

Your comment on the Ashbourne project draft conditions

Please include all the contact details listed below with your comments and indicate whether you can receive further communications from us by email to substantive@fasttrack.govt.nz.

1. Contact Details			
Please ensure that you have authority to comment on the application on behalf of those named on this form.			
Organisation name (if relevant)			
Name	Alice McKenzie on behalf of Beth and Maurice Vosper and Clare Vosper and Jason Kranenburg		
Postal address	[REDACTED]		
Home phone / Mobile phone	[REDACTED]	Work phone	
Email (a valid email address enables us to communicate efficiently with you)	[REDACTED]		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I can receive emails and my email address is correct	<input type="checkbox"/>	I cannot receive emails and my postal address is correct
-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---

Please provide your comments below, include additional pages as needed.

Appendix A1 MPDC LUC

Condition 15

We are very supportive of the inclusion of this condition.

Condition 26

We support the inclusion of a dust management plan and a buffer planting management plan.

Condition 36

We support the inclusion of a communication management plan, as well as the requirements set out in this condition.

Condition 53

We support this condition as a whole. We particularly endorse b) where it specifies a depth of 5m planting from the site boundary.

Condition 93

We do not support the inclusion of this broad-brush condition. We think that the winter earthworks season can be drier than the summer season in our changing climate. We would prefer a condition that allows earthworks in appropriate weather conditions (ie up to a certain depth of puddling).

Condition 97

We support the inclusion of this condition.

Condition 99

We are unsure how this condition will be applied to the hedge along our shared boundary with the development. Clarification via an advice note would be appreciated.

Condition 113

We support the inclusion of this condition.

Condition 117

We thank the panel for their specific consideration regarding this consent condition as it relates to our properties.

- a) We support the building line restriction of 8m for our boundary.
- b) We support the maintenance of the established planting, however in condition 53 a 5m planting depth was specified. We suggest that a 5m depth is included in this condition also.
- c) We support the inclusion of this on the consent notice.
- d) We support the inclusion of this on the consent notice.
- e) We support the inclusion of this on the consent notice.

Condition 119

We request a change to the landscaping buffer minimum aspect of this consent condition, see below.

Any lot adjoining a Rural or Rural Residential Zoned property (not including the Site) must provide a 4m landscaping buffer in the rear or side setback (whichever adjoins the Rural or Rural Residential Zoned property). Any lot adjoining a Rural Residential Zoned property (not including the Site) must provide a solid 1.5m high fence in rear or side setback. Any lot adjoining a Rural Zoned property (not including the Site) must provide a 1.5m high post and rail fence in rear or side setback.

This requested change is due to our obligations under the fencing act, for maintenance and construction of new fencing into the future. We will be up for half the costs for maintenance and construction of new fencing (which will be high across the length of our boundary). Our preference is post and rail fencing, which is functional for stock containment, in keeping with the rural nature of the boundary and cheaper for construction and maintenance costs.

Condition 156

We support the inclusion of this condition and thank the panel for their specific consideration.

Appendix A2 MPDC SUB

Condition 8

We support the inclusion of this condition.

Condition 21

We support the inclusion of this condition.

- a) As above we suggest a 5m strip of buffer planting.
- b) Land use condition 117 stipulates a 8m building line restriction along our boundary. We would prefer this over the 5m in this condition.
- c) We support this being included in the consent notice.
- d) We support this being included in the consent notice.
- e) We support this being included in the consent notice.