED]>; Louise Barclay
<[REDACTED]>; Jo Hart <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Hi, Celia

I too can confirm that the information provided regarding "no condition 1" does not resolve the issues I've raised with regard to earthworks, erosion and sediment control and staging.

The application documents contain an indicative design, but none that relates to earthworks. Further, I do not consider that the two conditions that relate to earthworks and erosion and sediment control, are sufficient to avoid, remedy and mitigate any effects which might flow from the "flexibility" that the applicant seeks.

Thanks,

Matthew Byrne

Earthworks, Streamworks & Sediment Management Consultant

Earth, Streams & Trees - Specialist Unit

M: [REDACTED]

Auckland Council, 135 Albert Street, Auckland

Visit our website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

From: Rue Statham <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 25, 2026 11:05 AM
To: Celia Wong <[REDACTED]>; Fiona Harte <[REDACTED]>; Kala Sivaguru <[REDACTED]>; rsimonds <[REDACTED]>; Duffy Visser <[REDACTED]>; Matt Byrne <[REDACTED]>; Martin Meyer <[REDACTED]>; Christian Greff Turza <[REDACTED]>; Regine Leung <[REDACTED]>; Kate Lewis <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Warwick Pascoe <[REDACTED]>; Louise Barclay <[REDACTED]>; Jo Hart <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Hi Celia

The information provided and the 'no condition 1' does not resolve the matters that I set out in my technical memo.

Regards

Rue Statham
Senior Ecologist
Ecology Advice Team
Environmental Services Department

From: Celia Wong <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 25 May 2026 10:59
To: Rue Statham <[REDACTED]>; Fiona Harte <[REDACTED]>; Kala Sivaguru <[REDACTED]>; rsimonds <[REDACTED]>; Duffy Visser <[REDACTED]>; Matt Byrne <[REDACTED]>; Martin Meyer <[REDACTED]>; Christian Greff Turza <[REDACTED]>; Regine Leung <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Warwick Pascoe <[REDACTED]>; Louise Barclay <[REDACTED]>; Jo Hart <[REDACTED]>
Subject: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Morena everyone,

Many thanks for your assistance and expertise to date with your feedback for the NW Rapid Transit.

You may be aware that earlier this month the FT Panel made a request for further information relating to the absence of a 'Activity in General Accordance with Plans and Information' Condition 1 as attached.

Since your memos have been provided, the NZTA responded to this request last week (also attached).

In summary, NZTA has reinforced their position in progressing with a 'no Condition 1' approach, where Appendix A sets out how the various specialist assessments have assessed an 'envelope of effects' which will be managed through the designation boundaries/CMA permit areas and the proposed conditions.

Since those specialist assessments (and the Indicative Design) aren't referred to in conditions, from the face of it our concerns relating to a lack of Condition 1 don't appear to have changed.

Much appreciated if you could review your area of expertise in Appendix A and briefly confirm by this **Wednesday 27 May noon** if there are issues that have now been resolved based on the information provided.

Ngā mihi | Kind regards

Celia Wong | Senior Planner
Planning and Resource Consents Department

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Auckland Council, Level 6, Manukau Civic Centre, 31 Manukau Station Road, Manukau 2014

Visit our website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz



CAUTION: This email message and any attachments contain information that may be confidential and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this message or attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email message in error please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We do not accept responsibility for any viruses or similar carried with our email, or any effects our email may have on the recipient computer system or network. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Council.

Celia Wong

From: Regine Leung
Sent: Monday, 25 May 2026 1:25 pm
To: Celia Wong
Cc: Warwick Pascoe; Louise Barclay; Jo Hart
Subject: RE: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Hi Celia,

I can confirm that the information provided and the 'no condition 1' approach do NOT change the tree matters that I set out in my technical memo.

Ngā mihi | Kind regards,

**Regine Hoi Gok Leung | Senior Arborist
Earth, Streams and Trees | Specialist Unit
Planning and Resource Consents**

Mob [REDACTED] | Email: [REDACTED]
Auckland Council, Level 6, 135 Albert Street
Private Bag 92 300, Auckland 1142
Visit our website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

From: Celia Wong <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, 25 May 2026 10:59 am
To: Rue Statham <[REDACTED]>; Fiona Harte <[REDACTED]>;
Kala Sivaguru <[REDACTED]>; rsimonds <[REDACTED]>; Duffy Visser
<[REDACTED]>; Matt Byrne <[REDACTED]>; Martin Meyer
<[REDACTED]>; Christian Greff Turza <[REDACTED]>;
Regine Leung <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Warwick Pascoe <[REDACTED]>; Louise Barclay
<[REDACTED]>; Jo Hart <[REDACTED]>
Subject: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach
Importance: High

Morena everyone,

Many thanks for your assistance and expertise to date with your feedback for the NW Rapid Transit.

You may be aware that earlier this month the FT Panel made a request for further information relating to the absence of a 'Activity in General Accordance with Plans and Information' Condition 1 as attached.

Since your memos have been provided, the NZTA responded to this request last week (also attached).

In summary, NZTA has reinforced their position in progressing with a 'no Condition 1' approach, where Appendix A sets out how the various specialist assessments have assessed an 'envelope of effects' which will be managed through the designation boundaries/CMA permit areas and the proposed conditions.

Since those specialist assessments (and the Indicative Design) aren't referred to in conditions, from the face of it our concerns relating to a lack of Condition 1 don't appear to have changed.

Much appreciated if you could review your area of expertise in Appendix A and briefly confirm by this **Wednesday 27 May noon** if there are issues that have now been resolved based on the information provided.

Ngā mihi | Kind regards

Celia Wong

From: Christian Greff Turza
Sent: Tuesday, 26 May 2026 9:28 am
To: Matt Byrne; Rue Statham; Celia Wong; Fiona Harte; Kala Sivaguru; rsimonds; Duffy Visser; Martin Meyer; Regine Leung; Kate Lewis
Cc: Warwick Pascoe; Louise Barclay; Jo Hart
Subject: RE: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Morena Celia,

I concur with Matt and Rue.

The absence of Condition 1 will significantly limit our ability to monitor the site and undertake enforcement actions if required. Without approved plans and methodologies, we will not be able to verify whether the extent of works complies with the consent, and assessing compliance against permitted activity standards may be complex for projects of this scale; especially considering the different locations.

Hope this helps!

Ngā mihi | Kind regards,

Christian Greff Turza (He/Him) | Senior Environmental Monitoring Officer – Region Wide Environmental Monitoring Licensing and Compliance department | Te wāhanga mō te Tuku Raihana me te Ū ki ngā Ture Community Directorate | Te kāhui o te tari ā-hapori
Phone [REDACTED]
Auckland Council, Level 7, 135 Albert Street, Auckland Central
Visit our website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Please note that all administrative work and / or site inspections that are undertaken will be on-charged to the consent holder.

If you'd like further clarification on what we do as environmental monitoring officers, please see the link: [Environmental Monitoring](#)

Useful information:

[Resource Consent Conditions and Monitoring | GD05: Erosion and Sediment Control | Building Site Management](#)

Council Call Centre: 09 301 0101 | 24 hr Pollution Hotline: 09 377 3107 | Planning Help Desk: 09 3539356 | Building Help Desk: 09 3539358

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From: Matt Byrne <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, 25 May 2026 12:57 pm
To: Rue Statham <[REDACTED]>; Celia Wong <[REDACTED]>;
Fiona Harte <[REDACTED]>; Kala Sivaguru <[REDACTED]>;
rsimonds <[REDACTED]>; Duffy Visser <[REDACTED]>; Martin Meyer
<[REDACTED]>; Christian Greff Turza <[REDACTED]>;
Regine Leung <[REDACTED]>; Kate Lewis <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Warwick Pascoe <[REDACTED]>; Louise Barclay
<[REDACTED]>; Jo Hart <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Celia Wong

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 28 May 2026 4:41 pm
To: Celia Wong; Warwick Pascoe
Cc: Marija Jukic
Subject: RE: BUN60461580 NW Rapid Transit (Premium Fast Track) - NZTA Response to 'no Condition 1' approach

Good Afternoon Celia and Warwick,

Apologies for the late response, as I have just returned from leave.

I too can confirm that the information provided regarding "no condition 1" does not resolve the issues that I've raised, in my Fast Track Memorandum, dated 18 May 2026, with regard to groundwater drawdown, dewatering and any associated total settlement effects on nearby structures, buildings and/or services.

I note that the Application documents contain an indicative design, however no information relating to proposed excavations in proximity to third-party assets adjacent to the Designation boundary, has been provided.

The response in relation to groundwater and settlement given in Appendix A of the Memorandum of Counsel for NZTA, dated 19 May 2026, does not address the concerns that were outlined in my Fast Track Memorandum, dated 18 May 2026.

In addition, I note that that no Water Permit conditions have been proposed in the Application.

Conclusion

It my opinion, that based on the information provided to date, NZTA has not provided an appropriate assessment and has not provided suitable measures to avoid, remedy and mitigate any settlement effects that might occur as a result of the proposed activity.

I do not support the absence of a 'Activity in General Accordance with Plans and Information' Condition

If you have any queries please let me know.

Regards,

Richard Simonds – Principal Engineering Geologist



www.fraserthomas.co.nz

P 09 2787078 ext 7857 M [REDACTED]

Auckland: Level G, 17 Sultan Street, Ellerslie, 1051. Postal address: PO Box 11098, Ellerslie, Auckland 1542, NZ

Christchurch | Hawkes Bay | Tauranga | Nelson | Blenheim