

| No. | Reference | Organisation Reference | Comment | Additional Information | Applicant Response |
|-----|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | Auckland Council Contamination | | | | |
| 1 | 9-May-25 | Auckland Council Cont | Unexpected discoveries | The applicant notes that Auckland Council Contamination has recommended the consent conditions offered by the applicant, and accepts these conditions. | Unexpected discovery protocols have been included as a condition as per Council's wording. This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |
| 2 | 9-May-25 | Auckland Council Cont | Recommended conditio | The applicant notes that Auckland Council Contamination has recommended the consent conditions offered by the applicant, and accepts these conditions. | Recommended conditions have been included. This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |

| No. | Reference | Organisation Reference | Comment | Applicant Response |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | | | |
| 1 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | The applicant's noise report has adequately assessed the proposed construction noise and the future operational noise, the noise data and modelling methodology used in SLR report are representative. | Noted |
| 2 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | It is predicted that the proposed construction works will comply with the AUP permitted noise standards at all adjacent receivers except one neighbour (59 Russell Road), the construction vibration levels are predicted to comply with the AUP permitted construction vibration standards; the operational noise will comply with the AUP noise standards at all neighbours including those in future zoning. | Noted |
| 3 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | Effective mitigation measures are recommended to reduce and manage the noise. | Noted |
| 4 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | Based on my experience, these mitigation measures are effective to reduce the noise level and suitable for minimising the noise effect for the neighbours. | Noted |
| 5 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | Only one neighbouring house is predicted to receive noise of upto 75 dB LAeq, which may be over the AUP permitted noise standard by 5 dB but does not exceed the noise criterion (75 dB LAeq) recommended by the current New Zealand Standards: NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction noise. The noise of 75 dB LAeq may cause slight annoyance as phone conversation voice may need to be slightly raised and TV volume may need to increase. But residential and office activities generally can continue. Noting the noise exceedance duration being less than a week, the noise effect may be managed by the proposed mitigation measures, such as prior communication, scheduling noisy work at least sensitive time, noise monitoring etc, to a reasonable level. The operational noise effect is reasonable as it is predicted to comply with the relevant AUP noise standards. | Noted |
| 6 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | Condition 15, CNVMP: it is appropriate and acceptable. | This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |
| 7 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | Condition 28: the noise limit of 75 dB LAeq for 59 Russell Rd would be more precise in terms of stage of work, the assessment method which should be specified in the condition. Amendment is suggested below (refer to Memo). | This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |
| 8 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | Condition 29: this condition should set out an upper limit of 5mm/s over which vibration may cause damage to structure. See amendment below. (refer to Memo) | This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |
| 9 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | Further conditions required: Construction noise (Construction times) All construction works shall be restricted to between 7.30am and 6.00pm from Monday to Saturday. There shall be no works on Sundays or public holidays. The restriction on hours of works shall not apply to low noise generating activities, such as site set up or staff meetings, which may occur outside of these hours. | This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |
| 10 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Noise and Vibration | Further conditions required: Within one month of the proposed waste water treatment plan (WWTP) or any future upgrades to the WWTP commencing operation, the consent holder must submit a noise monitoring report with noise measurement results prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced noise consultant to the council for review, which confirms that the waste water treatment plan have been constructed and operated to maintain compliance with the relevant noise limits of AUP E25.D19 | This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |

| No. | Reference | Organisation Reference | Comment | Applicant Response |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Auckland Council Hazardous Substances | | | |
| 1 | 23/05/2025 | Auckland Council Hazardous Substances | <p>The hazardous-substance storage and use is a Discretionary Activity under AUP E31. The HSITA report notes that the hazardous facilities that store or use hazardous substances above the specified thresholds for controlled activity and restricted discretionary activity status in the activity tables or are not otherwise provided for are a discretionary activity under E31.4.1(A7).</p> <p>I recommend that the consent application can be granted subject to conditions similar to those proposed at Appendix 21 of the AEE (detailed at the end of this memo). The reasons for this recommendation are:</p> | Conditions have been amended as per Council's request. This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |
| 2 | 23/05/2025 | Auckland Council Hazardous Substances | <p>A Hazardous Substances Assessment report (HSITA) has been prepared by Williamson Water & Land Advisory (see Appendix 32) which provides an assessment of effects on people, property and the environment arising from the use hazardous substances within the proposed WWTP. I consider the HSITA report has been prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced hazardous substances consultant</p> | Noted |
| 3 | 23/05/2025 | Auckland Council Hazardous Substances | <p>To ensure compliance with AUP (OP) E31 provisions (and to minimise environmental and health risks), several control and operational measures have been proposed, including secondary containment,</p> <p>and a site-specific environmental management plan (to be consent-conditioned)</p> | Noted |
| 4 | 23/05/2025 | Auckland Council Hazardous Substances | <p>I concur with the HSITA report's conclusion that it is very unlikely that hazardous substances will be released from the site, and since the consequence of a release is low to moderate, the operation of the WWTP presents a low risk overall.</p> | Noted |
| 5 | 23/05/2025 | Auckland Council Hazardous Substances | <p>The full implementation of the proposed conditions of consent will ensure that the design and management of the proposed WWTP with regard to hazardous substances will avoid or adequately mitigate any adverse effects, including risks to people, property and the environment.</p> | Noted |
| 6 | 23/05/2025 | Auckland Council Hazardous Substances | <p>Applicant's proposed consent conditions (inserted certification requirement, updated 'shall' to 'must')</p> | Conditions have been amended as per Council's request. This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |

| No. | Reference | Organisation Reference | Comment | Applicant Response |
|-----|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | Auckland Council Air Quality | | | |
| 1 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Air Quality | I recommend that the consent application can be granted subject to conditions similar to those proposed at Appendix 21 of the AEE (detailed at the end of this memo). The reasons for this recommendation are: | Conditions have been amended as per Council's request. This has been addressed within the Proposed Draft Consent Conditions prepared by Barker and Associates. |
| 2 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Air Quality | I consider the AQR has been prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced air quality practitioner in accordance with best practice guidance to appropriately assess the site's air discharges and resulting adverse effects. | Noted |
| 3 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Air Quality | I agree with the conclusions of the AEE and AQR, that the Site's air discharges are not likely to cause significant adverse effects at any location beyond the Site boundary. | Noted |
| 4 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Air Quality | The AQR's assessment includes FIDOL that I consider has been undertaken by a suitably experienced specialist with adequate regard to the recommendations of the Good Practice Guide for Assessing Discharges to Air from Industry (GPG:Industry, Ministry for the Environment, 2016), and other relevant guidelines. | Noted |
| 5 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Air Quality | Discharges of odour from the proposed Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) are not likely to cause significant amenity effects | Noted |
| 6 | 23-May-25 | Auckland Council Air Quality | Discharges of dust from all phases of the project's construction are not likely to cause significant amenity effects if controlled in accordance with the proposed measures in the AEE | Noted |