



State Highway 1 North Canterbury – Woodend Bypass Project (Belfast to Pegasus) (FTAA-2512-1157)

From [REDACTED]
Date Sun 3/05/2026 9:42 PM
To FastTrack Substantive <substantive@fasttrack.govt.nz>

Good evening,

We wish to comment on the State Highway 1 North Canterbury – Woodend Bypass Project (Belfast to Pegasus) Fast-track application.

Contact details:

Dr. Rainer Hack and Dr. Ursula Hack
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I can receive emails and my email address is correct.

Comments on the Project:

1. We are the owners of [REDACTED] which is adjacent to the proposed designation. Our house and site is a Category 2 Historic Place (Mairangi) as noted in 3H Archaeology and Heritage Assessment at page 63.
While we do not support the Project overall we appreciate there are a number of designation conditions which mitigate the adverse effects of the Project on our property.
2. The designation conditions provide for a Heritage Management Plan for [REDACTED] to be submitted to the WDCC as well as a photographic record (Conditions 31 and 32). We support these conditions. However, we request that Condition 32 be amended to require NZTA to provide us as property owners a copy of the photographic record of our property (currently the condition only requires this to be provided to the Council and Heritage NZ).
3. There are 4 notable trees on our property (3 are listed in the updated District Plan). Designation Conditions 58-63 relate to the Copper Beech which is closest to the designation corridor. We support the designation conditions to protect this tree and its roots.
4. Given the proximity of the corridor to our two-storey homestead, we are very concerned with the operational road noise. Designation Conditions 92-96 refer to the proposed noise mitigation. 4A General Arrangement Layout Plan Sheet 14 shows the design of the corridor adjacent to our house and the proposed noise wall. We support the construction of appropriate noise walls to the best practice standard to mitigate the operational noise of the bypass on our house and property. Please consult us on any proposed changes to the location of the noise walls in the vicinity of our property.
5. However, it is still unclear as to the details of the noise barriers and the low-noise road surface, as the Road-traffic Noise Assessment Report has not been prepared and there appears to be no other report in the application documentation providing detailed assessment of operational noise effects. Please include in conditions 92-96 a requirement for NZTA to consult us on the draft Road-traffic Noise Assessment Report (or other detailed design of the Structural Mitigation measures) for any design relating to noise mitigation in the proximity of our property.

6. Designation Condition 40 provides specific visual mitigation for our property (see 40(c)(11)). The mitigation for our property suggested in that condition is *mitigation may include dense evergreen trees no taller than 6 metres to be planted along the northern and eastern boundary of the property, for approximately 30 metres each way, starting from the north-eastern corner of the property boundary*. We sought this mitigation through the original designation process and continue to seek this, given the proximity of our house to the bypass and the current rural outlook. Please amend the condition to require NZTA to consult with us on the draft Visual Effect Management Plan in relation to the proposed trees to be planted.
7. Please consult us on any proposed changes to the Designation Conditions, in particular the above noted ones which directly affect our property.

We would like to comment on the panel's draft conditions at a later stage.

Regards,
Rainer and Ursula Hack