

Your comment on the Ashbourne project draft conditions

Please include all the contact details listed below with your comments and indicate whether you can receive further communications from us by email to substantive@fasttrack.govt.nz.

1. Contact Details			
Please ensure that you have authority to comment on the application on behalf of those named on this form.			
Organisation name (if relevant)	Dundas Investments Ltd.		
First name	David Francis		
Last name	Webb		
Postal address	[REDACTED]		
Home phone / Mobile phone	[REDACTED]	Work phone	[REDACTED]
Email (a valid email address enables us to communicate efficiently with you)	[REDACTED]		

<input type="checkbox"/>	I can receive emails and my email address is correct	<input type="checkbox"/>	I cannot receive emails and my postal address is correct
--------------------------	---	--------------------------	---

Please provide your comments below, include additional pages as needed.

In response to your request for comment.

The water table is far too high in this part of Matamata for this type of development, without huge change to water flows.

My property takes water flow from under Station Road currently, & the waterways struggle to cope with the volume as it is, currently.

I was informed by a representative of Maven, & on behalf of the developers, the flow, should this development go ahead, the current water flow, "would decrease by 20%.

Maven's representative , also informed me the drain under Station Road was blocked & that was the problem.

After hearing this, I had Matamata – Piako District Council look @ the flow some 5weeks ago .

This is simply not the case & the sediment in the pipe was minimal.	
I am a little tired of the “untruths “ that have come from Maven, on behalf of the developers, in an attempt to make this “ Fast Track “ happen when in actual fact the entire plan is totally wrong, in my considered opinion.	
In many respects, & as per my first response to you in my opinion , the Ashbourne development proposal should never have got past first base .	
The solar farm next to my property is also a huge concern to me .	
My two storied home over looks the land in question , where the Solar site is proposed.	
The glare I would envisage would be immense & the area to an unacceptable level .	
I request a condition that the consent holder must have a fair analysis from the second story of my home carried out by an independent expert . The purpose being , to evaluate the glare issue (if any) &with a view to resolve.	
<input type="checkbox"/> I can receive emails and my postal address is correct	<input type="checkbox"/> I cannot receive emails and my postal address is correct

Also , should this development ever gain approval, I would be requesting the area be planted out as per the developers' suggestions & plans, well before the solar panels were erected.

In my first submission to you I did record , I was opposed to Asbourne's proposal in it's entirety.

Please refer to those comments , which I attach.

Thank you for your time .