

Form A

Application for a general or minor effects archaeological authority under section 44 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014

Documents needed to	complete (a	and accomp	pany) this	application:

An archaeological assessment (for a general authority). Your application can include information prepared for
an associated resource consent. This should be appropriate to the scale and significance of the archaeologica values of the sites affected
NZAA site record forms for any recorded site that will be affected by your proposed works
All consultation documentation and contact details for iwi/hapū, landowners, and others if relevant
A research strategy (if your archaeological assessment recommends an investigation)
A site instruction or management plan (required for most applications for a general authority)
Form E completed and signed.

Extract the form and guide from the zipped folder and save them to your computer. This form is best completed using Adobe Acrobat Reader.

Use Guide A to complete this form.

Talk to your local Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Office before you submit, using the office numbers below.

Northland: 09 407 0470 - archaeologistNA@heritage.org.nz

Auckland, Hauraki, Thames/Coromandel: 09 307 9920 – archaeologistMN2@heritage.org.nz

Bay of Plenty, Waikato, Gisborne: 07 577 4530 – archaeologistLN@heritage.org.nz

Taranaki, Manawatū-Whanganui, Tasman, Nelson, Marlborough: 04 494 8320 – archaeologistCR1@heritage.org.nz

Chatham Islands, Hawke's Bay, Wellington: 04 494 8320 – archaeologistCR2@heritage.org.nz

West Coast, Canterbury: 03 363 1880 – archaeologistCW1@heritage.org.nz

Otago, Southland: 03 477 9871 – archaeologistOS@heritage.org.nz

Please note that all decisions will be emailed.

Form A Application for a general or minor effects archaeological authority

An asterisk (*) indicates a mandatory field

Applicant's co	ntact details		
The authority w	ill be issued in this name:		
Applicant*:	Stevenson Aggregates Ltd		
Contact persor	: Jo Young	Role in project:	Consent Manager
Postal address	* Private Bay 94000, Auckland		
		Phone*:	
Email	*	Postcode*:	2241
	Email:		
	ne number:		
. First point of	contact details (if different from above	e)	
	contact details (if different from above		
. First point of	contact details (if different from above) Jessica Urquhart	e) Role in project:	Consultant Planner
. First point of o	contact details (if different from above Jessica Urquhart P O Box 5271 Victoria Street West		Consultant Planner
. First point of a Name Address	contact details (if different from above) Jessica Urquhart P O Box 5271 Victoria Street West Auckland	Role in project: Phone:	
First point of Name	contact details (if different from above) Jessica Urquhart P O Box 5271 Victoria Street West Auckland	Role in project:	Consultant Planner
First point of Name Address	contact details (if different from above) Jessica Urquhart P O Box 5271 Victoria Street West Auckland	Role in project: Phone:	
. First point of Name Address	contact details (if different from above Jessica Urquhart P O Box 5271 Victoria Street West Auckland on is for: (select one only)*	Role in project: Phone:	
Email This application a general action	contact details (if different from above Jessica Urquhart P O Box 5271 Victoria Street West Auckland on is for: (select one only)*	Role in project: Phone: Postcode:	

Legal description (e.g. Lot, DP numbers). List here or provide as a separate document Local authority for land affected (e.g. Dunedin City Council) SECTION 2: LANDOWNER IS APPLICANT: CONSENT If the landowner is the applicant, please complete this section and skip section 3 If the landowner is not the applicant, please complete section 3 instead I (please print name) Stevenson Aggregates Ltd acknowledge that I have read and understood the information on legal responsibilities concerning archaeological material provided in Guide A. Signature of landowner or authorised agent: Date: SECTION 3: LANDOWNER IS NOT APPLICANT: CONSULTATION AND CONSENT It is a legal requirement to provide landowner consultation and consent to Heritage New Zealand Powhere Taonga before your proposed works can begin. If there are many landowners, please use the table provided in Guide A. 3.1 If another person is acting as the authorised agent for the landowner, please provide their details Name: Relationship to landowner: Email: Phone number:	Address/location of the site to be affected	121 MacWhinney Drive, Drury, 1189 Ponga Road, Drury, 2113 and Ponga Road, Papakura
SECTION 2: LANDOWNER IS APPLICANT: CONSENT If the landowner is the applicant, please complete this section and skip section 3 If the landowner is not the applicant, please complete section 3 instead I (please print name) Stevenson Aggregates Ltd		g
If the landowner is the applicant, please complete this section and skip section 3 If the landowner is not the applicant, please complete section 3 instead I (please print name) Stevenson Aggregates Ltd acknowledge that I have read and understood the information on legal responsibilities concerning archaeological material provided in Guide A. Signature of landowner or authorised agent: Date: SECTION 3: LANDOWNER IS NOT APPLICANT: CONSULTATION AND CONSENT It is a legal requirement to provide landowner consultation and consent to Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga before your proposed works can begin. If there are many landowners, please use the table provided in Guide A. 3.1 If another person is acting as the authorised agent for the landowner, please provide their details Name: Relationship to landowner: Email:	·	Auckland Council
If the landowner is not the applicant, please complete section 3 instead I (please print name) Stevenson Aggregates Ltd acknowledge that I have read and understood the information on legal responsibilities concerning archaeological material provided in Guide A. Signature of landowner or authorised agent: Date: SECTION 3: LANDOWNER IS NOT APPLICANT: CONSULTATION AND CONSENT It is a legal requirement to provide landowner consultation and consent to Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga before your proposed works can begin. If there are many landowners, please use the table provided in Guide A. 3.1 If another person is acting as the authorised agent for the landowner, please provide their details Name: Email: Email:	SECTION 2: LANDOWNER IS APPLICANT: CONSEN	IT
understood the information on legal responsibilities concerning archaeological material provided in Guide A. Signature of landowner or authorised agent: Date: Date: SECTION 3: LANDOWNER IS NOT APPLICANT: CONSULTATION AND CONSENT It is a legal requirement to provide landowner consultation and consent to Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga before your proposed works can begin. If there are many landowners, please use the table provided in Guide A. 3.1 If another person is acting as the authorised agent for the landowner, please provide their details Name: Relationship to landowner: Email:		-
Signature of landowner or authorised agent: Date: SECTION 3: LANDOWNER IS NOT APPLICANT: CONSULTATION AND CONSENT It is a legal requirement to provide landowner consultation and consent to Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga before your proposed works can begin. If there are many landowners, please use the table provided in Guide A. 3.1 If another person is acting as the authorised agent for the landowner, please provide their details Name: Relationship to landowner: Email:	I (please print name) Stevenson Aggregates Ltd	acknowledge that I have read and
SECTION 3: LANDOWNER IS NOT APPLICANT: CONSULTATION AND CONSENT It is a legal requirement to provide landowner consultation and consent to Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga before your proposed works can begin. If there are many landowners, please use the table provided in Guide A. 3.1 If another person is acting as the authorised agent for the landowner, please provide their details Name: Relationship to landowner: Email:	understood the information on legal responsibilities co	ncerning archaeological material provided in Guide A.
It is a legal requirement to provide landowner consultation and consent to Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga before your proposed works can begin. If there are many landowners, please use the table provided in Guide A. 3.1 If another person is acting as the authorised agent for the landowner, please provide their details Name: Relationship to landowner: Email:	_	Date:
your proposed works can begin. If there are many landowners, please use the table provided in Guide A. 3.1 If another person is acting as the authorised agent for the landowner, please provide their details Name: Relationship to landowner: Email:	SECTION 3: LANDOWNER IS NOT APPLICANT: CO	NSULTATION AND CONSENT
3.1 If another person is acting as the authorised agent for the landowner, please provide their details Name: Relationship to landowner: Email:		tion and consent to Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga before
Name: Relationship to landowner: Email:	If there are many landowners, please use the table prov	vided in Guide A.
Relationship to landowner: Email:	3.1 If another person is acting as the authorise	d agent for the landowner, please provide their details
Email:	Name:	
	Relationship to landowner:	
Phone number:	Email:	
	Phone number:	

1.5 Location details*

		tact detai	13								
	Name:										
	Address:					1	Phone:				
	Email:					Pos	stcode:				
	If multiple land	owners w	ll be affected,	, provide th	ie legal de	scription of	f the lan	d owned l	y each a	affected o	owner
Co	onsultation w	th landov	ner								
	ease provide de	tails of the	consultation	undertaker	n (includin	g dates of v	when co	nsultation	occurre	d) and th	ne viev
	тргеззей.										
Co	onsent of land	owner or	authorised	agent							
	onsent of land		authorised	agent) a	icknowled	lge		
	please print na that I have r	ne)	authorised derstood the ot any implica	description		-	include	d in this a		n and I	
I (please print na that I have r acknowledg	ne) ead and une and acce	derstood the	description tions the ac	ctivity may	have on m	include ne and m	d in this a y land	oplicatio		rried o
1 (please print na that I have r acknowledg that I have b	ead and une and accepeen consu	derstood the ot any implica	description tions the ac the propos	ctivity may	have on m	include ne and m	d in this a y land ent to the	oplicatio activity	being car	
1)	that I have r acknowledg that I have b that I have r	ead and un e and accep een consu ead and un Guide A.	derstood the ot any implicated regarding	description tions the ac the propos	ctivity may	have on m	include ne and m	d in this a y land ent to the	oplicatio activity	being car	

SECTION 4: ARCHAEOLOGICAL DETAILS

4.1

Details of recorded archaeological sites to be affected
Is there a chance that your proposed works will:
• Encounter sites that have not yet been found or recorded?* No Yes
• Affect one or more sites that are recorded?* • No • Yes (please provide details below)
NZAA archaeological site numbers Archaeological site names (if known) Archaeological site types
Provide as a separate document if more space is needed A New Zealand Archaeological Association site record form must be included where identified sites are involved.
Description of proposed activity*
Please provide an exact description of the proposed activity, including a list of all earthworks or ground-disturbing

4.2

ease provide an exact description of the proposed activity, including a list of all earthworks or ground-disturbi activities for your project. Attach related final plans, drawings, engineering specifications and/or photographs. Plans need to show the activity in relation to the location and extent (if known) of the affected archaeological sites. Note: the authority will be granted for the activity described in this box.

The applicant is proposing to expand mineral extraction of the existing Drury Quarry (previously Stevenson's Drury Quarry) into the Sutton Block located to the northeast of the existing pit. The development of the Sutton Block will involve the staged development of an area of approximately 108 ha to a maximum pit depth of approximately RL -60 m. The overall site layout, including staging plans, is shown on drawings SSQ_23_404, rev: 02 in Appendix C attached to the Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE) report. To enable the development of the Sutton Block, and support the extraction of aggregate, the project will also include the construction of road infrastructure to establish haul road access, overburden removal, stockpiles including bunding; and supporting infrastructure, and construction of a conveyor belt connecting the Sutton Block pit to the existing Drury Quarry FOH area. removal and mitigation offset.

The works will also require stream diversions, stream reclamation, wetland reclamation, vegetation Also, see the attached Archaeological Assessment report for a more detailed description of the proposed activity.

Does the land lie within a* Statutory acknowledgement area? No Yes Customary marine title? No Yes If yes, please attach details. See our Guide A for more information. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity − R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu − Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Karaeraea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Karaeraea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provision of the NH2PTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To		Have any authorities been granted for this location in the past?					
Does the land lie within a* Statutory acknowledgement area? No Yes Customary marine title? No Yes If yes, please attach details. See our Guide A for more information. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity — R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu — Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited his							
Customary marine title? No Yes If yes, please attach details. See our Guide A for more information. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity — R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu — Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry it expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provision of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate and effects on these values any remains	"	yes, please list authority numbers (please contact the relevant fin2P1 office for help with this)					
Customary marine title? No Yes If yes, please attach details. See our Guide A for more information. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity — R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu — Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry it expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provision of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate and effects on these values any remains							
Customary marine title? No Yes If yes, please attach details. See our Guide A for more information. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity — R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu — Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry it expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provision of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate and effects on these values any remains							
Customary marine title? No Yes If yes, please attach details. See our Guide A for more information. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity — R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu — Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry it expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provision of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate and effects on these values any remains							
Customary marine title? No Yes If yes, please attach details. See our Guide A for more information. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity — R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu — Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry it expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provision of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate and effects on these values any remains							
If yes, please attach details. See our Guide A for more information. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. • State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. • If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. • Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. • Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity — R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu — Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate any effects on these values any remains or features revealed during	De	oes the land lie within a*					
If yes, please attach details. See our Guide A for more information. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. • State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. • If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. • Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. • Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity — R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu — Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate any effects on these values any remains or features revealed during		Statutory acknowledgement area? No V Yes					
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity — R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu — Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar							
 Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga recommends avoiding or protecting the archaeological site the preferred option*. State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity – R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu – Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has beel designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil strip	If ·						
 State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity – R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu – Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quare effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar		yes, preuse attach actans. See our canae // for more information.					
 State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity – R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu – Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s archaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate any effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar 							
 State the ways in which you have explored options for avoiding or protecting the archaeological site. If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity – R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu – Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar 							
 If avoidance is not possible, explain why this is the case and how impacts will be offset. Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity – R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu – Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has bee designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar 							
 Include the archaeological site numbers of any sites to be avoided or protected. Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity – R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu – Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quarent page and the proposed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quarent page and the proposed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quarent page and the proposed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quarent page and the proposed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quarent page and t	•						
 Indicate the extent to which protecting the archaeological site would prevent or restrict reasonable future the land. The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity – R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu – Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has bee designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quare 	•						
The proposed quarry extension area has been designed to avoid impacting on the known extents of archaeological sites in close proximity – R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu – Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar	•						
archaeological sites in close proximity – R12/278 (Kaarearea Pa, Te Maketu – Burials, Stonework, Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar							
Earthworks, Pā) and R12/723 (Terraces, Stonework, Cultivations?). Access to the proposed quarry extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate any effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar							
extension area will be through the existing Drury Quarry pit and access roads. There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar							
southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar							
southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in sarchaeological remains and the entire scheduled Extent of Place of R12/278 Kaarearea Pa. In consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar	-	There will be no direct impact on the known archaeological features associated with R12/278. The					
consultation with tangata whenua the southern extent of the proposed quarry pit expansion has been designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar	5	southern extent of the proposed quarry expansion area has been designed to exclude all known in s					
designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within revised Extent of Place of Kaarearea Pa. This will also reduce the impact on the heritage setting an aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar							
aesthetic values of this site. The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework, Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar	(designed to exclude the gentle slopes and stream valley north-east of Ballards Cone and now within					
Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar							
Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more likely a later 20th century farming feature and therefore, does not fall under the archaeological provis of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar	-	The proposed quarry expansion will impact on recorded site R12/724 (Plants, Fence, Stonework					
of the HNZPTA. While of some historic interest, overall it has limited historic values. To mitigate an effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar	E	Earthworks). However, this site is possibly an early 20th century domestic occupation site, but more					
effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar							
expansion area could be recorded during archaeological monitoring.	(effects on these values any remains or features revealed during topsoil stripping to prepare the quar					
	6	expansion area could be recorded during archaeological monitoring.					

1 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	scientific, or spiritual values.
n/a	
Do any of the following relate to this	area? If yes, provide details below
Reserve status	Heritage or QEII Covenant or Heritage Order
✓ Inclusion in district plan schedules	New Zealand Heritage list/Rārangi Kōrero entry
Other (please state below)	
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeolo
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic h the AUP OP surrounded by the Spec	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeolo and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed F peritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is sho
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic h	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeolo and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed I peritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is sho
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic h the AUP OP surrounded by the Spec	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeolo and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed F peritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is sho
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic h the AUP OP surrounded by the Spec	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeolo and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed F peritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is sho
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic hange 10P op surrounded by the Spec proposed activity. ION 5: CONSULTATION	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeological and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed Ineritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a part of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic hange 10P op surrounded by the Spec proposed activity. ION 5: CONSULTATION Have you consulted with the following sites and as a place of Māori interest the proposed interest the scheduled historic hands and the state of Māori interest the sites and as a place of Māori interest the sites and as a pl	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeological and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed I heritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is sho ial Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic hange 102 have proposed activity. ION 5: CONSULTATION Have you consulted with the following Tangata whenua or Moriori*	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeological and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed I heritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is should ial Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the image parties? No
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic hithe AUP OP surrounded by the Speciproposed activity. ION 5: CONSULTATION Have you consulted with the following Tangata whenua or Moriori* Any other person likely to be affected.	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeological and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed Foreritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in its proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone.
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic hange 102 have proposed activity. ION 5: CONSULTATION Have you consulted with the following Tangata whenua or Moriori*	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeological and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed Foreritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage and a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in a partiage Exte
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic hithe AUP OP surrounded by the Speciproposed activity. ION 5: CONSULTATION Have you consulted with the following Tangata whenua or Moriori* Any other person likely to be affected.	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeological and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed Foreritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in its proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone.
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic hithe AUP OP surrounded by the Speciproposed activity. ION 5: CONSULTATION Have you consulted with the following Tangata whenua or Moriori* Any other person likely to be affected.	ry B historic heritage place on the Historic Heritage Overlay in ice. It is also identified as having additional rules for archaeological and significance. In 2024, as a result of AUP OP Proposed Foreritage Extent of Place for R12/278 was expanded and is shought in its proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone. This site will not be affected by the proposed for Purpose - Quarry Zone.
AUP OP, with a defined Extent of Pla sites and as a place of Māori interest Change 102, the scheduled historic hithe AUP OP surrounded by the Speciproposed activity. ION 5: CONSULTATION Have you consulted with the following Tangata whenua or Moriori* Any other person likely to be affected.	□ No ✓ Yes d* □ No □ Yes ✓ N/A

5.2 Consultation with tangata whenua or Moriori

Contact details

Iwi/ Hapū:	See attached summary of consultation with tangata whenua and contact details
Contact Name:	
Address:	Phone:
Email:	Postcode:
Iwi/ Hapū:	
Contact Name:	
Address:	Phone:
radiess.	Thore.
Email:	Postcode:
Liliali.	Tostcode.

Provide in the box below a description of the consultation undertaken with tangata whenua or Moriori, including dates of when consultation occurred and the views expressed. Reference can be made to relevant documents (e.g. email correspondence) supplied with your application.

Consultation should include the provision of all documentation, a discussion of the proposed works, the effects on iwi /hapū values, establishing tikanga, the availability of cultural support for the archaeologist nominated in Form E, and the production of a publicly available final report.

See attached summary of consultation with tangata whenua and associated documentation.
Refer to Appendix G and H of the Fast Track application

5.3 Consultation with any other person likely to be directly affected (where relevant) Please refer to Guide A for more information about directly affected parties. Contact name: Address: Phone: Email: Postcode: Provide details of the consultation undertaken (including dates of when consultation occurred) and the views expressed. This information can be provided below or attached as separate documents to this application. **SECTION 6: MĀORI OR MORIORI VALUES** Note: this section is not needed for minor effect authority applications If archaeological sites of interest to Māori or Moriori are to be affected by the proposed activity, provide in the box below an assessment of the Māori or Moriori values of the archaeological sites and the effect of the proposed activity on those values. Reference can be made to a values statement or assessment provided by Māori or Moriori supplied with your application. The assessment should be appropriate to the scale and significance of the proposed activity and the proposed modification of the archaeological sites affected. This can include information prepared for an associated resource consent if it addresses Māori or Moriori cultural values of the archaeological sites. See attached documentation from consultation with tangata whenua. Refer to Appendix G and H of the Fast Track application

SECTION 7: APPLICANT'S DECLARATION

I (ple	ease print name)* Stevenson Aggregates Ltd		acknowledge:
1)	that all the information provided with this application is true and o	correct to	the best of my knowledge
2)	that I have read and understood the description of proposed activinform Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga about any changes is being considered	•	• •
3)	that any reports produced as a result of this (and any related) authavailable via the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Digital Lib		lication will be made publicly
4)	I accept responsibility for compliance with all the conditions of the any monetary cost this will entail, including the cost of any analysi and the preparation of the report.		
	Please tick this box if the archaeological work associated with the p	oroposed	activity is likely to exceed \$100,000.
	Signature of applicant or authorised agent*:	Date*	

Form E

Application for approval (or change) of a person to undertake an activity under an archaeological authority

	Name*:	Kim Tatton		
	Email*:	kimtatton@clough.co.nz	Phone:*	
	Postal address:	37 Warnock Street, Westmere, A	Auckland 1022	
Au	thority Number:			
	uthority granted)			
TION	I 2. DECLARATIO	N OF NOMINATED DEDCOM TO U	NDERTAKE ARCHAEOLOGICAL WORK	
TION	1 2: DECLARATIO	IN OF NOWINATED PERSON TO U	NDERTAKE ARCHAEOLOGICAL WORK	
I (p	lease print name)	Kim Tatton	acknowledge:	
1)	_	onform to accepted archaeological p f any authority granted as a result of	ractice in undertaking the archaeological work re this application	quired by
2)		criteria required to be an approved p of the Heritage New Zealand Pouher	erson to undertake an activity under the authori e Taonga Act 2014	t y under
3)	that for a site of	interest to Māori or Moriori:		
	a that I can p Moriori val		petency in relation to recognising and respecting	Māori or
	b that I can p for this aut		rom Māori or Moriori for the work that will be u	ndertake
	Signat nominated pe	cure of erson*	Date*:	
TION	I 3: APPLICANT'S	DECLARATION		
I (p	lease print name)	* Stevenson Aggregates Ltd	acknowledge:	
1)	that all the infor	mation provided with this applicatio	n is true to the best of my knowledge	
2)	that I have ensu nominated perso	·	oposed activity has been made available to the	
3)	replaced has be	en advised of the proposed change a	ake an activity under the authority, that the persond has provided access to the necessary resourc	_
	undertake this a	utnority.		

QUESTIONS and SUBMISSION OF APPLICATION

If you have questions or need some advice about your authority application, please get in touch with your nearest Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga office.

Applications can be submitted online, in person at one of our offices, or posted. Please **do not** email it.

Region	For QUESTIONS and GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE:
Northland	09 407 0470 ArchaeologistNA@heritage.org.nz
Auckland, Hauraki, Thames-	09 307 9923
Coromandel	ArchaeologistLN@heritage.org.nz
Bay of Plenty, Waikato,	07 577 4535
Gisborne	ArchaeologistLN@heritage.org.nz
Taranaki, Manawatū- Wanganui,	04 494 8323
Tasman, Nelson, Marlborough	ArchaeologistCR1@heritage.org.nz
Chatham Islands, Hawke's Bay,	04 494 8324
Wellington	ArchaeologistCR2@heritage.org.nz
West Coast, Canterbury	03 363 1884 ArchaeologistCW1@heritage.org.nz
Otago, Southland	03 470 2364 ArchaeologistOS@heritage.org.nz

Submitting your application ONLINE	Submitting your application IN PERSON Bring your application to your nearest Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga office:
Submit your application and any related documents via our website. • Go to www.heritage.org.nz • Go to the archaeological authorities page • In the yellow section, select the kind of application you are making • Click Submit application • Complete the popup form, and load your application and any accompanying documents when prompted. • Check the Privacy box and click Next • An email will confirm submission including the authority application number.	Northland Regional Office UD/21 Hobson Avenue, Kerikeri
	Northern Regional Office Level 10, SAP Tower, 151 Queen Street, Auckland
	Lower Northern Area Office 26 Wharf Street, Tauranga
	Central Regional Office Level 1, 79 Boulcott Street, Wellington
	Southern Regional Office 64 Gloucester Street, Christchurch Mail Centre, Christchurch
	Otago/Southland Area Office Level 2, 201 Princes Street, Dunedin

Submitting your application by POST

Please keep in mind the likely delay in receipt of the paperwork

Post your application to: Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga

Attn: Archaeologists PO Box 2629 Wellington 6140