



Laila Alkamil [REDACTED]

RE: FNSF/The Point - s53/Conditions catch-up - RMA261598

1 message

Reuben Herz-Edinger [REDACTED]

12 February 2026 at 13:40

To: Laila Alkamil [REDACTED], Dwayne Daly [REDACTED]
Cc: Richard Homewood [REDACTED], John Andrews [REDACTED]

Kia ora all,

Thanks for your time earlier today, really helpful to go over those points.

For the access road, the potentially relevant rules are:

- Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan rules:
 - 5.175-176 – Earthworks over an aquifer.
 - 5.167-169 – Earthworks and Vegetation Clearance in Riparian Areas.
 - 5.94A-B – Construction-phase stormwater discharge.
- If modification of the culvert would be required:
 - 5.137-5.141B – Structures in waterways
 - Also NES-Freshwater Regulations 70-71

For the ESCP/construction-phase stormwater conditions, Meridian's suite covers CRC252388-396.

The construction-phase stormwater for the general site is [CRC252392](#), and the division of Meridian / Transpower consents is listed below for your convenience if needed.

On the scope issues we discussed, we don't see any issue with the construction-phase stormwater discharges applying to the entire solar farm site.

However, it does seem that including the entire access road from SH8 would cause procedural issues in terms of adjacent landowners being invited to comment under FTAA s53(2)(h) (especially noting the Panel's map of "adjacent land" from Minute 2).

Leila, on the structure of the AMP review by DOC, it would be better to ensure that the DOC comments are provided to MDC as part of the certification process.

Currently, Condition (59) and (61) have “three months” and “60 working days” respectively, so that could be staggered to allow time for the DOC comments to be received before the draft goes to MDC.

A further condition could be for the Consent Holder to comment on the DOC review, noting if/where DOC’s comments have been adopted or not, and the reasoning for this.

That all would allow MDC (or their delegated reviewer) to consider DOC’s comments as part of the certification request, which gives more assurance that DOC’s comments are a meaningful part of the process.

The above may also apply to other conditions where DOC comments are sought, e.g., the BCMP (66), EEP (70), though I didn’t look at those in the same detail as (59)-(61) just now.

I hope that helps, and that I’ve covered everything, but do let me know if I can provide anything else.

Best regards,

Reuben

Meridians Solar Farm Consents

- a. Solar Farm (including Solar Farm Substation) consents: CRC252388, CRC252390, CRC252392, CRC252395
 - i. CRC252388 – s9 Earthworks (Solar Farm)
 - ii. CRC252390 – s14 Dewatering (Solar Farm)
 - iii. CRC252392 - s15 Construction-phase stormwater (Solar Farm)
 - iv. CRC252395 – s15 Operational stormwater (Solar Farm)
- b. National Grid Substation consents: CRC252389, CRC252391, CRC252393, CRC252396
 - i. CRC252389 – s9 Earthworks (National Grid Substation)
 - ii. CRC252391- s14 Dewatering (National Grid Substation)
 - iii. CRC252393 – s15 Construction-phase stormwater (National Grid Substation)

iv. CRC252396 – s15 Operational stormwater (National Grid Substation)

IN-CONFIDENCE

From: Laila Alkamil [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 12 February 2026 11:59 am
To: Reuben Herz-Edinger [REDACTED] Dwayne Daly [REDACTED]
Cc: Richard Homewood [REDACTED] John Andrews [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: FNSF/The Point - s53/Conditions catch-up

Caution: This is an email from an external party. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments.

Kia ora Reuben and Dwayne,

Thank you for your time just now - it was very useful being able to discuss your s53 comments.

In terms of your comments about the workability of the DoC review of the AMP in Condition 61 - do you have any suggestions on how that could be improved? This condition was put forward by DoC, but I can see how the wording could be tightened around when that feedback is sought and how it is incorporated into the AMP.

Also regarding the access road, we will wait to hear back from you regarding potential consent triggers.

Appreciate your assistance, it is most appreciated.

Kind regards

Laila

Laila Alkamil | Senior Planner, *MNZPI*
Williamson Water and Land Advisory

Phone | [REDACTED]
Email | [REDACTED]
Web | <https://www.wvla.kiwi/>

10/1 Putaki Drive | Kumeu | Auckland | New Zealand

On Wed, 11 Feb 2026 at 09:04, Reuben Herz-Edinger [REDACTED] > wrote:

Kia ora all,

Booking in a time to discuss ECan's current thinking around conditions and issues for s53 comments.

Many thanks,

Reuben

RMA261598 – FTAA-2509-1100

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join: <https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/44904148628282?p=YG6Pv8cSJTxwH7OUI7>

Meeting ID: 449 041 486 282 82

Passcode: aq33zg6j

[Need help?](#) | [System reference](#)

Dial in by phone

+64 4 280 7344,,104103741# New Zealand, Wellington

[Find a local number](#)

Phone conference ID: 104 103 741#

For organizers: [Meeting options](#) | [Reset dial-in PIN](#)

Reuben Herz-Edinger

Senior Consents Planner

Environment Canterbury

Tuam Street



PO Box 345, Christchurch 8140

Customer Services: 0800 324 636

24 Hours: 0800 76 55 88

ecan.govt.nz

2/23/26, 12:20 PM

Williamson Water & Land Advisory Mail - RE: FNSF/The Point - s53/Conditions catch-up - RMA261598