

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT415A


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.05	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.05	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.05	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained

2. Organic material

3. Clay to silty clay

4. Clayey silt to silty

5. Silty sand to sandy silt


6. Clean sand to silty sand

7. Gravely sand to sand

8. Very stiff sand to

9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.05	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.05	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light Green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark Green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Green	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.05	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.05	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vise-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.



Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value:

1.70

I_c maximum check value:

3.00

I_c change ratio value:

0.0100

Minimum number of points in layer:

4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file:

1583

Total points excluded:


80

Exclusion percentage:

5.05%

Number of layers detected:


11



Abbreviations

- q_c: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation




APPENDIX 7.3

ULS LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS RESULTS

Project title :

Location :


Overall vertical settlements report



Project title :

Location :

Overall Liquefaction Severity Number report



LSN color scheme

- Severe damage
- Major expression of liquefaction
- Moderate to severe exp. of liquefaction
- Moderate expression of liquefaction
- Minor expression of liquefaction
- Little to no expression of liquefaction

Basic statistics

Total CPT number: 39
 74% little liquefaction
 26% minor liquefaction
 0% moderate liquefaction
 0% moderate to major liquefaction
 0% major liquefaction
 0% severe liquefaction

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT01


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained

2. Organic material

3. Clay to silty clay

4. Clayey silt to silty

5. Silty sand to sandy silt


6. Clean sand to silty sand

7. Gravely sand to sand

8. Very stiff sand to


9. Very stiff fine grained

Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)






Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vise-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics


Total points in CPT file: 906
Total points excluded: 46
Exclusion percentage: 5.08%
Number of layers detected: 5



Abbreviations

- q_t: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT02


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sand & Clay
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)
 Points to test: Based on I_c value
 Earthquake magnitude M_w : 6.50
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19
 Depth to water table (insitu): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (earthq.): 1.00 m
 Average results interval: 3
 I_c cut-off value: 2.60
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT
 Use fill: No
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A
 Transition detect. applied: Yes
 K_σ applied: Yes
 Clay like behavior applied: Sand & Clay
 Limit depth applied: Yes
 Limit depth: 10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

■ Almost certain it will liquefy
■ Very likely to liquefy
■ Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
■ Unlike to liquefy
■ Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

■ Very high risk
■ High risk
■ Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vise-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 2425
Total points excluded: 111
Exclusion percentage: 4.58%
Number of layers detected: 13


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

q_t : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
 I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
 FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
 Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT


Project title :

Location :


CPT file : CPT03

Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

■	Almost certain it will liquefy
■	Very likely to liquefy
■	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
■	Unlike to liquefy
■	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme


■	Very high risk
■	High risk
■	Low risk

Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vise-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 754
Total points excluded: 88
Exclusion percentage: 11.67%
Number of layers detected: 9


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

q_t : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
 I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
 FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
 Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT03A


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained

2. Organic material

3. Clay to silty clay

4. Clayey silt to silty

5. Silty sand to sandy silt


6. Clean sand to silty sand

7. Gravely sand to sand

8. Very stiff sand to


9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)



Input parameters and analysis data


Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light Green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark Green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Yellow	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
 Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 752
 Total points excluded: 114
 Exclusion percentage: 15.16%
 Number of layers detected: 14


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

q_t : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
 I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
 FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
 Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT04A


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)
Fines correction method: B&I (2014)
Points to test: Based on Ic value
Earthquake magnitude M_w : 6.50
Peak ground acceleration: 0.19
Depth to water table (insitu): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (earthq.): 1.00 m
Average results interval: 3
Ic cut-off value: 2.60
Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT
Use fill: No
Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A
Transition detect. applied: Yes
 K_σ applied: Yes
Clay like behavior applied: Sand & Clay
Limit depth applied: Yes
Limit depth: 10.00 m


F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vise-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 2994
Total points excluded: 103
Exclusion percentage: 3.44%
Number of layers detected: 11


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

q_t : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
 I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
 FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
 Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT05


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sands only
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based



Zone A1: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
Zone A2: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data


Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained




Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Yellow	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vise-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 1204
Total points excluded: 240
Exclusion percentage: 19.93%
Number of layers detected: 32


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

q_t : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
 I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
 FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
 Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT06


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Yellow	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vise-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 2994
Total points excluded: 118
Exclusion percentage: 3.94%
Number of layers detected: 14


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

q_t : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
 I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
 FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
 Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT07


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sands only
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based



Zone A1: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
Zone A2: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend


1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

■	Almost certain it will liquefy
■	Very likely to liquefy
■	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
■	Unlike to liquefy
■	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

■	Very high risk
■	High risk
■	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
 Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 1416
 Total points excluded: 222
 Exclusion percentage: 15.68%
 Number of layers detected: 23


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

q_t : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
 I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
 FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
 Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT08


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A1: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
Zone A2: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained

2. Organic material

3. Clay to silty clay

4. Clayey silt to silty

5. Silty sand to sandy silt


6. Clean sand to silty sand

7. Gravely sand to sand

8. Very stiff sand to

9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Light green	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vise-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 813
Total points excluded: 31
Exclusion percentage: 3.81%
Number of layers detected: 5


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

- q_t: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT09


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
 Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 951
 Total points excluded: 106
 Exclusion percentage: 11.15%
 Number of layers detected: 14


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

- q_c: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT09A


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	MSF method:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes		
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
 Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 1138
 Total points excluded: 150
 Exclusion percentage: 13.18%
 Number of layers detected: 20


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

- q_c: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT10


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A1: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A2: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vise-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 1212
Total points excluded: 94
Exclusion percentage: 7.76%
Number of layers detected: 11


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

q_t : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
 I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
 FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
 Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT11


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sand & Clay
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend


1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)






Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 2189
Total points excluded: 161
Exclusion percentage: 7.35%
Number of layers detected: 23


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

- q_c: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT12


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sands only
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained

2. Organic material

3. Clay to silty clay

4. Clayey silt to silty

5. Silty sand to sandy silt


6. Clean sand to silty sand

7. Gravely sand to sand

8. Very stiff sand to

9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Yellow	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
 Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 1252
 Total points excluded: 122
 Exclusion percentage: 9.74%
 Number of layers detected: 10


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

- q_c: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT13A


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A1: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A2: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained

2. Organic material

3. Clay to silty clay

4. Clayey silt to silty

5. Silty sand to sandy silt


6. Clean sand to silty sand

7. Gravely sand to sand

8. Very stiff sand to

9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)
 Points to test: Based on I_c value
 Earthquake magnitude M_w : 6.50
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19
 Depth to water table (insitu): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (earthq.): 1.00 m
 Average results interval: 3
 I_c cut-off value: 2.60
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT
 Use fill: No
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A
 Transition detect. applied: Yes
 K_σ applied: Yes
 Clay like behavior applied: Sand & Clay
 Limit depth applied: Yes
 Limit depth: 10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

■ Almost certain it will liquefy
■ Very likely to liquefy
■ Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
■ Unlike to liquefy
■ Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

■ Very high risk
■ High risk
■ Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
 Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 2994
 Total points excluded: 84
 Exclusion percentage: 2.81%
 Number of layers detected: 10


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

- q_c: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT14


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sand & Clay
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend


1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light Green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark Green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Yellow	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics


Total points in CPT file: 2216
Total points excluded: 107
Exclusion percentage: 4.83%
Number of layers detected: 13



Abbreviations

- q_t : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT15


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data


Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained




Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

■	Almost certain it will liquefy
■	Very likely to liquefy
■	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
■	Unlike to liquefy
■	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

■	Very high risk
■	High risk
■	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sand & Clay
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
 Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 2536
 Total points excluded: 230
 Exclusion percentage: 9.07%
 Number of layers detected: 27


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

- q_t: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT


Project title :

Location :


CPT file : CPT16

Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sands only
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based



CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

■	Almost certain it will liquefy
■	Very likely to liquefy
■	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
■	Unlike to liquefy
■	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

■	Very high risk
■	High risk
■	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
 Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics

Total points in CPT file: 1065
 Total points excluded: 76
 Exclusion percentage: 7.14%
 Number of layers detected: 10


Estimation of post-earthquake settlements



Abbreviations

q_c : Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
 I_c : Soil Behaviour Type Index
 FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
 Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT17


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	MSF method:	Method based
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes		
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

SBT legend


1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Light green	Low risk


Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_o applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m

Check for strength loss plots (Idriss & Boulanger (2008))



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	10.00 m


TRANSITION LAYER DETECTION ALGORITHM REPORT

Summary Details & Plots

Short description

The software will delete data when the cone is in transition from either clay to sand or vice-versa. To do this the software requires a range of I_c values over which the transition will be defined (typically somewhere between $1.80 < I_c < 3.0$) and a rate of change of I_c . Transitions typically occur when the rate of change of I_c is fast (i.e. ΔI_c is small).

The SBT_n plot below, displays in red the detected transition layers based on the parameters listed below the graphs.




Transition layer algorithm properties

I_c minimum check value: 1.70
 I_c maximum check value: 3.00
 I_c change ratio value: 0.0100
 Minimum number of points in layer: 4

General statistics


Total points in CPT file: 866
 Total points excluded: 241
 Exclusion percentage: 27.83%
 Number of layers detected: 22



Abbreviations

- q_t: Total cone resistance (cone resistance q_c corrected for pore water effects)
- I_c: Soil Behaviour Type Index
- FS: Calculated Factor of Safety against liquefaction
- Volumetric strain: Post-liquefaction volumetric strain

Ejecta Severity Estimation



LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPT18

Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sand & Clay
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.50	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	10.00 m
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method based



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry