BEFORE AN EXPERT CONSENTING PANEL UNDER THE FAST-TRACK APPROVALS ACT 2024

IN THE MATTER OF an application for resource consents and a wildlife approval under the Fast-track Approvals Act 2024 by Port of Tauranga Limited for activities associated with a listed project, being the Stella Passage Development

MEMORANDUM OF COUNSEL ON BEHALF OF PORT OF TAURANGA LIMITED

DATED 14 APRIL 2025



525 Cameron Road DX HP40014 Private Bag 12011 Tauranga 3143

Telephone: (07) 578 2199 Facsimile: (07) 578 8055

Solicitor: Vanessa Hamm

Cory Lipinski

Email: vanessa.hamm@hobec.co.nz

Cory.lipinski@hobec.co.nz

Introduction

- Port of Tauranga Limited (POTL) has applied for resource consents and wildlife approval under the Fast-track Approvals Act 2024 (the Act) to undertake the extension of the Sulphur Point and Mount Maunganui wharves at the Port of Tauranga (the Project). The Project is a listed project in Schedule 2 of the Act.
- The Project involves undertaking reclamation of land and associated dredging, to extend the Sulphur Point wharf by 385m (in two stages) and the Mount Maunganui wharf by 315m. Minor structures at Butters Landing and mooring and breasting dolphins adjacent to the Mount Maunganui wharf extension will also be constructed as part of the Project as well as provision for four new cranes on the Sulphur Point wharf extension.
- 3. The Project's substantive application report prepared by Mitchell Daysh Limited provides the complete assessment of the Project, and its effects, against the requirements in the Act. This Memorandum accompanies the substantive application report and addresses the following:
 - (a) The national and regional significance of the Project;
 - (b) Background to the Project;
 - (c) Consultation with key stakeholders;
 - (d) Cultural mitigation proposed; and
 - (e) Proposed management and monitoring plans.

National and regional significance

4. The Port of Tauranga is plainly infrastructure with national and regional significance, evidence of which includes the acknowledgement of this status in

the Bay of Plenty Regional Policy Statement.¹ It is an economic and employment linchpin for the Bay of Plenty and houses New Zealand's largest container terminal at Sulphur Point, as well as extensive bulk cargo wharves, storage facilities, and bunker berths at Mount Maunganui. The Port of Tauranga handles around 25 million tonnes of cargo per annum, providing importers and exporters with the most efficient, lowest carbon route to and from international markets. One third of all New Zealand's cargo, 38% of all New Zealand's exports by volume, and 39% of all shipping containers are handled at the Port of Tauranga.

- 5. POTL's current activities at both the Sulphur Point and Mount Maunganui wharves are constrained in terms of container capacity, vessel congestion and/or age. One of the Project's key drivers is to allow POTL to maximise the efficient use of the existing infrastructure and footprint of the Port of Tauranga by removing or easing these constraints. Development of the Project is needed to accommodate current and future growth in vessel sizes and import and export cargo volume. The consequence of inaction is forgoing the additional significant economic activity that would be generated if the Project goes ahead.² Failure to realise the development of the Project would mean that New Zealand and the Bay of Plenty incur economic opportunity costs arising from unrealised growth in export and import throughput.
- 6. The Project is also recognised as "future development" for the Port of Tauranga in the Bay of Plenty Regional Council's Regional Coastal Environment Plan 2019 (and is also expressly anticipated by the Outline Development Plan (2013) at Schedule 9 of that Coastal Environment Plan).³
- 7. It is evident from the discussion above that significant benefits would be derived from the development of the Project. The Port of Tauranga is vital infrastructure to New Zealand's economy and without the Project, the

¹ Bay of Plenty Regional Policy Statement (1 August 2023), section 2.2, Policy CE 14B, and Appendix A.

² As at 2023, this is estimated to be between \$792 million to \$1.179 billion at the national economy level. (Section 1.4 of the substantive application report).

³ Schedule 9, Regional Coastal Environmental Plan, Bay of Plenty Regional Council (3 December 2019).

negative flow on effects would be felt nationwide, but particularly within the Bay of Plenty Region. POTL and its technical advisers consider that the Project can be undertaken in a manner that addresses any unavoidable adverse environmental effects while also achieving the purpose of the Act – to deliver significant regional and national benefits. As such, we respectfully submit that when the purpose of the Act is taken into account, that it is obvious that the consents and approvals for the Project must be granted.

Background to the Project

- 8. The Project has long been signalled, having been included in regional policies and plans for Te Awanui/Tauranga Harbour since 2003. The Project is not a new concept, and has been widely known to interested parties (including the Bay of Plenty Regional Council and tangata whenua) for some time.
- 9. Development of POTL's first consent application for the Project began in 2018. Following the enactment of the COVID-19 Recovery (Fast-track Consenting) Act 2020 (COVID-19 Fast-track Act), POTL applied in May 2020 for fast-track referral of the Project (albeit a larger version of the Project). This application was refused by the then Ministers for the Environment and Conservation in August 2020 on the basis that it would be more appropriately considered under the usual Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) procedure due to the level of public interest.
- 10. In May 2021, POTL made a resource consent application to the Bay of Plenty Regional Council and shortly thereafter filed notice requesting direct referral of the application to the Environment Court. After the initial hearing scheduled for July 2022 was postponed due to a COVID-19 outbreak amongst some of the participants, a three week hearing between February and March 2023 ensued involving participation by tangata whenua as s 274 parties and the Bay of Plenty Regional Council. Towards the end of the Environment Court hearing in 2023, the scope of POTL's application was reduced in response to concerns raised during the hearing by the tangata whenua of Whareroa Marae. In

particular, the size of the southern Mount Maunganui reclamation, wharf extensions and dredging was decreased.

- 11. The Environment Court's first interim decision was released in December 2023.

 The Court indicated that consent for Stage One would be granted, and POTL was directed to undertake further work and consultation with tangata whenua over a nine month period. A decision on Stage Two was reserved.⁴
- 12. In responding to the Court's directions in its first interim decision, POTL undertook further consultation with tangata whenua in 2024 and developed an extensive body of further work between January and September 2024. Following receipt of this body of work, the Environment Court, in its second interim decision in December 2024, held that consent for Stage One would be granted pending submission of a further set of agreed conditions between POTL and the Bay of Plenty Regional Council and the further matters as set out in its decision being addressed (a decision on Stage Two remained reserved). In doing so, the Court reaffirmed its determination that from a western science perspective the effects of the Project are expected to be minor in the short-term and negligible in the long-term. Three appeals to the High Court were received against the Court's second interim decision at the end of 2024.
- 13. POTL's application under the Act incorporates, the Project proposed through the direct referral application, as refined through the Environment Court process, as well as the additional body of work undertaken between January

⁴ The Project was separated into two stages by the Environment Court (see Table 3, section 1.3 of the substantive application report):

Stage One includes reclamation of 0.88ha of the coastal marine area (CMA), construction of a 285m extension to the Sulphur Point wharf and dredging of 6.1 ha of Stella passage to 16m chart datum (which equates to approximately 850,000m³ of dredging).

Stage Two includes reclamation of 0.93ha of the CMA and construction of a further 100m extension of
the Sulphur Point wharf south of the stage one reclamation and extension, dredging of 4.45 ha of Stella
passage to 16m chart datum (which equates to approximately 650,000m³ of dredging), reclamation of
1.77 ha of CMA at the Mount Maunganui wharf, extension of the Mount Maunganui wharf by 315m and
construction of 200m of gull habitat, 11 mooring and breasting dolphins, a bunker barge jetty and a
penguin ramp and habitat south of the Mount Maunganui reclamation.

The cranes at Sulphur Point are not limited to either Stage One or Two.

⁵ As set out in *Port of Tauranga Limited v Bay of Plenty Regional Council* [2023] NZEnvC 270 at the Directions at C.

⁶ Port of Tauranga Limited v Bay of Plenty Regional Council [2024] NZEnvC 337.

⁷ Port of Tauranga Limited v Bay of Plenty Regional Council [2024] NZEnvC 337 at [8].

and September 2024. Whilst there have been some amendments to technical reports to meet certain requirements in the Act (and to update reports in light of the further body of work produced following the Court's first interim decision), they ultimately remain consistent with the form of the direct referral application currently before the Environment Court. When the Environmental Protection Authority confirms acceptance of this application, the direct referral application will be withdrawn by POTL in accordance with s 94 of the Act.

- 14. This application does not materially depart from the application currently before the Environment Court. The only notable changes are that POTL is now applying for a wildlife approval in relation to handling of little blue penguins under the Act (which it could not do under the RMA direct referral process),8 and resource consent for installation of four cranes at Sulphur Point.
- 15. The Project has already faced extensive scrutiny by the Court, Bay of Plenty Regional Council, and tangata whenua alike. All parties (bar the Environment Court) are now involved in this fast-track application.
- The Project's history, and recognition in the Outline Development Plan area in the Bay of Plenty Regional Council's Regional Coastal Environment Plan, demonstrates that this is not a new development. Throughout the nearly four and a half year process, key stakeholders and the Environment Court have raised their concerns and provided comments on the application and Project. POTL has listened to these concerns and comments and has actively addressed them through provision of further information and/or reports or has adapted the Project's configuration (specifically, the size of the Mount Maunganui Wharves and dredging were reduced in response to the concerns of Ngāti Kuku and Whareroa Marae).

⁸ POTL would have obtained the wildlife approval from DOC under the Wildlife Act 1953 once it was granted the resource consents under the direct referral process.

17. The consenting history to date speaks to the Project's robustness and adequacy in identification and assessment of any effects or concerns that may arise.

Consultation

- 18. POTL, through its involvement with Ngā Mātarae Charitable Trust, has been sharing its development plans in relation to Stella Passage with tangata whenua since 2016. The Ngā Mātarae Charitable Trust includes representatives of Ngāi Te Rangi, Ngāti Ranginui, Ngāti Pūkenga, the Tauranga Moana Iwi Customary Fisheries Trust and the Mauao Trust. Key stakeholders were consulted in early 2019 in anticipation of lodgement of POTL's application for the Project, which ultimately informed the application under the COVID-19 Fast-track Act. Consultation has been ongoing and consistent from this time and has spanned three processes: the COVID-19 Fast-track Act, the RMA, and now the Act. We again highlight that the applications under each of these processes remained substantially consistent with its predecessor, subject to amendments, primarily reducing the scale of the proposed development, to address feedback received through these processes.
- 19. In anticipation of its COVID-19 Fast-track Act application, POTL arranged hui in early 2019 with tangata whenua to discuss the Project and subsequently circulated expert reports to the groups in March and April 2020. The aim was to give tangata whenua the opportunity to establish a cultural view on the Project's effects. At this time, POTL funded an independent planner to assist Ngāi Te Rangi (at their request).
- 20. Following rejection of its application under the COVID-19 Fast-track Act, POTL continued to engage with tangata whenua on an application under the RMA. Cultural impact assessments and letters were received between February and May 2021 and hui were held in February and March 2021 to discuss the application. Following lodgement of its direct referral application various

tangata whenua filed s 274 notices.⁹ POTL continued to meet with representatives of the s 274 parties post-hearing and in the interim period between the initial and postponed hearing dates.¹⁰

- 21. Following the Environment Court's first interim decision, POTL sent an invitation to all s 274 parties in December 2023 proposing a wānanga to discuss the Court's decision and sought that tangata whenua advise how they wished to be engaged with. Some responses were received and a tentative date was set down for 15 June 2024, but the day prior Ngāti Ranginui advised that its representatives would not be attending. POTL still went ahead and attended a hui with Ngā Tai ki Mauao¹¹ and the Bay of Plenty Regional Council that day. Despite POTL's continued attempts, no wānanga with all parties to the direct referral proceedings occurred.
- 22. Between January and September 2024, POTL met and communicated with representatives of the s 274 parties to discuss the Court's directions. Draft documents addressing the Court's directions were circulated and feedback sought from tangata whenua. After initial meetings in early and mid-2024, direct feedback from the groups on the documents prepared by POTL to address the Court's directions was limited. These documents were ultimately filed with the Environment Court on 30 September 2024 to meet the Court's deadline in its directions.
- 23. POTL and the Ngā Tai ki Mauao Hapū Collective agreed (following the Court's suggestions) to an alternative dispute resolution process in which Alex Hope was appointed as facilitator. Ngā Tai ki Mauao, Ngāti Kuku/Whareroa Marae and POTL attended meetings, but unfortunately, Mr Hope's assistance did not

⁹ These parties were: Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Te Rangi Iwi Trust, Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Kahu (ki Tauranga Moana), Ngāti Kuku Hapū, Ngāti Tapu, Ngāti He, Ngāti Ranginui Fisheries Trust, Ngāti Kaahu a Tamapahore Trust, Whareroa Marae Trustees, Ngā Hapū o Ngā Moutere Trust, Ngāti Kahu, Ngāti Ranginui Iwi Society and the Tupuna Trust (who filed a late notice).

¹⁰ At the time of the Court's first interim decision Ngãi Te Rangi advised that it would leave engagement to be led by their hapū. Following which POTL began engagement with Ngã Tai ki Mauao Hapū Collective, a collective of the Ngãi Te Rangi hapū which includes those Ngãi Te Rangi hapū who were s 274 parties to the Environment Court proceedings, but also hapū who were not.

¹¹ A grouping of Ngāi Te Rangi hapū as described in the above footnote.

result in any formal feedback in relation to the documents required to be produced as part of the Court's directions.

- 24. POTL has taken a broad approach to consultation with relevant iwi and hapū under the Act to ensure that all relevant parties are consulted. This includes consulting entities who were not party to the direct referral or the COVID-19 Fast-track Act applications. Some parties that have been consulted under the Act were not party to either of the previous processes, but are collectives of tangata whenua who were (such as the Ngā Tai ki Mauao Hapū Collective which is a collective of Ngāi Te Rangi hapū). Other groups had no involvement in the Environment Court process, but have been provided with opportunity to engage with the process under the Act.
- 25. For this application, POTL has held technical forums from January to April 2025 in which tangata whenua's cultural specialists and experts have been able to work with POTL's experts and officials to gain a technical understanding of the application. POTL has also provided copies of the draft substantive application report, draft consent conditions and supporting documents to tangata whenua and afforded them the opportunity to engage directly with POTL's officials on more specific matters upon request. POTL's consultation process is described in the report *Port of Tauranga Limited Tauranga Moana Tauranga Tāngata Consultation Report* prepared by Mahea NZ Limited.¹²
- 26. From 2020 to 2024, POTL contributed funding in excess of \$1,100,000 to tangata whenua parties to facilitate their participation in the process of consenting the Project.¹³ This included significant resourcing to Ngāi Tai ki Mauao and Ngāti Ranginui in the direct referral process, and comprised direct resourcing as well as payment of their professional legal and planning fees.

¹³ Not all tangata whenua being consulted under the Act were party to the Environment Court direct referral proceedings.

¹² Appendix 18 to the substantive application report.

- 27. Funding has also been made available to tangata whenua to assist them in consultation prior to lodgement of this application under the Act including, but not limited to, resourcing for preparation of cultural impact assessments and participation at hui with POTL staff and experts. Each party has been given access to amounts of up to \$40,000 through service agreements, with over \$800,000 being made available to support iwi and hapū with pre-lodgement engagement of this application. We note that additionally the Environmental Protection Authority must pay a contribution to the costs of a Māori Consultation Group (being defined as certain tangata whenua groups who are invited to comment on a substantive application by the panel) from the fees paid by POTL. This assures ongoing financial support for tangata whenua to participate in this fast-track process.
- 28. We submit that prior consultation under previous processes cannot be discounted or ignored as POTL has addressed issues raised through this consultation in its application. POTL has committed a vast amount of resources to undertaking consultation under each of the three processes and remains committed to continuing engagement post the granting of the consents and wildlife approval.

Cultural mitigation

- 29. In proposing its cultural mitigation package for the Project, POTL has retained key elements that it developed during the direct referral process and that were present in the Environment Court's first and second interim decisions. This includes:
 - (a) Retaining the amendments it made to its application during the direct referral process, to modify the Project to avoid as far as practicable, adverse effects on Ngāti Kuku, Ngāi Tukairangi and the Whareroa Marae, by avoiding dredging on the eastern side of Stella Passage,

¹⁴ Clause 6(1) Fast-track Approvals (Cost Recovery) Regulations 2025.

minimising reclamation and restricting construction to minor structures at Butters Landing/south of the existing tanker berth;

- (b) Retaining the proposal for conditions as to relationship agreements, which were proposed as a means for POTL to have direct relationships with iwi and hapū, as a forum for discussion about wider initiatives that are not suitable for inclusion in consent conditions; 15
- (c) The Te Awanui/Tauranga Harbour focussed scholarship fund through the University of Waikato for the iwi and hapū of Tauranga Moana. 16
- 30. POTL has further retained base concepts in its cultural mitigation package, and refined those for this application:
 - (a) The proposal for a contribution of funds to the Whareroa Marae towards Whareroa Marae infrastructure projects in recognition of effects on it specifically, noting that in addition to an annual payment, a substantial one-off payment is also proposed;
 - (b) Retaining the proposal for resourcing a tangata whenua-led forum (in the proposed consent conditions, the Stella Passage Development Advisory Group (SPDAG)). The SPDAG will have a wide-ranging remit to advise the consent holder in the implementation of the consents, develop a Mātauranga Monitoring Plan, meet with POTL's Chief Executive and Chair in relation to long-term strategic planning of the Port, and administer funds derived from a range of payments required by the proposed consent conditions;
 - (c) Retaining proposals to recognise the mana and rangatiratanga of Tauranga Moana iwi such as funding the design and implementation of

¹⁵ Condition 2.1 on both the Proposed Dredging Consent, and Proposed Reclamation and Structures Consent.

¹⁶ Conditions 19.1 and 19.2 Proposed Dredging Consent.

Pou or other structures, to recognise the significance of the land to tangata whenua parties.

- (d) Retaining the proposal for POTL to undertake annual ongoing monitoring of Te Paritaha for the duration of the dredging consent.
- (e) Providing funding for tangata whenua (through the SPDAG) to prepare a Mātauranga Māori State of the Environment Report.
- 31. POTL has also considered the Court's first interim decision, that POTL should address further the extent and degree of recognition of and provision for the relationship of Ngāti Kuku and Whareroa Marae. ¹⁷ In light of that, it has developed further mitigation proposals specific to Whareroa Marae. Although those parties have not provided POTL with Cultural Values Reports for lodgement of this application, POTL has been able to draw on the impacts and mitigations previously expressed by those parties through the direct referral process. Those further mitigation proposals are:
 - (a) A substantial one-off payment of \$1,000,000 (and ongoing annual payments of \$25,000) to the Whareroa Marae Reservation Trust towards Whareroa Marae infrastructure projects;
 - (b) A one off payment of \$250,000 for the SPDAG to use for a longitudinal assessment of health and wellbeing against agreed marae outcomes for Whareroa Marae; and
 - (c) A land use policy addressing incompatible activities on land owned by the Port of Tauranga Limited and located adjacent to Whareroa Marae.
- 32. As a result of the Court's first interim decision, which brought some focus on the sandpile at Sulphur Point as a result of the direct referral process, POTL has

Memorandum of Counsel - Stella Passage FT Application(11097090.1)

¹⁷ Port of Tauranga Limited v Bay of Plenty Regional Council [2023] NZEnvC 270 at [414].

also established a fund of \$150,000 to be administered by the SPDAG for the purpose of assessing and developing opportunities to enhance avifauna habitat in and around Te Awanui/Tauranga Harbour.

- 33. POTL's application includes a cultural mitigation package in excess of \$6,000,000 (to be paid over the life of the consents). POTL respectfully considers that the sum of this package is commensurate with the level of potential cultural effects that may arise from the Project. POTL's mitigation package includes the following financial contributions to tangata whenua:
 - (a) A one off payment of \$2,000,000 to the SPDAG to invest in projects of its choosing;¹⁸
 - (b) \$100,000 to the SPDAG to prepare a Mātauranga Māori State of the Environment Report;¹⁹
 - (c) \$25,000 annually to support the SPDAG in the preparation and delivery of the Mātauranga Monitoring Plan (from the time of establishment of the Mātauranga Monitoring Plan to the expiry of the consents);²⁰
 - (d) A one off payment of \$500,000 to the SPDAG to use for establishment of Pou;²¹
 - (e) A one off payment of \$250,000 to the SPDAG to use for a longitudinal assessment of the health and wellbeing against agreed marae outcomes for Whareroa Marae;²²

¹⁸ Condition 18.1 Proposed Dredging Consent and Condition 15.1 Proposed Reclamation and Structures Consent.

¹⁹ Condition 12.1 Proposed Dredging Consent.

²⁰ Condition 15.5 Proposed Dredging Consent and Condition 14.5 Proposed Reclamation and Structures Consent.

²¹ Condition 18.2 Proposed Dredging Consent and Condition 15.2 Proposed Reclamation and Structures Consent.

²² Condition 18.3 Proposed Dredging Consent and Condition 15.3 Proposed Reclamation and Structures Consent.

- (f) A one off payment of \$100,000 to the SPDAG to fund an independent audit and assessment of discharges against existing consent conditions and discharges to Te Awanui/Tauranga Harbour;²³
- (g) A fund of \$150,000 to be administered by the SPDAG for the purpose of assessing and developing opportunities to enhance avifauna habitat in and around Te Awanui/Tauranga Harbour;²⁴
- (h) A one off payment of \$1,000,000, and an annual payment of \$25,000, to the Whareroa Marae Reservation Trust towards Whareroa Marae infrastructure projects;²⁵
- (i) Establishment of a fund of \$250,000 to provide for research and education scholarships for iwi and hapū that have a relationship with Te Awanui/Tauranga Harbour;²⁶
- (j) Funding for establishment of a land use policy for POTL land immediately adjacent to Whareroa Marae.²⁷
- 34. Evidence provided in the Environment Court hearing on behalf of the Ngāi Te Rangi parties estimated that the cost of their cultural mitigation proposals would be in the range of \$75,000,000 to \$100,000,000 (notably this sum excludes other Tauranga Moana tangata whenua).²⁸ POTL understands that this quantum has not changed following the Court's first interim decision and that it continues to exclude other local tangata whenua.
- 35. When developing its proposed mitigation package, POTL has had regard to mitigation packages offered for other coastal consents within the following range:

²³ Condition 18.4 Proposed Dredging Consent and Condition 15.4 Proposed Reclamation and Structures Consent.

²⁴ Condition 13.4 Proposed Reclamation and Structures Consent.

²⁵ Condition 18.5 Proposed Dredging Consent and Condition 15.5 Proposed Reclamation and Structures Consent.

²⁶ Condition 19.1 Proposed Dredging Consent.

²⁷ Condition 18.6 Proposed Dredging Consent and Condition 15.6 Reclamation and Structures Consent.

²⁸ Gregory John Carlyon, Notes of evidence taken before the Environment Court (27 February 2023), p 1536 at [20].

- (a) Port of Auckland, Channel Dredging Consent and Deposition Consent \$1,500,000;²⁹ and
- (b) Rena, Restoration and Mitigation Package \$3,600,000.30
- 36. With respect to previous Port of Tauranga consenting and reconsenting projects, the following sums were provided by POTL by way of cultural mitigation:
 - (a) Mount Maunganui Stormwater \$3,080,000; and
 - (b) Capital Dredging (2011) \$3,289,000.
- 37. The circa \$6,000,000 mitigation package proposed in POTL's application far exceeds these other cultural mitigation packages. In developing its cultural mitigation package for the Project, POTL acknowledges that each of the abovementioned packages have been developed in light of the particular circumstances of the various applications which are likely to differ from that of the Project. Furthermore POTL acknowledges that it is not sufficient to only address cultural effects through monetary compensation, and has also provided for mitigation through other means. These mitigation measures are outlined in more detail in the substantive application report and we do not repeat them here.³¹

Management and monitoring plans

38. With respect to a completeness assessment, we briefly address the management and monitoring plans proposed in POTL's application.

Memorandum of Counsel - Stella Passage FT Application(11097090.1)

²⁹ Ports of Auckland will contribute \$1.5 million to preserve the Waitematā Harbour, NZ Herald (14 January 2023) - Ports of Auckland will contribute \$1.5 million to preserve the Waitematā Harbour - NZ Herald

³⁰ Mãori get \$3.6 million from Rena, Sun Live (8 September 2015) - <u>SunLive - Maori get \$3.6 million from Rena - The</u> Bay's News First

³¹ Section 7, Assessment of Environmental Effects.

- 39. A Marine Mammal Management Plan and an Avifauna Management Plan are proposed, and those proposed management plans are included in the application. POTL is seeking certification of those management plans through the Panel's decision making process under the Act.
- 40. Insofar as is possible, POTL has otherwise provided drafts of the management and monitoring plans referred to in its application. Two draft plans are only able to be finalised after the consents are granted as they rely on input from third parties that will be engaged once a decision is made (i.e. the Reclamation and Construction Management Plan and Dredging Management Plan require input from the contractors undertaking the reclamation and dredging who will be engaged following a tender process that will be commenced by POTL after the consents are granted).
- 41. A draft of the Mātauranga Monitoring Plan is not able to be provided at this stage as it relies on input from the yet to be established SPDAG.
- 42. The proposed condition sets out the process by which the SPDAG will be established.³² They also provide a process by which the Mātauranga Monitoring Plan will be developed and certified by the Bay of Plenty Regional Council.³³ We submit that the proposed conditions adequately and sufficiently address the purpose, parameters and certification of this plan.

Conclusion

43. It has been just shy of four and a half years since a consent application was first lodged for the Project and further delays cannot be justified. The Project, once implemented, would have significant economic benefits for both New Zealand

³² See conditions 3.1 to 3.6 of both the structures/reclamation and dredging consents.

³³ See conditions 11.1 to 11.4 (structures consent) for the Reclamation and Construction Management Plan and Conditions 14.1 to 14.3 and 15.1 to 15.5 (structures and dredging consents respectively) for the Mātauranga Monitoring Plan.

and the Bay of Plenty and would play a vital role in allowing the Port of Tauranga to meet future import and export demands.

- 44. The application now lodged under the Act, is not a new development but rather a development identified within the regional planning documents. It has faced extensive scrutiny from all interested parties through an Environment Court direct referral application and has, in part, been approved by the Environment Court.
- 45. We respectfully submit that the resource consents and wildlife approval should be granted with the proposed consent conditions.

DATED at Tauranga this 14th day of April 2025

Vanessa Jane Hamm / Cory Lennon Lipinski Counsel for Port of Tauranga Limited

 $Memorandum\ of\ Counsel\ -\ Stella\ Passage\ FT\ Application (11097090.1)$