

Submission Number	Submitter	Issue / comment number	Issue or comment	Response	Reference document
1	Hon Paul Goldsmith Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations	1	The Minister has no comments	FNSF thanks Hon Paul Goldsmith, Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations for his consideration.	Attachment 18. Letters to the Ministers 26 Feb 2026 re s53 comments
2	Hon Penny Simmonds Minister for the Environment	2	The Minister notes that potential adverse effect on biodiversity may be manageable through the setting of conditions.	FNSF thanks Hon Penny Simmonds Minister for the Environment for her comments and notes that the conditions have been strengthened as she suggested.	Attachment 18. Letters to the Ministers 26 Feb 2026 re s53 comments
		3	The Minister notes that while the biodiversity values on the site are degraded, there are also rare and critically endangered in some instances. I suggest that you consider strengthening some of the conditions to ensure that identified information gaps are addressed and provide for clearer management responses		Attachment 18. Letters to the Ministers 26 Feb 2026 re s53 comments
		4	For example, I suggest that: a. pre-construction lizard and terrestrial invertebrate surveys are required, b. invertebrate management requirements extend beyond a single species, c. avifauna management conditions clarify that surveys be undertaken immediately prior during the breeding season, d. management plans to be updated if surveys identify higher value habitats or species than anticipated, e. ensuring indigenous planting and the management approach is appropriate to the context.		FNSF agrees with these comments and they are now part of the ecological management plans which will form part of the conditions.
3	Hon Simon Watts Minister for Energy	5	The Minister considered how this project would support the purpose of the Act, i.e. to facilitate the delivery of infrastructure and development projects with significant regional or national benefits.	FNSF thanks Hon Simon Watts Minister for Energy for his comments and considerations.	Attachment 18. Letters to the Ministers 26 Feb 2026 re s53 comments
		6	The minister considers the following significant national benefits: - The proposed solar farm would be very large by New Zealand standards and make a significant contribution to national electricity supply if constructed. Renewable electricity generation support multiple outcomes including: -Contribution to security of energy supply, -Downward pressure in wholesale prices, -Contribution to climate change targets.	FNSF appreciates the Minister's support and agrees with his comments, with thanks.	Attachment 18. Letters to the Ministers 26 Feb 2026 re s53 comments
4	Meridian Energy Limited	7	Meridian suggested that it appears that a small part of the proposed solar array and proposed landscape planting along the true left of the Twizel River is located within the Hydro Inundation Hazard Overlay shown in the Mackenzie District Plan.	FNSF thanks Meridian for their comments and notes the risks highlighted. The solar farm is adjacent to the Hydro Inundation Hazard Overlay, but does avoid it. In this case the condition is not necessary or required by Meridian. We thank Meridian for the offer to work together to understand these risks and FNSF will approach Meridian regarding this in the future. The detailed part of the Mackenzie District Plan overlay map is attached. Refer to 'Hydro Inundation Hazard Area Map' and also the MDC submission.	Attachment 1. Hydro Inundation Hazard Area Map

5	Hon Chris Bishop Minister Responsible for RMA Reform, Minister for Infrastructure	8	<p>The Minister noted that renewable energy projects are important for growth and prosperity.</p> <p>He noted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Section 6 Matters of national importance - protecting outstanding natural features - Whether the significance of the impacts on the ONL are outweighed by the significance of the project's benefits will be a matter for the expert panel to determine. - National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation 2011 Amended December 2025 (NPS-REG) - He noted that the project is broadly consistent with the NPS-REG and that the NPS-REG allows for development in all environments and locations, but that the expert panel is best placed to assess these points. - National Policy Statement for Electricity Networks 2008 - Amended December 2025 (NPS-EN) - This project is not considered contrary to this. - Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 (NES-ETA) - The new structures will be an upgrade and an increase in capacity to the existing network. This aspect of the proposal includes new transmission lines for the purpose of electricity transmission and is considered consistent with the NES-ETA - Resource Management (Consenting and Other System Changes) Amendment Act 2025 - Far North Solar Limited's request for their discharge permits to be issued for 35 years with a 10-year consent duration for construction activities is consistent with the Amendment Act and will provide certainty of renewable electricity generation from The Point Solar Farm project. 	<p>FNSF thanks Hon Chris Bishop Minister Responsible for RMA Reform and Minister for Infrastructure for his comments and broad support of such projects.</p> <p>These issues are discussed in attachments including Attachment 10. Statement of Evidence - Laila Alkamil - The Point_250226</p>	<p>Attachment 10. Statement of Evidence - Laila Alkamil - The Point_250226 ; Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments ; Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 18. Letters to the Ministers 26 Feb 2026 re s53 comments</p>
6	AECL on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua	9	Positive Aspects of Solar and Overall Position (8-9, 89-90)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		10	Consultation and Engagement (10-22, Table 1)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		11	Concerns with Substantive Application – Cultural Impact Assessment and Planning Provisions (23–32)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		12	Archaeological Sites and Wāhi Tapu (33)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		13	Ecological Assessment and Taonga Species (34–42)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		14	Water Supply and Fire Risk (43-45)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		15	National and Regional Benefits (46–49)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		16	Loss of Connection to Site and Te Manahuna (50–59)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		17	Cumulative Effects – Multiple Solar Farms in Te Manahuna (60–79, Figure 1, Table 2)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		18	FNSF acknowledges the specific cumulative ecosystem concerns raised (paras 73–78):	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		19	Renewable Energy Efficiencies and Broader Concerns (70-72, 81–85)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		20	Collaborative Approach to Ecological Restoration and Pest Management (86–87)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		21	Conclusions (88–90)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
7	Minister for Māori Development (Hon Tama Potaka)	22	<p>The Minister supports the project subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The Expert Panel having regard to the statutory acknowledgements of the PSGE as outlined in the Section 18 report. b. The Expert Panel considering the impact of the proposal on taonga species; and c. The Expert Panel considering the adequacy of the consultation carried out by the applicant with the relevant identified Māori rūpū under section 11(b). 	<p>FNSF thanks Hon Tama Potaka Minister for Māori Development for his support and are confident the Panel is considering those matters, as we are.</p>	-

8	Hon James Meager Minister for the South Island, Minister for Hunting and Fishing	23	<p>The Minister has considered the information provided and notes that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The project's contribution to renewable energy generation and grid-connected supply supports regional and national energy objectives -It will provide energy generation to reduce reliance on hydro during any summer periods -This has the added environmental benefit of keeping more water in the hydro scheme while reducing energy during the high-demand irrigation season -That as the Minister for Hunting and Fishing, he has been advised this project has little to no impact on hunting and fishing interests in the area. <p>On that basis, he supports this project going ahead.</p>	FNSF thanks Hon James Meager Minister for the South Island, Minister for Hunting and Fishing for his support and his analysis of the project.	Attachment 18. Letters to the Ministers 26 Feb 2026 re s53 comments
9	Canterbury Regional Council	24	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Overall Position and Consistency with CRPS, LWRP and CARP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan (LWRP; Indigenous Biodiversity, outstanding Natural landscape, Land Use, Infrastructure, Water and Hazards) - Canterbury Air Regional Plan (CARP) 2. Consent Requirements and Scope (construction stormwater) 3. Terrestrial Ecology Effects – Avifauna (Response: Wildlands February 2026 Vegetation and Habitat Surveys and Avifauna Management Plan) 4. 14 ha Invertebrate Reserve (Response: Wildlands Invertebrate Management Plan and Vegetation Management Plan) 7. Night-time Panel Positioning 8. Conditions – Form, Enforceability and Linkage to Management Plans 9. Wildlife Act Approvals 10. Stormwater and Earthworks 	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 23. FNSF Response to CRC comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 23. FNSF Reponse to CRC Comments Attachment 24. Haigh Workman's Draft ESCP Plan Attachment 10. Statement of Evidence - Laila Alkamil - The Point_250226 Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026 Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26 Attachment 14. 6621h-ii The Point Invertebrate Management Plan DRAFT 23 02 2026 Attachment 16. 6621h-iv Pest Mammal Management Plan 23 02 2026 DRAFT.pdf Attachment 22. 6621h-vi Wildlands Response to s53.pdf
10	Department of Conservation	25	Statutory Framework and Policy Context	These are covered in FNSF DOC response	Attachment 25. FNSF Response to DOC comments
		26	Consistency with NPS-REG (Policies B and F)	These are covered in FNSF DOC response	Attachment 25. FNSF Response to DOC comments
		27	RMA s6 matters (indigenous biodiversity, outstanding landscapes, natural character)	These are covered in FNSF DOC response	Attachment 25. FNSF Response to DOC comments
		28	NPS-IB, CRPS and CLWRP	These are covered in FNSF DOC response	Attachment 25. FNSF Response to DOC comments
		29	Conservation Act 1987 (marginal strip concession)	These are covered in FNSF DOC response	Attachment 25. FNSF Response to DOC comments
		30	Terrestrial Ecology - Flora, Invertebrates, Lizards (para 4.12-4.17)	These are covered in the Wildlands response and RMM Landscape Memo (regarding irrigation)	Attachment 22. 6621h-vi Wildlands Response to s53 ; Attachment 4. 20260226 FNSF ThePoint_FT_S53Response_Landscape_Final.pdf
		31	Ecological Enhancement & Compensation (submission point 10.3)	These are covered in the Wildlands reponse	Attachment 22. 6621h-vi Wildlands Response to s53
		32	Cumulative Effects (paras 5.23–5.35; 5.44–5.69)	These are covered in the Wildlands reponse	Attachment 22. 6621h-vi Wildlands Response to s53
		33	Conditions and Management Plans (submission point 10.5)	These are covered in the Wildlands response and the conditions	Attachment 22. 6621h-vi Wildlands Response to s53
		34	Survey and Baseline Information (submission point 10.7)	These are covered in the Wildlands reponse	Attachment 22. 6621h-vi Wildlands Response to s53
		35	Wildlife Act Approvals (submission point 10.8)	These are covered in the Wildlands reponse	Attachment 22. 6621h-vi Wildlands Response to s53

11	Transpower	36	<p>Plant Road / Accessways: Transpower requested confirmation that internal accessways servicing National Grid assets provide a minimum 6m physical width.</p> <p>Fencing and Gates: Transpower requested that fencing across the corridor maintain access and comply with NZECP34</p> <p>Machinery, Mobile Plant and Clearances: Transpower requires clarity and to ensure vehicles and any loads being lifted or transported underneath the transmission lines also comply with NZECP34:2001 requirements.</p> <p>Vegetation and Landscaping: Transpower comments in relation to the planting proposed near the National Grid assets.</p> <p>Transpower Construction Management Plan (TCMP): National Grid transmission line work will need to be carefully managed to avoid any impacts on the National Grid and minimise risk to people and plant during the construction of the solar farm</p>	<p>FNSF confirms that all the concerns referred to in Transpower submission are incorporated and addressed in the project design.</p> <p>And the amended conditions will be supplied on 3rd of March 2026, following the review from the condition specialist.</p>	<p>Attachment 2. FNSF Response to Transpower</p> <p>Attachment 3. FNSF Transpower Email for confirmation</p>
		37	<p>Vegetation and Landscaping: Transpower comments in relation to the planting proposed near the National Grid assets.</p>	<p>Landscape Mitigation Plan has been updated to capture Transpower comments</p>	<p>Attachment 5. 20260226_FNSF_ThePoint_FT_S53Response_Landscape_Appendix1.pdf</p>
12	NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi (NZTA)	38	<p>NZTA has reviewed the document submitted titled 'Proposed Conditions – Dated 16 June 2025' and considers that further amendments and additions to this document are required to address the concerns raised.</p> <p>The amended conditions are:</p> <p>-The applicant prepare a CMP that is certified by NZTA before construction commences, covering:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> No more than 15 heavy vehicle trips per day All deliveries to occur within the site Methods to ensure controls of sediment and erosion Adjacent landowners and occupiers liaison during the construction phase. <p>-A condition regarding the upgrade of the vehicle crossing onto Bendrose Farm to meet the Type E standard as per NZTA Planning Policy Manual and the State Highway Geometric Design Manual</p> <p>The Conclusion of the submission covers the following issues:</p> <p>- Glint and Glare - NZTA has noted in their point 5.1 that conditions are provided, but we understand this is now resolved and no condition was provided or required.</p> <p>- Upgrade of vehicle crossing on SH8. NZTA welcomes further discussion on this upgrade.</p>	<p>FNSF confirms that the matters raised are either already addressed within the Transport Impact and Construction Traffic Management Plan (dated 28 January 2026) or are accepted in principle. This includes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Upgrade of the southwestern access vehicle crossing to NZTA Planning Policy Manual Diagram E (Type E) standard. Requirement for a Corridor Access Request (CAR) prior to works within SH8; Section 91 approval under the Government Roadway Powers Act 1989; Limiting heavy vehicle movements to 15 deliveries per day; Ensuring all deliveries occur wholly within the site; Implementation of a Traffic Management Plan (TMP/CTMP); and Erosion/sediment control and reinstatement measures (also share the final copy of ESCP soon with site route) <p>FNSF is comfortable with the proposed conditions and advice notes and will ensure these are clearly reflected in the final consent condition set and CTMP documentation.</p>	<p>Attachment 6. REG_FNSF RFI Response_Transport Impact and Construction Traffic Management Plan</p> <p>Attachment 7. FNSF NZTA Email Comments from NZTA resolved - The Point Solar Farm FTAA application (2026-0065)</p> <p>Attachment 8. FTAA_FNSF NZTA Submission</p>
		39	<p>Comments relating to cumulative effects</p>	<p>These have been addressed in the RMM paper attached.</p>	<p>Attachment 4. 20260226_FNSF_ThePoint_FT_S53Response_Landscape_Final</p>
14	Environmental Defence Society Inc (EDS)	40	<p>EDS comments para 1–3: Support for renewables in right location; whether this is the right location; SNA/ONL status.</p>	<p>This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.</p>	<p>Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments; Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026</p>
		41	<p>EDS comments para 4: Interrelationship between ONL and indigenous dryland ecological values.</p>	<p>This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments</p>	<p>Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments</p>
		42	<p>EDS comments para 5(a): Collision risk to Threatened/At-Risk birds.</p>	<p>This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments</p>	<p>Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments</p>
		43	<p>EDS comments para 5(b): Project site forms part of Pukaki outwash ecosystem; nationally significant plants and fauna present.</p>	<p>This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.</p>	<p>Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026</p>

		44	EDS comments para 5(c)–(d): Inadequate information; unknown critical and endemic values.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26 ; Attachment 14. 6621h-ii The Point Invertebrate Management Plan DRAFT 23 02 2026 ; Attachment 15. 6621h-iii The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26v2 ; Attachment 16. 6621h-iv Pest Mammal Management Plan 23 02 2026 DRAFT ; Attachment 17. 6621i The Point Solar Farm Lizard Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26
		45	EDS comments para 6: Significant terrestrial ecology, invertebrate and avifauna values not identified; unacceptable risk.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments ; Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026 ; Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26 ; Attachment 14. 6621h-ii The Point Invertebrate Management Plan DRAFT 23 02 2026
		46	EDS comments para 7: Absence of sufficient information invokes s 104(6) RMA; decline under s 85 FTAA.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26 ; Attachment 14. 6621h-ii The Point Invertebrate Management Plan DRAFT 23 02 2026 ; Attachment 15. 6621h-iii The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26v2 ; Attachment 16. 6621h-iv Pest Mammal Management Plan 23 02 2026 DRAFT ; Attachment 17. 6621i The Point Solar Farm Lizard Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26
		47	EDS comments para 8–9: Project in wider ONL; persuasive Environment Court findings from Mackenzie Plan Change litigation.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments
		48	EDS comments para 10: Reliance on PC13 and PC18 factual findings.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments
		49	EDS comments para 11: Tipping point (para 11a); Threatened plant values in Appendices B & C (p 11b).	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments; Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026
		50	EDS comments para 12: PC18 findings on 36 Threatened / 55 At Risk plants in Tekapo outwash plains.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026
		51	EDS comments para 13: Ecological and landscape effects of solar farms require careful management.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26 ; Attachment 14. 6621h-ii The Point Invertebrate Management Plan DRAFT 23 02 2026 ; Attachment 15. 6621h-iii The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26v2 ; Attachment 16. 6621h-iv Pest Mammal Management Plan 23 02 2026 DRAFT ; Attachment 17. 6621i The Point Solar Farm Lizard Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26
		52	EDS comments para 14: Planning framework seeks to avoid adverse effects unless functional/operational need exists.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments
		53	EDS comments para 16: Cumulative assessment; appropriateness vs alternatives with lesser biodiversity.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments
		54	EDS comments para 17: Renewable generation should avoid material adverse impacts even where functional need is established.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments
		55	EDS comments para 18: Serious information gaps generating unacceptable risk; not addressed by conditions.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments
		56	EDS comments para 19: Landscape assessment cannot reach concluded view without understanding ecological/habitat values.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026
		57	EDS comments para 20: Interrelationship means benefits of landscape mitigation may be overstated.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026
		58	EDS comments para 21–23, 26: FTAA discretion to decline; benefits vs adverse impacts; decision-making framework; interpretation of 'facilitate'.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 10. Statement of Evidence - Laila Alkamil - The Point_250226
		59	EDS comments para 32: No economic assessment; 'significant national benefits' unsupported.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments ; Attachment 10. Statement of Evidence - Laila Alkamil - The Point_250226

		60	EDS comments para 40, 44–46, 51, 52, 54: Ecological impacts; NPS-REG and s 6 RMA; offsetting; net gain; NPS-IB policies 9.3.1–9.3.6.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026 ; Refer to Attachment 10. Statement of Evidence - Laila Alkamil - The Point_250226
		61	EDS comments para 55: Landscape impacts.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 4. 20260226_FNSF_ThePoint_FT_S53Response_Landscape_Final
		62	EDS comments para 56: Interrelationship and overstated mitigation benefits.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026
		63	EDS comments para 57–58: Panel assessment of landscape effects and relevant planning provisions.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026
		64	EDS comments para 59–65: Cumulative landscape and visual impacts (The Point + Haldon + Waitaki HEPS).	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments	Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments
		65	EDS comments para 66–74: Conditions of consent.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 11. FNSF Response to EDS comments and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 10. Statement of Evidence - Laila Alkamil - The Point_250226
15	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc (Forest & Bird)	66	Forest & Bird comment para 1–2: Constitutional purpose of Forest & Bird; site proximity to high-value avifauna habitat.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26
		67	Forest & Bird comment para 5, 10–13, 40–53: Avifauna effects and bird-strike risk to Threatened/At-Risk species.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26
		68	Forest & Bird comment para 6: Effects on other indigenous flora and fauna appear capable of being mitigated, offset, or compensated.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26 ; Attachment 14. 6621h-ii The Point Invertebrate Management Plan DRAFT 23 02 2026 ; Attachment 15. 6621h-iii The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26v2 ; Attachment 16. 6621h-iv Pest Mammal Management Plan 23 02 2026 DRAFT ; Attachment 17. 6621i The Point Solar Farm Lizard Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26
		69	Forest & Bird comment para 7: Need for adequate baseline data to support the ecological enhancement monitoring plan.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26 ; Attachment 14. 6621h-ii The Point Invertebrate Management Plan DRAFT 23 02 2026 ; Attachment 15. 6621h-iii The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26v2 ; Attachment 16. 6621h-iv Pest Mammal Management Plan 23 02 2026 DRAFT ; Attachment 17. 6621i The Point Solar Farm Lizard Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26
		70	Forest & Bird comment para 8: Adverse effects on the Outstanding Natural Landscape (ONL) of the Mackenzie Basin, including cumulative effects.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird
		71	Forest & Bird comment para 9: Reference to Dr McClellan's evidence on avifauna risk.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird
		72	Forest & Bird comment para 14–30: FTAA decision-making framework; four-stage assessment under s 85(3); greatest weight to Act's purpose.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird
		73	Forest & Bird comment para 31–33: Site classified as critically under-protected land; precautionary approach required.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird
		74	Forest & Bird comment para 34–39: Indigenous vegetation, effects of past farming, and perimeter values.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 12. 6621h-vi The Point Solar Farm Vegetation Survey Feb 2026
		75	Forest & Bird comment para 54–56: Effects on lizards and invertebrates.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 17. 6621i The Point Solar Farm Lizard Management Plan DRAFT 23-2-26

		76	Forest & Bird comment para 57–63: Landscape effects on ONL; cumulative impacts with Haldon Solar Farm and Waitaki HEPS.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 4. 20260226_FNSF_ThePoint_FT_S53Response_Landscape_Final
		77	Forest & Bird comment para 64–70: Proposed mitigation, including the Ecological Enhancement Plan and avifauna measures.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird and other supporting documents mentioned in Reference document column.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 13. 6621h-i The Point Avifauna Management Plan Draft 23-2-26
		78	Forest & Bird comment para 71–76: Subdivision consents — freehold vs. leasehold.	Only freehold subdivision is sought. Leases of entire allotments (Lots 2, 3, 4) for >35 years do not trigger s 218 RMA deemed subdivision provisions, as leases are of whole allotments. Confirmed in earlier RFI response.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird
		79	Forest & Bird comment para 77–78: Stormwater and roading consents.	All necessary consents including construction-phase stormwater and access road are covered in the application and RFI responses.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird
		80	Forest & Bird comment para 79–86: RMA planning framework, CRPS objectives, and Mackenzie District Plan policies.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird
		81	Forest & Bird comment para 87–92: Amended NPS-REG (December 2025).	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird
		82	Forest & Bird comment para 93–98: Assessment of regional and national benefits; proportionality.	This is addressed in FNSF's response document Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird
		83	Forest & Bird comment para 101–125: Conditions of consent.	F&B's comments on conditions noted. Conditions are being amended and reformatted by expert condition writer and will be provided to the Panel on 3 March 2026.	Attachment 21. FNSF Response to Forest and Bird ; Attachment 10. Statement of Evidence - Laila Alkamil - The Point_250226
16	Mackenzie District Council (MDC)	84	1. Statutory Requirements and FTAA Purpose. 2. National and Regional Benefits. 3. Mackenzie District Plan Assessment (Landscaping, Ecological Offsetting / Compensation – residual avifauna effects and \$1 m contribution, Transport rules and Hazardous Substances) 4. Canterbury Regional Policy Statement Assessment (Indigenous Biodiversity) 5. Assessment of Applicable National Policy Statements	Kindly refer to the Attachment 19. FNSF Response to MDC Comments.	Attachment 19. FNSF Response to MDC Comments ; Attachment 4. 20260226_FNSF_ThePoint_FT_S53Response_Landscape_Final ; Attachment 10. Statement of Evidence - Laila Alkamil - The Point_250226 Attachment 6. REG_FNSF RFI Response_Transport Impact and Construction Traffic Management Plan. Attachment 22. 6621h-vi Wieldlands Response to s53
		85	Transportation Effects, Paragraph 69	Paragraph 69 is noted. The conditions from NZTA are now included.	Attachment 19. FNSF Response to MDC Comments
		86	Subdivision – Activity Status (39)	Paragraph 39 SUB-R7C is noted. A site-specific subsurface liquefaction assessment is required for the remaining farming lot.	Attachment 19. FNSF Response to MDC Comments
17	Aukaha for Te Rūnanga o Moeraki and Te Rūnanga o Waihao	87	Introduction and Position (1-9)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		88	He Manawa Kāi Tahu: Mana Whenua Values Framework (10-11)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		89	Cultural Values, Landscape, Biodiversity, Wai Māuri and Access (8-11)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		90	Conditions and Next Steps (32-33, 36-37)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
18	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	91	Engagement and Partnership (1.5-16, 4)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		92	Statutory Acknowledgement (4.13-4.14)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		93	Taonga Species (4.33-4.44)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		94	Landscape, Cultural Landscapes and Cumulative Effects (4.45-4.50)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua and by RMM in their paper	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments ; Attachment 4. 20260226_FNSF_ThePoint_FT_S53Response_Landscape_Final
		95	Conditions, Governance and Long-term Outcomes (4.4-4.12)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		96	Conditions and Next Steps (1.4, 4.3, 4.6-4.12, 4.18-4.21, 4.55, 5.3, 5.4)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments
		97	Positive Aspects of Solar and Overall Position (1.3-1.6)	These issues are covered in the combined response to issues from mana whenua.	Attachment 20. FNSF Response to Mana Whenua comments

19	NGL Farms Limited	98	<p>NGL noted that they have a solar farm project nearby to The Point project, and outlined the reasons they consider this location to be ideal for large scale solar farms.</p> <p>NGL noted that they too had designed their project to avoid significant adverse effects on landscape values and ecologically significant flora, fauna, and wetlands, and that they too were also proposing a programme of ecological remediation and enhancement.</p> <p>NGL confirmed that its technical expert team has not identified any potential cumulative effects arising from all three projects that cannot be effectively managed.</p> <p>NGL offered to discuss cooperation with FNSF on multiple issues.</p>	<p>FNSF thanks NGL for their comments and offer to work together on issues such as ecological restoration and coordination of construction activities.</p> <p>FNSF looks forward to working with NGL on these matters.</p>	-
20	Landowner - David Allan and Jillian Fordyce (Neighbour)	99	<p>The Allan/Fordyce neighbours commented that the project was still intending to cross their land, using the existing ROW that is in place for The Point block. They asked that FNSF investigate and report on alternative access options and an alternative route be required that does not use their land. Both these actions have been undertaken and the alternative route has been applied for in the DOC concession as part of this application. The undertaking to arrange an alternative route was confirmed to them in the email dated 16 Feb 2024, included with their submission.</p> <p>As part of the Fast Track application, FNSF has included the required DOC concession application that shows the route to the site being via the Bendrose Farm entrance, crossing the DOC/LINZ adminisited Bendrose stream, thus avoiding the need to cross the Allan/Fordyce property.</p>	<p>FNSF acknowledges that the route to the site was not shown in the Fast Track application form itself, but was shown as part of the DOC concession application and the reports attached with that application.</p> <p>FNSF has continued to work with other landowners to avoid the Allan/Fordyce land during construction and whenever possible uses this alternative route when moving to and from the site during the construction phase of the project.</p> <p>MDC has noted that the route to the solar farm is outside of this application.</p> <p>NZTA has used the alternative entrance in their review and comments.</p>	-