

# Attachment G

## Attachment G - Consultation Register

Date	Form	Action / outcome
<b><i>Mahaanui Kurataiao Limited (MKT) on behalf of Te Taumutu Rūnanga</i></b>		
16 June 2025	Emails from Davie Lovell Smith ( <i>DLS</i> ) to s 9(2)(a) (MKT)	DLS introducing the Project and requesting a hui.
30 June 2025	Meeting with s 9(2)(a) to discuss the Project	MKT to prepare preliminary advice in relation to the Project based on the information provided by DLS. HDL recognises that the impacts on tikanga Māori cannot be assessed without a complete application, which will be provided as part of the substantive application if the Project is referred.
6 August 2025	Preliminary mana whenua advice received from MKT	Advice includes recommendations. The way in which the Project will respond to those recommendations is set out in <b>Attachment F</b> .
20 August 2025	Phone correspondence with s 9(2)(a)	Confirming that the preliminary mana whenua advice and the recommendations in that advice similarly apply to the proposed subdivision of 700 lots (noting the preliminary advice references 450).
<b><i>Whitiara Centre Limited on behalf of Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga</i></b>		
25 July 2025, 3 September 2025	Emails from Greenwood Roche to Whitiara, advising about the Project and requesting the opportunity to meet to discuss, providing an update on the same	-
9 October 2025, 15 October	Email from Greenwood Roche to Whitiara, completing consultation form, follow up email with information about the Project.	-
31 October 2025	Receipt of preliminary feedback from Whitiara	Preliminary feedback identifies that there are matters of interest and concern to Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga, including the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Management of earthworks.</li> <li>• Availability and efficient use of water.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reticulation of wastewater.</li> <li>• Protection of surface waterbodies and the habitat they provide for fish and invertebrates.</li> <li>• Use of indigenous and taonga species as part of landscape treatments.</li> </ul> <p>Preliminary feedback emphasises that the preferred approach to management in the takiwā requires taking an intergenerational view of the environment alongside the capacity of natural resources to absorb the development.</p> <p>The feedback also expresses a preference in approach to land development that is informed by, and responds to, the presence of surface and ground water bodies, biodiversity, the retention of trees, the retention of springs and the retention of natural landforms, all of which contribute to environmental quality and preserve the physical distinctiveness of specific locations.</p> <p>Requests further information on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed riparian treatment of the water races and their protection from later encroachment (concern expressed regarding potential use of the water races as individual allotment boundaries).</li> <li>• The presence of fish within the water race and any recommendations from an ecologist on the management of fish during construction.</li> <li>• Confirmation on the presence of any springs within the site.</li> </ul> <p>Confirms expectation for land development to be undertaken in accordance with best practice, with conditions addressing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• best practice erosion and sediment control during earthworks;</li> <li>• best practice measures for dust management during earthworks;</li> <li>• an Accidental Discovery Protocol during earthworks;</li> <li>• implementation of any ecological management plans for the transfer of fish;</li> <li>• implementation of landscape planting incorporating indigenous species where possible;</li> <li>• implementation of best practice methods for stormwater management; and</li> <li>• connection to reticulated networks for water supply and wastewater.</li> </ul>
31 October 2025	Email to Whitiara from Greenwood Roche (on behalf of HDL)	Responses set out in <b>Attachment F</b> .

	responding to further information requests / recommendations regarding best practice land development.	
31 October 2025	Email from Whitiara to Greenwood Roche	<p>Confirming that the responses provided on behalf of HDL are satisfactory to Whitiara for the purposes of the referral application.</p> <p>Confirming also that Whitiara would like copies of the relevant technical reports and plans if the Project is referred, and confirming that Kaitiaki for Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga may have additional comments or queries throughout the Fast-track Approvals Act process.</p>
<b><i>Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu</i></b>		
18 November 2025	Email from Greenwood Roche to Te Rūnanga o Ngai Tahu	Introducing the Project, summarising the consultation with Papatipu Rūnanga (through Whitiara and MKT) and requesting confirmation as to whether Te Rūnanga would like to engage further with HDL in relation to the Project at this stage.
28 November 2025	Email from Te Rūnanga o Ngai Tahu (s 9(2)(a) ██████████) to DLS	<p>Confirming that:</p> <p><i>Given that there has been engagement with Papatipu Rūnanga, we have determined that further engagement with Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu is not required at this stage. This may change if during processing of the application, Papatipu Rūnanga or the office of Te Runanga identifies a reason for further involvement from the office. However, based on the information provided, unless there are changes to the application, I am not anticipating this to occur.</i></p>
<b><i>New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi</i></b>		
19 September 2025	DLS attending meeting with s 9(2)(a) ██████████ (NZTA) to discuss the transport aspects of the Project	Confirmation that no vehicle access will be provided to SH73, and that the Project will comply with the relevant acoustic treatment requirements for sites adjoining a state highway.
22 September 2025	Follow-up email correspondence from DLS to s 9(2)(a) ██████████ addressing key points of the meeting	Agreement to provide NZTA with the referral application once it is completed and lodged, and to continue communicating throughout the substantive application phase as the key transport aspects of the Project are developed.
<b><i>Selwyn District Council</i></b>		

8 October 2025	Meeting between DLS and s 9(2)(a) (Consents Team Leader), s 9(2)(a) (Surface Water Engineer), s 9(2)(a) (Policy Team Leader), s 9(2)(a) (Consultant Development Engineer)	<p>Discussed key aspects of the Project, including density (noting the directions of the Large Lot Residential zone and the Development Plan) and servicing arrangements.</p> <p>SDC agreed in principle with the proposed stormwater discharge methods.</p> <p>SDC agreed that a pump station would be necessary to enable connection to the recently installed and operational wastewater discharge network.</p> <p>HDL will work with SDC to facilitate the installation of the gravity wastewater network to the site.</p> <p>HDL confirmed that emergency storage in wastewater pump station can be provided along with buffering of peak storm flow through additional storage at the pump station.</p> <p>Confirmed there is sufficient capacity in Darfield water supply to accommodate the Project.</p> <p>HDL and SDC agreed to work together proactively throughout the process noting the Fast-track Approval Act timeframes are tight. HDL's experts to continue engaging with the infrastructure asset team.</p>
9 October 2025	Email to and from SDC (s 9(2)(a) )	Confirming meeting notes.
<b>Environment Canterbury</b>		
10 October 2025	Meeting with s 9(2)(a) (Project Management Officer), s 9(2)(a) (Principal Consents Advisor), s 9(2)(a) (Team Leader), s 9(2)(a) (Consents Planner)	<p>Agreement between ECan and HDL to continue working together through the Fast-track Approvals process. HDL to provide the referral application as soon as it has been lodged (and if approved, the draft substantive application prior to lodging).</p> <p>ECan agreed with the types of resource consent that will be required for the Project.</p> <p>ECan emphasised the importance of using management plans for managing construction activity. HDL agreed that this would be the proposed approach – to be secured through consent conditions.</p> <p>ECan will review lizard management, if required, noting that Wildlife permits will be approved by the Department of Conservation.</p>
<b>Department of Conservation</b>		

29 October 2025	Meeting between DLS and s 9(2)(a) (Department of Conservation) to discuss the Project.	<p>DLS provided an overview of the Project, including specific matters of relevance to the Department of Conservation - in particular, HDL's proposed approach to managing wildlife and flora.</p> <p>HDL confirmed that Boffa Miskell would be undertaking a site survey for lizards, and will provide recommendations for managing the capture and relocation of lizards, if discovered.</p> <p>HDL confirmed that the scope of the referral application will include wildlife permits. However, HDL will engage with the Department of Conservation following receipt of the Boffa Miskell survey to confirm if wildlife permits will be required and intended approach to management.</p> <p>HDL to provide the Department of Conservation with a copy of the referral application as soon as it has been lodged.</p> <p>If the referral application is approved, the Department of Conservation will assemble the relevant team to inform feedback on the substantive application, as necessary.</p>
<b>Ministry for the Environment</b>		
26 September 2025	Letter from Greenwood Roche to the Ministry for the Environment (administering agency)	Introducing the Project and providing the opportunity for engagement in relation to the referral application.
17 November 2025	Letter from the Ministry for the Environment to Greenwood Roche	Section 11 letter confirming that as part of the referral application, an assessment of the Project against relevant national directions must be completed. Noted.