

Section 51(2)(d) Fast-track Approvals Act 2014 Report

Southland Wind Farm [FTAA-2504-1041]

Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT) recommends:

- That the archaeological authority is granted, subject to conditions, under the Fast-track Approvals Act 2024 (FTA Act).
- That, if the authority is granted, Dr Chris Jennings is **approved** as the person to carry out the archaeological work under the authority.

Introduction

- 1. On 2 April 2025, Contact Energy Limited (the Applicant) lodged a substantive application for Southland Wind Farm (the Project) with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). On 18 September 2025 the substantive application was deemed complete and compliant with section 46(2) of the FTA Act. It was deemed to not have any competing applications or existing resource consents under section 47 of the FTA Act on 30 September 2025.
- As a part of the application, the Applicant has applied for an archaeological authority. HNZPT
 is the administering agency for the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 (HNZPT
 Act) under the FTA Act.
- 3. On 3 October 2025, the Panel Convener issued a Minute directing the EPA to obtain a report prepared by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and the Māori Heritage Council, in accordance with section 51(2)(d) of the Act (October Minute).
- 4. This report is due 3 December 2025.

Purpose of the Report

- 5. The specific directions of the Panel Convener in the October Minute were:
 - [8] Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and the Māori Heritage Council are to file a report in respect of the approval sought for an archaeological authority. The report should address:
 - (a) The matters set out in clause 3, Schedule 8 of the Act;
 - (b) How the weighting of matters set out in clause 4, Schedule 8 of the Act is to be approached, having regard to relevant senior court decisions.
 - (c) Whether they disagree with or wish to expand upon the expert technical reports or assessments lodged by the Applicant in support of the archaeological authority approvals sought.
 - (d) Recommendations either to grant, subject to conditions, or decline the archaeological authority approvals sought, together with the basis of such recommendation.
 - (e) Any amendments considered necessary to conditions proposed in the application in the event of a recommendation to grant the archaeological authority approval

- sought, having regard to clause 5, Schedule 8 of the Act. Any proposed amendments are to be track changed.
- 6. This Report addresses these matters and makes a recommendation to the Panel regarding the archaeological authority application as part of the Project.

The Project

7. This application relates to Southland Windfarm located at Slopedown Hill in eastern Southland, approximately 50km east of Invercargill, 30km southeast of Gore and 12km east of Wyndham. The Applicant proposes to install a wind farm and undertake grid connection works, which will involve bulk earthworks (proposed works).

Documentation received and reviewed

- 8. This recommendation is based on the HNZPT review of the following documents:
 - Contact Energy Limited- Southland Wind Farm, Part A- Overarching Application Document (A02.Part A)
 - Contact Energy Limited- Southland Wind Farm, Part E, Approvals relating to the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 (E01.Part E)
 - Technical report maps- G06. Part G Technical Maps 63-66, Figure Archaeology- 1:
 Location of recorded archaeological sites near the project site and Figure Archaeology 2 Areas to be monitored by archaeologist and representative from TAMI
 - Technical Assessment H13. Part H- Technical Assessment 13- Cook, R 2025. 'Southland Wind Farm Technical Assessment #13: Archaeology', unpublished report for Contact Energy (Cook Assessment)
 - Proposed conditions I04. Part I- Proposed Archaeological Authority Approvals Conditions
 - Management Report J13. Part J DRAFT Southland Wind Farm Archaeological Management Plan
 - No2. Part N- Formal Notification and Response Letters
 - N03. Part N- Consultation- Archaeological Authority Landowner Approvals.



HNZPT Assessment: Southland Wind Farm

Weighting of clause 4, Schedule 8 matters

9. HNZPT has provided advice on this to the Panel in the Delmore application, a copy of that advice is appended to this Report as **Appendix A**. In short, the greatest weight is given to (a) the purpose of the FTA Act; in respect of the matters set out in section 59(1)(a) of the HNZPTA, it is an overall assessment, rather than a hierarchy of matters for consideration.

Review of Archaeological Assessment

- 10. HNZPT agrees with the Cook assessment, in particular:
 - There are two recorded archaeological sites, G46/13 (findspot) and G46/17 (Musterer's Hut) within the project area.
 - G46/17 will not be impacted by the proposed works.
 - G46/13 may be impacted by the proposed works and there is potential for additional archaeological sites and material to be encountered during the proposed works;
 - The proposed works will modify or destroy the recorded archaeological site, and there is potential that other sites may be modified or destroyed during the proposed works;
 - Mitigation takes the form of protocols for archaeological investigation should archaeological material be encountered during the Project, along with archaeological monitoring within a 100-metre buffer of site G46/13 and the nearby stream crossing; and
 - The proposed mitigation measures included in the Draft Archaeological Management Plan will mitigate the potential adverse effects on the archaeological values within the subject land.

Review of draft conditions, including the Archaeological Management Plan

The Draft Archaeological Management Plan (AMP)

- 11. HNZPT agrees that an AMP is essential to ensure mitigation of any adverse effects, however the AMP is currently in draft form.
- 12. As per the recommended conditions, the AMP must be approved by HNZPT prior to any works commencing. As such, when the final AMP is submitted for approval, if required, HNZPT will discuss it directly with the Authority Holder or Dr Jennings.

Proposed conditions

- 13. The Applicant has proposed draft conditions, which have been considered by HNZPT against the FTA Act, the HNZPT Act, and the standard conditions HNZPT imposes on authorities it grants. These standard conditions have demonstrated their effectiveness in regulating this type of activity and giving effect to the relevant provisions of the Statement of General Policy.
- 14. HNZPT has considered the Applicant's draft conditions and concludes that they are not appropriate and cannot be recommended by HNZPT to the Panel for granting. The conditions do not sufficiently address or consider the effects of the proposed works on the potential archaeological values and therefore will not mitigate these effects.

- 15. HNZPT has also met with the Applicant to discuss the conditions, however the Applicant has advised it does not wish to amend or add to the conditions it has proposed.
- 16. HNZPT recommends amendments to the conditions to ensure that the archaeological works:
 - are undertaken in a culturally appropriate way; and
 - mitigate any adverse effects on the archaeological values; and
 - will allow for any information collected to be recorded in a report and made accessible to other archaeologists and interested persons; and
 - can be monitored and measured to ensure ongoing compliance.
- 17. Included at **Appendix B** is a track change version of the Applicant's proposed authority conditions, including reasoning for amendments.
- 18. At **Appendix C** is the recommended archaeological authority with the HNZPT recommended condition set.
- 19. If granted, HNZPT will need to input the authority into its Archaeological Authority Portal to administer, monitor and enforce the archaeological authority and its conditions throughout the life of the archaeological authority.

Recommendation

20. HNZPT has considered the application against the criteria set out in clause 4, Schedule 8, summarised as follows:

Section 59(1)(a) HNZPTA

- 21. The granting of an archaeological authority for this application would be consistent with the matters set out in section 59(1)(a) of the HNZPTA.
- 22. There is no evidence to suggest that the historical and cultural heritage value of the recorded and any potential subsurface archaeological sites justifies their protection. The application states the project area does not fall under any Statutory Acknowledgement Area, and the Applicant has undertaken consultation with iwi/hapū, who have not expressed opposition to the application proposal.
- 23. HNZPT considers that the conditions, with the recommended amendments, will effectively manage the identification and recovery of archaeological information within the project area.

Section 47(1)(a)(ii) and (5) HNZPTA

24. Section 47(1)(a)(ii) and (5) only apply for an authority application made pursuant to section 44(b) of the HNZPTA – a minor effects authority. This is not a minor effects application, and therefore these sections are not relevant.

Relevant Statement of General Policy

- 25. The relevant Statement of General Policy is Tauākī Mātai Whaipara, Archaeology Statement included in He Tauākī Kaupapahere Whānui, Statements of General Policy dated October 2025.
- 26. The Project is consistent with the objectives and policies of the Statement of General Policy. Policies 2.1 to 2.8 relate to giving effect to The Treaty of Waitangi/ te Tiriti o Waitangi and

Tapuwae, and we note the extensive consultation carried out with Te Ao Marama Inc representatives on behalf of Murihiku Rūnaka.

- 27. The recommended changes to conditions will ensure that the authority is consistent with our Statement of General Policy, namely:
 - 2.16 which requires archaeological authority holders to ensure that all relevant directly
 affected parties are provided the opportunity to review archaeological reports and that
 they are aware that reports will be made available on the HNZPT website.
 - 2.26 which sets out the conditions HNZPT imposes in archaeological authorities proportionate to the effects on values, and may include the following:
 - a) notify Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga of start and finish dates of on-site archaeological work, and
 - b) brief contractors and hapū and iwi representatives, and
 - c) follow appropriate processes if kōiwi tangata are encountered (see Policies 2.8-2.11), and
 - d) follow relevant legal processes if taonga are encountered, and
 - e) carry out archaeological work in accordance with tikanga so long as other conditions of authorities are met, and
 - comply with management plans, site instructions, and research strategies, and
 - g) undertake archaeological monitoring of earthworks, archaeological investigation, recording and analysis, and recording of buildings and structures as appropriate to the application, and
 - h) update New Zealand Archaeological Association Site Record Forms in ArchSite, and
 - i) produce archaeological reports in accordance with the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Archaeological Report Guidelines and provide reports to directly affected parties, including hapū and iwi.

Section 45 HNZPTA Approved person

28. HNZPT considers that Dr Jennings has the appropriate qualifications and sufficient skill and competency to undertake the work required if the authorities are granted and has access to appropriate institutional and professional support. Further, Dr Chris Jennings has the requisite competencies for recognising and respecting Māori values and has access to appropriate cultural support.

Signed for and on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga,



Claire Craig
Deputy Chief Executive Policy, Strategy and Corporate Services
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga
PO Box 2629
WELLINGTON 6140

Appendix A: Weighting of matters set out in Schedule 8, Clause 4 of the FTA Act

Re: Delmore [FTAA-2502-1015] Request for advice dated 11 April 2025

- 1. You have asked Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (Heritage New Zealand) for advice regarding the following:
 - the weighting of matters outlined in Schedule 8, clause 4 of the Fast Track
 Approvals Act 2024 (FTA Act), having regard to senior court decisions; and
 - ii. agreement or otherwise in respect of the statutory summary provided by Barker& Associates Ltd (Barker Report).

Weighting of matters outlined in Schedule 8, Clause 4 of the FTA Act

2. Schedule 8, clause 4 states:

For the purposes of <u>section 81</u>, when considering an application for an archaeological authority, including conditions in accordance with <u>clause 5</u>, the panel must take into account, giving the greatest weight to paragraph (a),—

- (a) the purpose of this Act; and
- (b) the matters set out in section 59(1)(a) of the HNZPT Act1; and
- (c) the matters set out in <u>section 47(1)(a)(ii)</u> and (5) of the HNZPT Act; and
- (d) a relevant statement of general policy confirmed or adopted under the HNZPT Act.
- 3. The wording of the clause itself makes it clear that the Panel gives the greatest weight is given to (a) the purpose of the FTA Act. Of the other matters:

Section 59 matters

4. There is no hierarchy between the matters set out in section 59(1)(a), rather it is an overall assessment. This is evident in the assessment carried out by Heritage New Zealand that will be provided to the Panel with a recommendation.

Section 47(1)(a)(ii) and (5)

- 5. These sections only apply for an authority application made pursuant to section 44(b) of the HNZPTA a minor effects authority.
- 6. The Delmore application is not for an authority pursuant to section 44(b), so the matters in Schedule 8, clause 4(c) are not relevant considerations for this application.

¹ Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014

Statement of General Policy

- 7. The relevant Statement of General Policy is *The Administration of the Archaeological Provisions under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014*, dated 29 October 2015.
- 8. Note, this is currently under review and we anticipate a new Statement of General Policy will be in place from October 2025.

Statutory Summary in Barker Report

- 9. We have reviewed section 13.3² and section 14.5³ of the Barker Report that set out the statutory requirements in relation to an approval under the HNZPT Act.
- 10. Section 13.3 sets out relevant information in relation to obtaining an approval pursuant to the HNZPT Act. We agree with the description of clauses 3, 4, and 5 of the FTA Act.
- 11. Section 14.5 uses subheadings to assess the matters set out in Schedule 8, clause 4 of the FTA Act that the Panel is to have regard to.
- 12. We agree that the matters listed are those contained in Schedule 8, clause 4. Of these, sections 47(a)(ii) and (5) HNZPT Act are not relevant considerations for this matter, as this is not an application made pursuant to section 44(b) HNZPT Act minor works authority.
- 13. The s51 Report prepared by Heritage New Zealand will comment on each of these sections and give an assessment of same before reaching an overall recommendation for the authority application.

² Titled "Approvals Relating to HNZPT 2014 – Schedule 8"

³ Titled "Heritage Authority Approval Sought: Sections 59(1)(a) and 47(1)(a)(ii) and (5) of the HNZPT and Relevant Statement of General Policy"

Appendix B: Track change condition set

We recommend the Expiry Date for the authority to be 5 years after the date of grant, consistent with s54(4)(b) of the HNZPT Act.

We recommend the authority be issued for site G46/13 and potential sites, as yet unrecorded. Site G46/17 will not be impacted by the proposed works so it is inappropriate to include it within the authority.

No.	Condition	
New	At least two working days prior to the commencement of earthworks activities required for the construction of the Southland Wind Farm Project, the Authority Holder shall ensure that Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngã Rūnaka ki Murihiku) are advised of the date when earthworks will commence.	
1	The Archaeological Authority must be exercised in accordance with the an Archaeological Management Plan, to be approved by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga prior to works commencing for the Southland Wind Farm Project. Any changes to the Pelan require the prior written agreement of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, and Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku).	
New	The Authority Holder shall ensure that all contractors working on the construction of the Project are briefed on site by the section 45 approved person, who may appoint a person to carry out the briefing on their behalf, prior to any works commencing. The briefing must include the possibility of encountering archaeological evidence, how to identify possible archaeological sites, the archaeological work required by the conditions of this authority, and contractors' responsibilities with regard to discovering archaeological evidence (including stopping works and parties to notify).	
New	The authority may not be exercised until landowner consent is received by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga for all land affected by this authority.	
New	Any earthworks that may affect any archaeological sites must be monitored by the section 45 approved person who may appoint a person to carry out the monitoring on their behalf. A representative from Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku) may be invited to be present.	
New	Any archaeological evidence encountered during the exercise of this authority shall be investigated, recorded and analysed in accordance with accepted archaeological practice, as outlined in the Archaeological Management Plan for the Southland Wind Farm Project,	
2	If kōiwi tangata (human skeletal remains) or, taonga tūturu or archaeological artefacts are discoveredencountered, during all phases of the Project construction and operation, the Authority Holder shall, without delay. a) Cease all work should cease within a 50m radius of the discovery and; and secure the area;	
	ab) Notify their nominated archaeologist, the relevant District Council, Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku), Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, -and in the case of kōiwi tangata (skeletal remains) the New Zealand Police and Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku), must be advised immediately in accordance with	

Commented [HNZPT1]: This condition is required to allow HNZPT to monitor compliance with conditions required to be completed before works begin and to allow for Murihiku Rünaka to coordinate with monitors to be onsite at start of works and during works (if they wish).

Commented [HNZPT2]: HNZPT must approve the finalised Archaeological Management Plan prepared for the project. The AMP that has been provided is in draft condition, and is likely to be modified in response to this s51 report. It is necessary to review and approve the AMP to ensure archaeological material is treated in a way compliant with the authority and the HNZPT Act and to ensure consistency with the HNZPT standards and guidelines which are prepared solely to achieve best practice for archaeological site management prepared by HNZPT to achieve consistency with all archaeological works around New Zealand. In addition, the condition cannot require third party approval.

Commented [HNZPT3]: This is an important condition to include to ensure that all persons working onsite understand the responsibilities under this authority; and understand what constitutes archaeological material and what the process is if this is encountered during works

Commented [HNZPT4]: Land owner consent is incomplete. The HNZPTA requires the consent of all landowners prior to works commencing thus this condition has been included.

Commented [HNZPT5]: This is an important condition to ensure that the archaeologist and a representative of Murihiku Rūnaka (if they wish) are on site to identify any archaeological remains to enable them to be recorded as per condition 5 (below).

Commented [HNZPT6]: This is an important condition that ensures archaeological work takes place as set out in the management plan and in accordance with current archaeological practice.

Commented [HNZPT7]: Archaeological artefacts in general will be addressed in the AMP. Discovery of köiwi and Taonga Tüturu have different requirements under the HNZPTA and Protected Objects Act as well as associated tikanga māori.

Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga's Guidelines for Kōiwi Tangata/ Human Remains (AGS8 2010):

- b) No further work in the area may take place until future actions have been agreed by all parties
- c) <u>AEnable a</u> site inspection by <u>Heritage New Zealand Powhere Taongethe section 45 approved person</u> and Te Ao Marama Inc. with the appropriate Rūnaknga, and their advisors, who shall be enabled to determine the nature of the discovery and the further action required;
- d) Further actions should be determined in consultation with Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga to ensure all statutory requirements under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and the Protected Objects Act 1975 are met.
- a) Any kōiwi tangata or taonga shall only be handled and removed by tribated the shapu/rūnaka representatives responsible for the tikanga (custom) appropriate to its removal and preservation;
- e) Ensure that the further action identified in accordance with part (c) of this condition is undertaken; and
- f) Upon completion of tasks (a) to (e) above, and provided all statutory permissions have been obtained, the Authority Holder may recommence site construction following consultation and agreement with the relevant District Council, Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku), Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, and in the case of kōiwi tangata, the New Zealand Police.
- The Authority Holder shall, in consultation with Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku) and Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, develop an Accidental Discovery Protocol, which will form part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan for the Project. The protocol shall detail the processes required in Condition 2 above. The protocol shall also include, but not be limited to, identifying the roles and responsibilities of the Authority Holder and the other involved parties, providing contact details and identifying reporting requirements
- The Authority Holder shall ensure that all construction personnel involved in site disturbance activities are suitably trained in the requirements of the Accidental Discovery Protocol, and identification of archaeological sites and/or artefacts.
- A Suitably Qualified and Experienced Person and representative from Te Ao Marama Inc.
 (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku) shall be invited to be present during any earthwork activities that are undertaken within 100m of the archaeological site identified as G4G/13 as shown on Figure Archaeology-2 (Part C) included in Part C to the substantive application.
- Replace Archaeological work must be undertaken in conformity with any tikanga Māori protocols agreed between the Authority Holder and Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngã Rūnaka ki Murihiku)
- New The Authority Holder shall ensure that Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku) are advised of the completion of the construction of the Southland Wind Farm Project within two working days of completion.

New

Within 20 working days of the completion of the on-site archaeological work associated with this authority, NZAA Site Records must be updated in ArchSite based on accepted archaeological practice.

Commented [HNZPT8]: These changes have been suggested to bring the condition in line with the requirements of the HNZPT Act and the Protected Objects Act as well as the processes set out under our Statements of General Policy and guidelines. These revisions also provide for clarity, consistency with our process, and supporting the decision-making of tangata whenua regarding köiwi and the publication of archaeological data relating to their tipuna.

Commented [HNZPT9]: An Accidental Discovery Protocol is inappropriate as an authority is in place - the authority sets in place the procedures for if archaeological material is encountered and an on-call protocol is set out in the Archaeological Management Plan for finds outside of the areas of proposed monitoring. This condition has been replaced with our standard condition wording regarding preworks briefing

Commented [HNZPT10]: Our preference is for this to be replaced with the proposed new condition below. A judgment on 'suitably qualified and experienced' is outside of the remit of HNZPT thus HNZPT would be unable to ensure compliance with this condition.

Access should be enabled for Murihiku Rūnaka across the project to undertake tikanga, not just within the high risk archaeological areas. This can be actioned through the Archaeological Management Plan and the Tikanga agreed with the applicant.

Commented [HNZPT11]: This condition is required to allow HNZPT to monitor compliance with conditions required to be completed during works and sets the timeframe for the reporting conditions below.

New

Within 20 working days of the completion of the on-site archaeological work associated with this authority, the authority holder shall ensure that an interim report completed to the satisfaction of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and following the Archaeological Report Guideline (AGS12 2023) is submitted for inclusion in the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Archaeological Reports Digital Library.

New

Within 12 months of the completion of the on-site archaeological work the Authority Holder shall ensure that a final report, completed to the satisfaction of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and following the Archaeological Report Guideline (AGS12 2023), is submitted for inclusion in the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Archaeological Reports Digital Library, Digital copies of the final report must also be sent to the NZAA Central Filekeeper, Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngã Rūnaka ki Murihiku) and the relevant landowner/s.

Appendix C: HNZPT recommended Authority and Conditions

ARCHAEOLOGICAL AUTHORITY

Authority Number: [TBC]

AUTHORITY DETAILS

Determination Date: [TBC] Expiry Date: [TBC]

Authority Holder: Contact Energy Limited

Postal Address: PO Box 10742, Wellington 6143

Archaeological Sites: G46/13 and potential sites, as yet unrecorded

Location: Slopedown Hill, Venlaw Road, Southland, as identified in the attached Schedule

Section 45 Approved Person: Dr Chris Jennings

Landowner Consent: Incomplete

DETERMINATION

The FTAA Expert Consenting Panel grants an authority pursuant to section 48 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 in respect of the archaeological sites described, to Contact Energy Limited for earthworks associated with the construction of a wind farm referred to as Southland Wind Farm (archaeological works), subject to the following conditions:

CONDITIONS OF AUTHORITY

No.	Condition		
Before Works			
1	Start Work Notification At least two working days prior to the commencement of earthworks activities required for the construction of the Southland Wind Farm Project, the Authority Holder shall ensure that Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku) are advised of the date when earthworks will commence.		
2	Archaeological Management Plan The Authority must be exercised in accordance with an Archaeological Management Plan, to be approved by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga prior to works commencing. Any changes to the Plan require the prior written agreement of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga.		

3 Site Briefing

The Authority Holder shall ensure that all contractors working on the construction of the Project are briefed on site by the section 45 approved person, who may appoint a person to carry out the briefing on their behalf, prior to any works commencing. The briefing must include the possibility of encountering archaeological evidence, how to identify possible archaeological sites, the archaeological work required by the conditions of this authority, and contractors' responsibilities with regard to discovering archaeological evidence (including stopping works and parties to notify).

4 Landowner Consent

The authority may not be exercised until landowner consent is received by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga for all land affected by this authority.

During Works

5 Monitoring

Any earthworks that may affect any archaeological sites must be monitored by the section 45 approved person who may appoint a person to carry out the monitoring on their behalf. A representative from Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku) may be invited to be present.

6 Archaeological Finds

Any archaeological evidence encountered during the exercise of this authority shall be investigated, recorded and analysed in accordance with current archaeological practice, as outlined in the Archaeological Management Plan for the Southland Wind Farm Project.

7 Kõiwi and Taonga Tüturu

If kōiwi tangata (human skeletal remains) or taonga tūturu are encountered, all work should cease within a 50m radius of the discovery, and:

- a) Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, the New Zealand Police and Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku), must be advised immediately in accordance with Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga's Guidelines for Kōiwi Tangata/ Human Remains (AGS8 2010).
- b) No further work in the area may take place until future actions have been agreed by all parties.
- c) A site inspection by the section 45 approved person and Te Ao Marama Inc. with the appropriate Rūnaka, and their advisors, shall be enabled to determine the nature of the discovery and the further action required.
- d) Further actions should be determined in consultation with Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga to ensure all statutory requirements under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and the Protected Objects Act 1975 are met.

 e) Any kōiwi tangata or taonga shall only be handled and removed by hapū/rūnaka representatives responsible for the tikanga (custom) appropriate to its removal and preservation.

Upon completion of tasks (a) to (e) above, and provided all statutory permissions have been obtained, the Authority Holder may recommence site construction following consultation and agreement with the relevant District Council, Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngã Rūnaka ki Murihiku), Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, and in the case of kōiwi tangata, the New Zealand Police.

8 Tikanga

Archaeological work must be undertaken in conformity with any tikanga Māori protocols agreed between the Authority Holder and Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku).

After works

9 Work Completion Notification

The Authority Holder shall ensure that Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku) are advised of the completion of the construction of the Southland Wind Farm Project within two working days of completion.

10 Site Record Forms

Within 20 working days of the completion of the on-site archaeological work associated with this authority, NZAA Site Records must be updated in ArchSite based on accepted archaeological practice.

11 Interim Report

Within 20 working days of the completion of the on-site archaeological work associated with this authority, the authority holder shall ensure that an interim report completed to the satisfaction of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and following the Archaeological Report Guideline (AGS12 2023) is submitted for inclusion in the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Archaeological Reports Digital Library.

12 Final Report

Within 12 months of the completion of the on-site archaeological work the Authority Holder shall ensure that a final report, completed to the satisfaction of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga and following the Archaeological Report Guideline (AGS12 2023), is submitted for inclusion in the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Archaeological Reports Digital Library. Digital copies of the final report must also be sent to the NZAA Central Filekeeper, Te Ao Marama Inc. (on behalf of Ngā Rūnaka ki Murihiku) and the relevant landowner/s.

SCHEDULE OF LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS Legal description Section 3 Block IX Slopedown Survey District, RT SL9D/824; Section 2 Block IX Slopedown Survey District, Section 1 Survey Office Plan 9639 Section 1 Survey Office Plan 10255, RT SL8D/456; Lot 1 DP 3613 Section 1 Survey Office Plan 9465, RT SL155/79; Lot 2 DP 363843 Lot 1 DP 13176 Section 1 Survey Office Plan 9464 Section 2 Survey Office Plan 9464 Section 15 Block X Tuturau Survey District Part Section 16 Block X Tuturau Survey District, RT 259751; Lot 1 DP 363843, RT 259750; Lot 1 DP 12509, RT 407674; Section 61 Block III Wyndham Survey District, RT SL9B/866; Section 62 Block III Wyndham Survey District, RT SL9B/866; Lot 2 DP 362693, RT 255758; Lot 4 DP 15305, Lot 5 DP 15305, Lot 6 DP 15305, Lot 7 DP 15305, Lot 1 DP 15076 Lot 2 DP 15076 Lot 3 DP 15076 Lot 4 DP 15076 Lot 1 DP 15078, SL12B/81; Section 26 Block II Slopedown Survey District, 265526; Section 11 Block II Slopedown Survey District, RT SLA4/151; Section 16 Block II Slopedown Survey District, RT SLA4/151; Section 17 Block II Slopedown Survey District, RT SLA4/151; Part Section 9 Block II Slopedown Survey District, RT SLA4/151; Part Section 10 Block II Slopedown Survey District, RT SLA4/151 Section 5 Block II Slopedown Survey District, RT SL17/134;

Section 20 Block II Slopedown Survey District, RT SL17/134; Section 21 Block II Slopedown Survey District, RT SL17/134;

Section 22 Block II Slopedown Survey District, RT SL17/134;
Lot 1 DP 15305
Lot 2 DP 15305
Lot 3 DP 15305
Lot 1 DP 15306, SL12B/80;
Road reserve and unformed legal road (paper roads).