

Note: CRC has provided tracked changes to a number of draft resource consent conditions. NZTA considers that several of these changes are administrative in nature and agrees they should be made. NZTA has only addressed CRC's tracked changes where it does not agree with the proposed amendment.

NZTA response to Canterbury Regional Council comments on the Panel's draft conditions in relation to State Highway 1 North Canterbury – Woodend Bypass Project (Belfast to Pegasus)			
Condition number	Extracts or track changes condition	CRC's comments and reasoning	NZTA response (accepted or rejected, with reasons)
MP.9	...(h) The roles and responsibilities of staff and contractors for dust management; <u>(i) A method for recording and responding to complaints from the public in accordance with Condition C4.13</u> (j) Where not already addressed by preceding clauses, the relevant requirements of Schedule 2 of the Canterbury Air Regional Plan.	<i>CRC would find it very helpful if the Applicant could have methods to record and respond to air quality complaints from the public. This continues to be an issue for CRC over a number of consents and is generally a condition we have in air quality management plans.</i>	NZTA rejects the amendments sought MP.6(g) of the draft decision requires the CEMP to set out procedures for receiving and responding to complaints from the general public. NZTA considers the CEMP, as the overarching framework for managing construction activities and associated effects, is the appropriate location for complaints procedures. NZTA seeks to retain the wording of condition MP.9 as per the draft decision.
Schedule 4 CM.1	If Canterbury mudfish are detected during Construction Works within the Potential Mudfish Habitat WC_W6_NPSFM then Construction Works must cease until a Canterbury Mudfish Management Plan (CMMP) has been certified by CRC in accordance with Condition CM.7. This condition does not restrict Construction Works in other parts of the Project beyond <u>any individual wetland where Canterbury Mudfish are found.</u> the Potential Mudfish Habitat WC_W6_NPSFM.	<i>Canterbury mudfish may be found elsewhere at the site than just WC_W6_NPSFM.</i>	NZTA rejects the amendments sought NZTA has set out the reasons why Schedule 4 should be restricted to WC_W6_NPSFM in the response to DOC comments on the draft decision, dated 13 July 2026. In the unlikely event Canterbury Mudfish are found elsewhere on Site, condition EM.1 would apply. Condition EM.1 sets out a protocol for discovery of any 'At Risk or 'Threatened' flora or fauna and

NZTA response to Canterbury Regional Council comments on the Panel's draft conditions in relation to State Highway 1 North Canterbury – Woodend Bypass Project (Belfast to Pegasus)

Condition number	Extracts or track changes condition	CRC's comments and reasoning	NZTA response (accepted or rejected, with reasons)
			would apply to Canterbury mudfish as 'Threatened' species. NZTA has suggested amendments to Schedule 4 CM.1 to reinstate a similar condition that was included in NZTA's response to comments (Attachment 8), dated 15 May 2026, to reflect that a further survey be carried out in WC_W6_NPSFM.
Schedule 4 CM.5	The CMMP shall include: (a) the location and extent of occupied Canterbury mudfish habitat, within potential Mudfish Habitat WC_W6_NPSFM to which the CMMP applies; (b) measures to avoid or minimise effects on Canterbury mudfish; 	<i>Canterbury mudfish may be found elsewhere at the site than just WC_W6_NPSFM.</i>	NZTA rejects the amendments sought NZTA has set out the reasons why Schedule 4 should be restricted to WC_W6_NPSFM in the response to DOC comments on the draft decision, dated 13 July 2026. NZTA has suggested amendments to Schedule 4 CM.5 to reinstate a similar condition that was included in NZTA's response to comments (Attachment 8), dated 15 May 2026, to reflect that a further survey be carried out in WC_W6_NPSFM and the CMMP (if triggered) should include the results of this survey.
Schedule 4 CM.8	The certified CMMP shall be implemented and complied with for the duration of Construction Works within the Potential Mudfish Habitat WC_W6_NPSFM, and for the duration of any	<i>Canterbury mudfish may be found elsewhere at the site than just WC_W6_NPSFM.</i>	NZTA rejects the amendments sought NZTA has set out the reasons why Schedule 4 should be restricted to WC_W6_NPSFM in the

NZTA response to Canterbury Regional Council comments on the Panel's draft conditions in relation to State Highway 1 North Canterbury – Woodend Bypass Project (Belfast to Pegasus)

Condition number	Extracts or track changes condition	CRC's comments and reasoning	NZTA response (accepted or rejected, with reasons)
	post-relocation monitoring, habitat maintenance, reporting, or further compensation measures required by the CMMP.		response to DOC comments on the draft decision, dated 13 July 2026. NZTA has suggested amendments to Schedule 4 CM.8 requiring the certified CMMP be provided to DOC for information, in response to DOC comments on the draft decision.
Schedule 3 EM.3 & EM.4	(a) Except where modified by Condition EM.4, offset and compensation measures shall be carried out in accordance with the Ecology Offset Plan (Volume 4E of the Application) attached to and forming part of this resource consent. (b) The offsetting areas must include at least 2.6 ha of wetland area in the Southern Remnant Lake, 0.19 ha of stream bed and 2.5 ha of associated riparian planting along McIntosh Drain, and 6 ha of lizard habitat throughout the Project site area. <u>For the avoidance of doubt, this offset area cannot be reduced during the recalculation process under EM.4.</u>	<i>It is unclear if the minimum area identified in (b) can be modified by the recalculation in EM.4 – If it can't be reduced then proposed track changes are recommended.</i>	NZTA rejects the amendments sought As set out in NZTA's comments on the draft decision Attachment 1 (dated 3 July 2026), NZTA has sought clause (b) be deleted as it imposes a minimum requirement for offset and compensation areas that equates to the total areas available for these measures, and does not allow for the possibility of recalculation reducing the offset and compensation measures required to achieve no net loss. The amendments sought by CRC should be deleted on the same basis. Please refer to the joint Ecology SOE Attachment 5 of NZTA's comments on the draft decision (dated 3 July 2026) for further discussion around the recalculation approach proposed for the Project.