

BEFORE THE PANEL CONVENER

IN THE MATTER of the Fast-Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA)

AND

IN THE MATTER of a substantive application by Nova Energy Limited under section 42 of the FTAA to install and operate a solar farm

APPLICATION NO. FTAA-2604-1217

**MEMORANDUM FROM TE RŪNANGA O NGĀI TAHU RESPONDING TO MINUTE THREE
OF THE PANEL CONVENOR**

23 July 2026

Lisa Mackenzie
Acting Programme Lead- Mauri
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu
15 Show Place, Addington
Email: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

Response to Minute 3 of the Panel Convener: Convener's Conference [FTAA-2604-1217]

1. INTRODUCTION

This memorandum is filed on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu in advance of the Convener's conference scheduled for 29 July 2026 at 10:00 am, in relation to the substantive application for the Twizel Solar Farm (herein referred to as '**the Nova application**').

The Minute seeks participant views prior to a convener's conference, which will inform the decision of the Convener regarding:

- a. Appointment of Panel Members.
- b. The timing of the panel decision.

In relation to the timeframe for a decision, it is noted that paragraph (6) specifies that the Panel Convener would be most assisted by genuine engagement with the matters in issue rather than general responses.

At paragraph (7) conference participants are invited to comment on several specified matters identified by the Convener. These matters have been carefully considered, and those most relevant to Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu are addressed below

Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu (**Te Rūnanga**) is the statutorily recognised representative tribal body of Ngāi Tahu Whānui, as provided by section 15 of the Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu Act 1996 (**TRONT Act**).

Te Rūnanga encompasses five hapū, Kati Kurī, Ngāti Irakehu, Kati Huirapa, Ngāi Te Ruahikihiki, Ngāi Tūāhuriri and 18 Papatipu Rūnanga, who uphold the mana whenua and mana moana of their respective rohe.

Papatipu Rūnanga who have shared interest in Te Manahuna are:

1. Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua
2. Te Rūnanga o Moeraki
3. Te Rūnanga o Waihao

Ngāi Tahu holds and exercises rangatiratanga within the Ngāi Tahu Takiwā which covers most of Te Waipounamu and its surrounding islands, constituting over half of New Zealand's landmass, coastlines and waterways.

Notwithstanding its statutory status as the representative voice of Ngāi Tahu whānui "for all purposes", Te Rūnanga accepts and respects the right of Papatipu Rūnanga to make their own responses. Te Rūnanga understands that respective Papatipu Rūnanga (and their Regional Environmental Entities) have been separately invited to attend the Panel Convener Conference.

Te Rūnanga has reviewed the memoranda filed by Aoraki Environmental Consultancy (AECL) and Aukaha on behalf of the relevant Papatipu Rūnanga. Te Rūnanga generally supports the matters raised in those memoranda and, for the purposes of this memorandum, focuses on the matters it considers most relevant to the questions raised in Minute 3.

2. TIMEFRAME FOR A DECISION

Based on Te Rūnanga observations and involvement in the substantive application process for the two nearby solar farm projects¹, those applications have required additional time beyond the original decision timeframe². This has been due to the volume of further information sought and provided, including information critical to the Panel's evaluation, together with the iterative refinement of the applications and draft conditions throughout the process. As a consequence, both applications have required multiple suspensions to enable that work to be completed.

For the Nova application, while pre-application engagement has occurred with the applicant, Te Rūnanga considers that a number of matters require further work and ongoing engagement (as detailed below). Having reviewed the comments made by local authorities during the EPA's completeness check of the Nova application, it is anticipated that other participants may also have outstanding matters that require further information, engagement or technical input.

Our experience with the Haldon and The Point solar farm applications has also demonstrated that complex technical information and revised draft conditions are often produced progressively throughout the determination process. Providing sufficient opportunity for that material to be reviewed and, where appropriate, discussed between the applicant, participants and technical experts assists in ensuring that the Panel is able to make its decision on the basis of well-tested and robust information.

In response to paragraph (6) of the Minute, Te Rūnanga has prepared a proposed decision timeframe that is intended to provide sufficient time for outstanding issues to be worked through, (and, narrowed where possible), and for any additional procedural steps that may assist the Panel's evaluation of the application and preparation of a decision. This includes allowing time for expert conferencing, workshops or other collaborative processes between the applicant and participants, any further information requests issued by the Panel, and if considered appropriate by the Panel, the holding of a hearing.

A copy of the proposed timeframe for a decision is attached as **Appendix One**.

3. MATTERS TO CONSIDER AHEAD OF THE CONFERENCE

Appointment of Panel Members

Te Rūnanga supports the comments made by AECL and Aukaha regarding the appointment of a panel member with expertise in Ngāi Tahu tikanga and an understanding of the Ngāi Tahu worldview.

The project is located within an area of significant cultural importance to Ngāi Tahu. This significance is reflected, in part, by the statutory acknowledgements under the Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998 (NTCSA) for Te Ao Mārama (Lake Benmore), which adjoins the site, and the Waitaki River and Lake Aviemore, which are located downstream and may be affected by the proposal. The wider area also includes several nohoanga entitlements, including at Haldon Arm, which may be affected by the project. In addition, the proposal has the potential to affect several taonga bird species recognised under the NTCSA.

Te Rūnanga considers that the expert panel would benefit from a similar breadth of expertise to that represented on the Haldon Solar Farm and The Point Solar Farm Expert Panels, including expertise in ecology, landscape, planning, and tikanga and an understanding of Ngāi Tahu values and perspectives.

¹ Haldon Solar and The Point Solar Farm

² 80 working days.

Te Rūnanga is willing to assist with the panel appointment process, including by working with the relevant local authorities invited to nominate panel members, to help identify individuals with the appropriate expertise and understanding of Ngāi Tahu values.

Engagement with the Applicant

Te Rūnanga confirms that extensive pre-application engagement was undertaken between Mana Whenua, Te Rūnanga and the applicant. Engagement commenced in November 2025 and continued through to May 2026, prior to the lodgement of the substantive application in June 2026.

Throughout this period, open and constructive discussions were held, with the applicant providing regular progress updates on the development of the substantive application, while Mana Whenua and Te Rūnanga considered the information provided and outlined their key concerns and outcomes sought from the project. These included matters relating to the effects management measures, Mana Whenua involvement in the project, long-term cultural outcomes and opportunities for the local community.

As part of this engagement, an updated Mana Whenua Report (dated May 2026) was provided to the applicant to inform preparation of the substantive application. While the substantive application includes the earlier February 2025 version of the report, Te Rūnanga understands that the applicant supports the content of the revised May 2026 report and remains committed to working through the matters it raises, including the robustness of the proposed effects management measures, particularly in relation to avifauna.

A detailed record of consultation undertaken throughout the pre-application process is also appended to the revised Mana Whenua Report.

Principal Outstanding Issues for the Substantive Application

From Te Rūnanga perspective, the the following matters are likely to require further consideration during the substantive application process and may influence the time required for determination of the application:

- Further refinement of the draft Mana Whenua-specific conditions.
- Further ecological information, including assessment of residual effects, to better understand the scale and significance of the adverse effects remaining following implementation of the proposed avoidance and mitigation measures.
- Further refinement of the proposed effects management framework and draft conditions in response to that information.
- Potential cumulative effects should the existing environment change during determination of the application.

Mana Whenua Conditions

Te Rūnanga and Mana Whenua presented a draft set of Mana Whenua-specific conditions to the applicant during the pre-application engagement. Those conditions are also appended to the revised Mana Whenua Report and are based on the wording progressed for the Haldon Solar Farm and The Point Solar Farm applications, including the establishment of a Kaitiaki Governance Group and the development of a Strategic Cultural Programme.

While the applicant has proposed revisions to those conditions, no agreement has been reached with either Mana Whenua or Te Rūnanga on their final wording. Te Rūnanga

anticipates that further engagement with the applicant will be required to refine the conditions and work towards an agreed outcome.

One of the principal concerns with the applicant's revised wording is that it has the potential to narrow the scope of the Strategic Cultural Programme in a way that may not fully reflect the outcomes Mana Whenua are seeking to achieve. These draft conditions are also intended to provide a broadly consistent cultural governance framework across the Haldon, The Point and Twizel Solar Farm applications within Te Manahuna and, where appropriate, enable coordinated cultural responses across the three projects. While Te Rūnanga understands the rationale for some of the applicants' proposed amendments, particularly those aimed at improving the clarity, enforceability and certainty, we consider that a better balance can be achieved to ensure the conditions remain both workable and capable of delivering the intended cultural outcomes.

Accordingly, Te Rūnanga anticipates that further engagement with the applicant will be required to refine the proposed wording and work towards an agreed outcome.

Residual effects and draft conditions

The Nova application currently includes limited assessment of the residual adverse effects of the project following implementation of the proposed avoidance and mitigation measures. Te Rūnanga notes that a similar position existed at the commencement of both the Haldon Solar Farm and The Point Solar Farm substantive application processes. In each case, however, understanding the scale and significance of residual adverse effects became a key focus of the Panel's consideration and informed the ongoing development of the proposed effects management framework and draft conditions.

For the Haldon Solar Farm application, the Panel sought further ecological information and assessment of residual effects throughout the substantive application process to better understand the scale and significance of the residual adverse effects. This ultimately resulted in a substantially strengthened package of draft conditions, including upfront compensation, enhanced adaptive management (with independent expert oversight), and offsetting measures where appropriate.

While The Point Solar Farm application has not yet progressed to a draft decision, the Panel has similarly identified residual effects as a key matter requiring further consideration. Through successive minutes and requests for further information, the Panel has consistently sought additional ecological information to better understand the residual adverse effects and inform the development of draft conditions.

Te Rūnanga considers that a similar process is likely to be required for the Nova application. Additional ecological may be required to better understand the scale and significance of the residual adverse effects. This may, in turn, inform whether the proposed effects management approaches and draft appropriately respond to those effects.

Cumulative effects

Te Rūnanga notes that the Nova application includes a cumulative effects assessment which considers the existing environment as it stood at the time the application was lodged. Depending on the timing of decisions on the Haldon Solar Farm and The Point Solar Farm applications, that existing environment has the potential to change before a decision is made on the Nova application.

Te Rūnanga also notes that legal advice obtained by the Panel for the Haldon Solar Farm application recognised that changes to the existing environment during the determination of subsequent applications may be relevant to the assessment of cumulative effects. The legal memorandum lodged in support of the Nova application likewise addresses cumulative effects, including by reference to the Haldon legal advice and the established principles relating to the existing environment. Te Rūnanga does not express a concluded view on the legal position. However, should the existing environment materially change before a decision is made on the Nova application, we consider there may be a need to give further consideration to whether, and to what extent, the cumulative effects assessment should also be revisited.

Should cumulative effects require further consideration, this may have implications beyond the legal assessment. It may also be necessary to reconsider the assessment of effects, including whether the scale and significance of the project's adverse effects changes when assessed against a different existing environment. In turn, this may influence whether the proposed effects management framework and draft conditions remain appropriate to respond to those effects.

As such, Te Rūnanga considers that sufficient flexibility should be provided within the proposed decision timeframe to enable these matters to be given further consideration, should the existing environment change during the determination of the application.

4. ATTENDANCE CONFIRMATION

Amy Beran ([REDACTED]) will be representing Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu at the conference on Wednesday 29 July 2026 at 10:00am.

Dated: 23 July 2026

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lisa Mackenzie', with a stylized, cursive script.

Lisa Mackenzie
Acting Programme Lead- Mauri

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Proposed Timeframe for a Decision- Nova Application

NOTE: Due to timing, AECL and Aukaha may have included earlier versions of this table in their response. However, the adjustments/changes in this revised table are supported. The changes are intended to allow flexibility should the applicant not be amenable to exceeding the maximum limit for a decision (i.e. 90 working days).

Task	Working days	Twizel Solar Farm (Suggested timing)	Comments
Panel commencement	31 August 2026 (nominal)	25 working days 3 September 2026	This allows approximately 25 working days (excluding the day of the conference and the panel commencing the day after the 25 working days). This time will allow for engagement to continue with applicant to try to work through some of the outstanding issues/matters.
Invite comment from relevant parties	10 working days later	10 WD	
Comments close (SS 53 & 54)	20 working days later	20 WD	Note- it is understood that under section 79 (Timing of Decisions)- the timeframe- (2) (ii) does not exceed 90 working days after the date specified under section 54 for receiving comments under section 53 , unless the applicant agrees in writing to the time frame.
Timeframe Starts from here			
Comments close for applicant (SS 55)	5 working days later	5 WD	Note- the applicant can request a suspension to the application to work through and respond to comments (if needed)
Any other procedural steps, evaluation and decision writing	XX working days later	15-30 working days*	*applicant would need to consider potential increase to timeframe of decision to exceed 90 working days. Allows time for a hearing to be held and/or workshops/ conferencing between various experts (if needed/ if there are points of disagreement).

			Note- for both of the current solar farm applications¹ there have been numerous s67 requests for further information, with some subsequent input/ opportunities to comment provided to s53 parties as well as the applicant and other relevant parties. There have also been independent experts appointed by the Panel to inform evaluation and decision making. There is also substantive volumes of information and procedural steps (under the FTAA 2024), the panel will need to work through to write the decision.
Draft Decision to approve			
Draft decision and conditions to Ministers (s 72)	XX working days later	5 working days	
Response from Ministers (S 72)	10 working days later	10 WD	
Applicant response to Ministers comments (if any)	XX working days later	5 working days	
Draft conditions and decision to participants (s 70 (1))	XX working days later	5 working days	
Participant comments on draft conditions (s 70 (2))	XX working days later	15 working days	Note- if there haven't been direct discussions on the draft conditions this provides additional time for some further engagement (i.e. between the participants and the applicant) prior to putting in comments on draft conditions.
Applicant response to participants on conditions (s 70 (4))	5 working days later	5 WD	
If not agreed, procedural step in relation to draft conditions.	XX working days later	10 working days	Allows time for a workshop to be held or other types of engagement between participants and the applicant to try to work through outstanding matters.
Evaluate and finalise decision	XX working days later	10 working days	
Decision release	XX working days later	5 working days	
TOTAL		90- 105 Working days	Note- The applicant would need to agree to extend beyond the 90 working days.

¹ Haldon Solar and The Point Solar Farm

		This total appears to better align with timings observed for the two current solar farm applications ² .
--	--	---

² 80 working days total was allowed for a decision for each, but with the inclusion of suspensions to the application, both have exceeded 130 WD to date. If the applicants did not suspend the application, it would have likely made it extremely difficult for the respective Panels to get to the point in the process each application is at today. It is understood that during these suspensions, the Panels have been able to use the time to continue their work.

MANA WHENUA REPORT



For Nova Energy Limited

Prepared By:

Aoraki Environmental Consultancy Limited, Aukaha and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua, Te Rūnanga o Waihao and Te Rūnanga o Moeraki

May 2026

NOVA ENERGY LIMITED SOLAR FARM SUBSTANTIVE APPLICATION UNDER THE FAST TRACK APPROVALS ACT 2024

Prepared By: Kylie Hall (Principal Planner)
Aoraki Environmental Consultancy Limited

Tim Vial (Senior Planner)
Aukaha 1997 Limited

Amy Beran (Contract Planner)
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu

Date: 19 May 2026

Reference: Nova Energy Limited Solar Farm Substantive Application
Revision: Final

Address for Service: Aoraki Environmental Consultancy Limited
Ground Floor
8A Washdyke Flat Road
Washdyke
Timaru 7910

Prepared For: Nova Energy Limited
Huddart Parker Building
95 Customhouse Quay
Wellington Central
Wellington 6011

Use and Reliance

This Manawhenua Report has been prepared by Aoraki Environmental Consultancy Limited, Aukaha and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua, Te Rūnanga o Waihao and Te Rūnanga o Moeraki under the specific instruction of Nova Energy Limited. It is solely for Nova Energy Limited's use and for the purpose of their substantive application under the Fast Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA) in accordance with the agreed scope of work.

This report provides input and feedback on the cultural impacts of the solar farm located at Lot 3 DP 422901.

Aoraki Consultancy Limited, Aukaha and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu do not accept any liability or responsibility in relation to the use of this report contrary to the above, or to any person other than Nova Energy Limited. Any use or reliance by a third party is at that party's own risk. Where information has been supplied by Nova Energy Limited or obtained from other external sources, it has been assumed that it is accurate, without independent verification, unless otherwise indicated. No liability or responsibility is accepted by Aoraki Environmental Consultancy Limited, Aukaha or Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu for any errors or omissions to the extent that they arise from inaccurate information provided by Nova Energy Limited or any external source.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Nova Energy Limited (Nova) have commissioned Aoraki Environmental Consultancy Limited (AECL), Aukaha 1997 Limited (Aukaha) and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu (TRoNT) to prepare a Manawhenua Report on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua (Arowhenua), Te Rūnanga o Waihao (Waihao) and Te Rūnanga o Moeraki (Moeraki) to assist in the preparation of a substantive resource consent application to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Fast Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA) for the installation of a solar array farm on a 868 ha site located at Lot 3 DP 422901.

This Manawhenua Report will assist Nova to meet their statutory obligations under various legislation including the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and the FTAA.

The purpose of this report is to identify significant areas and sites that may be affected by the proposed solar farm and assess the values and potential impacts of the activity on these values.

This Mana Whenua Report includes:

- A description of Nova Energy site and proposal;
- Identifications of the relevant Iwi Management Plans;
- An explanation of who Kāi Tahu and Papatipu Rūnaka are, their links to Te Manahuna and their relationship to the site; and
- An assessment of the effects the proposal will have on the relationship Papatipu Rūnaka have with their ancestral lands and waters.

This Mana Whenua Report is based on a consultative process that enabled Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu, Arowhenua, Waihao, and Moeraki to assess the FTAA consent application for the Twizel Solar Farm and to provide feedback on the cultural impacts of the proposal.

TABLE OF CONTENTS


1.	PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT	6
2.	TOITŪ TE MANA, TOITŪ TE WHENUA	6
2.1	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua	7
2.2	Te Rūnanga o Waihao.....	7
2.3	Te Rūnanga o Moeraki.....	8
2.4	Mana Whenua Regional Environmental Entities.....	9
3.	RELATIONSHIP OF KĀI TAHU TO TE MANAHUNA.....	9
3.1	Kāi Tahu Pūrākau	9
3.2	Mana Whenua Values and Interests	9
3.3	Kāi Tahu Relationship to Te Manahuna.....	10
4.	THE SITE.....	11
5.	NOVA ENERGY SOLAR FARM PROPOSAL.....	12
5.1	Project Overview	12
5.2	Civil Works.....	13
5.3	Plant Construction	13
5.4	Temporary Facilities	14
6.	NATIONAL STATUTORY FRAMEWORK.....	14
6.1	Fast-Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA)	14
6.1.1	Consultation	15
6.1.2	Substantive Application	15
6.1.3	Hearing.....	15
6.2	Ngāi Tahu Claim Settlement Act 1998 (NTCSA).....	15
6.3	Resource Management Act 1991	16
7.	MACKENZIE DISTRICT PLAN ZONING AND OVERLAYS.....	17
7.1	Assessment of SASM Objectives and Policies.....	17
8.	IWI MANAGEMENT PLANS.....	21
8.1	Iwi Management Plan of Kati Huirapa (1992)	21
8.2	Waitaki Iwi Management Plan (2019)	22
9.	CONSULTATION	22
10.	POSITIVE ASPECTS ASSOCIATED WITH SOLAR	23
11.	POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS ARISING FROM SOLAR FARMS	23
11.1	Loss of Connection to Whenua.....	23
11.2	Cumulative Effects.....	25
11.3	Biodiversity	27
11.4	Avifauna.....	28

11.5	Wai / Water	30
12.	MANAGEMENT OF ADVERSE EFFECTS	31
12.1	Introduction	31
12.1.1	Restoration and Enhancement Opportunities	31
12.2	Loss of Connection to Whenua.....	32
12.3	Cumulative Effects.....	32
12.4	Biodiversity	33
12.5	Avifauna	33
12.6	Wai / Water	33
12.7	Limitations and Dependencies of Proposed Responses.....	34
13.	CONCLUSION	34
	APPENDIX 1: MANA WHENUA PROPOSED CONDITIONS.....	36
	APPENDIX 2: TE MANAHUNA SOLAR FARMS.....	39
	APPENDIX 3: RECORD OF CONSULTATION	40

Nā Te Pō, Ko Te Ao	From eternity came the Universe
Nā Te Ao, ko Te Ao Marama	From the Universe, the bright clear light
Nā Te Ao Marama, ko Te Ao Tūroa	From the bright clear light, the enduring light
Nā Te Ao Tūroa, ko Te Kore Tē Whiwhia	From the enduring light, the void unattainable
Nā Te Kore Tē Whiwhia, ko Te Kore Tē Rawea	From the void unattainable, the void intangible
Nā Te Kore Tē Rawea, ko Te Kore Tē Tāmaua	From the void intangible, the void unstable
Nā Te Kore Tē Tāmaua, ko Te Kore Matua	From the void unstable, the void endowed with paternity
Nā Te Kore Matua, ko Te Mākū	From the void of paternity, came moisture
Nā Te Mākū, ka noho i a Mahora nui-ātea	From moisture, came limitless thought
Ka puta ki waho ko Raki	Then came the visible heavens
Nā Raki, ka noho i a Poko hārua te -pō	The visible heavens combined with the great abyss to produce the numberless sorceries and the ultimate calamity!!!
Ka puta ko Aoraki, ko Rakamamao, ko Tāwhirimate	Thence to Aoraki and the winds and weather
Ko Tū Te Rakiwhanoa	To the creator of the land
Uira ki Te Mahānui a Maui	And the canoe of Māui
Ko Te Ao Takata	And finally, to people
Tihei mauri ora!	I cough the breath of life!
Ko te kākahu o te mauka o Aoraki	To the cloak that covers the mountain,
Aoraki me tōna whanau o Rakirua, Rakiroa, Rārakiroa	To the family and brothers
Nā te mauka o Kakīroa me Horokoau	Over to Mt Sefton and Mt Tasman
Ko te whanau o Kā Tiritiri o Te Moana	And to the rest of the family of the Southern Alps
mai i te tane a Haupapa	To the male side of the Tasman Glacier
ki te taha wahine a Aroarokaehe	And to the female side and the Hooker Valley
Huri noa ki te awa tapu ki Kā Roimata o Aoraki	Then over to the source of the “Tears of Aoraki”
Nā te roto o Pūkaki, ko te roto tapu o Takapō	And on to the sacred lakes of Pūkaki and Takapō
Ko te roto o Ohou, ko Te Manahuna te whenua	And to Lake Ōhau and the valley of Te Manahuna
Ki kā huarahi ki te tihi o te mauka o Te Rua Taniwha	And travelling the ancient path to the mountain,

mai i Te Ruataniwha ki Te Ao Marama!	And then to the world of light, Te Ao Marama
Nā te wharenuī o Te Whakaahua-a-raki nō Te Maiharoa	And on to the place of the whare of the chief,
Te Maiharoa Ko Te Poho o Rakitāmau,	And the burial mound on Māori Hummock
Mai i Te Kai Hikihiki, ki Ōtamatākou,	And on to Otematata
Ki Te Wharekuri, ki Te Awakino	And Te Wharekuri and Te Awakino
mai i Te Kohurau, ko Oteake	And the mountain Te Kohurau and the place, Oteake
mai i Ote kai ake, ko Te Maerewhenua	And Ote kai ake and Duntroon
mai i Te Awamoko, ki Te Puna o Maru	On to Te Awamoko and the settlement of Te Puna a Maru
ko Korotuaheka te kaika tūturu, i tū ai te whare Tapu o Matiti	And finally arriving at the Waitaki River mouth and the house Matiti

David Higgins (Upoko for Moeraki) and Tewera King (Upoko for Arowhenua and Waihao)



1. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this Mana Whenua Report is to assist Nova Energy Limited (Nova) to meet their statutory obligations under the Fast Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA), Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), and Department of Conservation (DOC) Wildlife Act 1953. The report provides:

- A brief overview of the proposed project;
- An explanation of who are mana whenua and Papatipu Rūnaka for the project.
- A brief description of the relevant Iwi Management Plans;
- An explanation of the relationship of Kāi Tahu to Te Manahuna and the application site;
- An assessment of the effects of the activity on the relationship Kāi Tahu have with their ancestral whenua and wai māori within Te Manahuna.

This report builds on the earlier, broader Mana Whenua Report¹ prepared for Nova's Referral Application. It incorporates more detailed project information developed for the substantive application, including site surveys, monitoring, expert assessments, draft conditions, and associated management plans. It also draws on consultation undertaken by Nova during the pre-application phase of the solar farm project.

This report outlines Mana Whenua perspectives, including key issues, and, where applicable, identifies mechanisms to address potential effects. In doing so, it reflects the positions and views expressed through pre-application consultation.

It is intended that this report will be appended to the substantive application, with relevant matters embedded within the application documents, including through the development of environmental management approaches and consent conditions.

Assessment Approach and Limitations

This assessment is based on information available to Mana Whenua at the time of writing, including draft project designs, technical reports, site monitoring and surveys, and initial engagement outcomes.

It is anticipated that some matters identified in this report will be addressed through the adoption of consent conditions, the development of associated management plans, and the establishment of an enduring working relationship between Mana Whenua and Nova for the life of the project. Accordingly, the conclusions reached may continue to evolve as the project progresses through the substantive application process

2. TOITŪ TE MANA, TOITŪ TE WHENUA

This report has been prepared on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua (Arowhenua), Te Rūnanga o Waihao (Waihao) and Te Rūnanga o Moeraki (Moeraki) who for the purposes of this report are collectively referred to as Kāi Tahu. These three Papatipu Rūnaka represent the interests of Kāi Tahu whānui who are mana whenua and hold rakatirataka within their takiwā. Mana whenua as kaitiaki for Te Manahuna hold rights and obligations, including an obligation to assess how any activity in their takiwā impacts upon the environment and on cultural values and practices.

¹ Dated February 2025

2.1 Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua

Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua (Arowhenua) have lived on the fertile coastal plains of mid and south Canterbury for hundreds of years, venturing up the river valleys into the mountains of the takiwā, crossing the passes inland to the many lakes or over to Te Tai Poutini (West Coast). Their travels were dictated by their knowledge of where food, water and other resources could be found at particular times of the year. The ancestors of Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua carried little. They were entrusted to care for their takiwā and are owners by customary right. They have a strong sense of 'tūrangawaewae' where one belongs and has a right to stand as their ancestors stood before them. Thus, ensuring a responsibility for looking after their whenua, wai and the resources they sustained.

Arowhenua, comprise people of Ngāi Tahu, Ngāti Māmoe, Rapuwai, Hawea and Waitaha descent. The takiwā of Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua extends over the area from the Rakaia Catchment in the north to the Waitaki Catchment in the south, inland to the Main Divide and seaward across the exclusive economic zone. Arowhenua hold mana whenua status over Te Manahuna.



Figure 1: Arowhenua Marae and the Wharenui, Te Hapa o Niu Tirenī

2.2 Te Rūnanga o Waihao

The name Waihao refers to the Waihao River, named by Rokohouia the son of Rākaihautu. Both Rākaihautu and Rokohouia were ariki of the waka Uruao, one of the earlier waka arrivals to Aotearoa. The river, which has its source in the inland foothills, Te Tari a Te Kaumira (Hunter Hills) is home to the hao or shortfin eel, hence the name Waihao. The hao eel was, and still is, one of the delicacies still gathered as mahinga kai by whānau from the Waihao River and the Wainono lagoon, the kete kai of local Māori.

Waihao has a strong and inherent relationship with all areas of their takiwā and a duty to protect the historic and contemporary values present, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taoka, mahika kai, and other natural resources, for the benefit of whānau. Te Rūnanga o Waihao (Waihao) hold whakapapa rights over Te Manahuna.



Figure 2: Waihao Marae

2.3 Te Rūnanga o Moeraki

The takiwā of Te Rūnanga o Moeraki (Moeraki) centres on Moeraki and extends from the Waitaki to the Waihemo (Shag River), and inland to Kā Tiritiri o te Moana (Main Divide). This includes shared interests with other Papatipu Rūnaka in Te Manahuna (Mackenzie Basin) and Tuawhenua (Queenstown Lakes and Central Otago). Moeraki hold whakapapa rights over Te Manahuna.



Figure 3: Moeraki Marae, Uenuku

2.4 Mana Whenua Regional Environmental Entities

Aoraki Environmental Consultancy Limited (AECL) is a not-for-profit organisation mandated by Arowhenua to provide advice and act on its behalf in respect of all environmental policy, planning and strategy matters. This includes representation on proposals being processed under the Fast-Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA).

Aukaha 1997 Limited (Aukaha) is a not-for-profit organisation mandated by Waihao and Moeraki to provide advice and act on their behalf in respect of all environmental policy, planning and strategy matters. This includes representation on proposals being processed under the Fast-Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA).

The planning staff of AECL, Aukaha and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu (TRoNT) are working together closely to represent the interests of Arowhenua, Waihao, Moeraki and TRoNT on the Fast Track projects located within Te Manahuna.

3. RELATIONSHIP OF KĀI TAHU TO TE MANAHUNA

3.1 Kāi Tahu Pūrākau

Kāi Tahu pūrākau begin with Aoraki and the creation of Te Waipounamu (South Island). Aoraki is of immense cultural, spiritual and traditional significance to Kāi Tahu Whānui. Aoraki is at the heart of Kāi Tahu creation traditions and is central to their whakapapa and identity. Aoraki is the most sacred of Kāi Tahu tūpuna (ancestors), from whom mana whenua descend.

The story of Aoraki and his brothers has been passed down through many generations of our people and has endured as a creation mythology of high importance to Kāi Tahu. This creation story of the landscape surrounding Arowhenua is a central tradition for the Waitaha, Rapuwai, Kāti Hāwea, Kāti Māmoe, and Kāi Tahu tribes from which the local hapū (Arowhenua, Waihao and Moeraki) descend. It is largely on this basis, and as the principal marae in the region, that the Arowhenua, Waihao and Moeraki assume responsibility as kaitiaki (guardians) of Aoraki/Mt Cook and the surrounding area.

The history of the land goes back to about 850 AD when, according to tradition, Rākaihautu came to Te Waipounamu (South Island) from Hawaiki in the canoe Uruao. The canoe landed at the boulder bank at Nelson. While his son Te Rākīhouia took some of the party down the east coast, Rākaihautu led the remainder through the interior to Foveaux Strait. With his digging stick, Rākaihautu dug Te Kari Kari O Rākaihautu (the southern lakes). Te Rākīhouia proceeded south in Uruao down the Canterbury Coast where he placed eel weirs at the mouths of rivers. The posts he left behind became known as Nga Pou Pou O Rākīhouia. The two parties met up at Waihao, then proceeded up the coast, making their headquarters at Akaroa. Rākaihautu was buried at Wai Kakahi (near Wairewa/ Lake Forsyth). Te Uruao lies as part of the Waitaki Riverbed near Wai Kakahi (near Glenavy).

Kāi Tahu traditions link mana whenua to their tūpuna and the cosmological world of the gods. These histories reinforce tribal identity and connection between generations, documenting the events which shaped the environment of Te Wai Pounamu and Kāi Tahu as an iwi. At the centre of these traditions is Aoraki the mauka atua.

3.2 Mana Whenua Values and Interests

Kāi Tahu does not see their existence as separate from te ao tūroa (the natural world), but as an integral part of it. Through whakapapa, all people and life forms descend from a common source.

Whakapapa binds mana whenua to the mountains, forests and waters and the life supported by them, and this is reflected in traditional attitudes towards the natural world and resource management.

Whanaukataka embraces whakapapa, through the relationship between people, and between people and the environment. The nature of these relationships determines people's rights and responsibilities in relation to the use and management of taoka of the natural world.

For Arowhenua, Waihao and Moeraki tūpuna, a way of life developed that was strongly focused on the harvesting of mahika kai from the natural environment. Mahika kai encompasses the gathering of food and natural materials in accordance with tikaka, the places where those resources are gathered, and the work, methods and cultural activities involved in obtaining them. Natural resources were used to feed, clothe, and equip people. Within Te Manahuna the gathering of natural resources was managed by strict kawa (resource management protocols and practices) and observance to tikanga.

Over time, Kāi Tahu tūpuna developed an extensive knowledge of the place names, stories, food resources and nohoaka of Te Manahuna. Physical landmarks were often associated with atua (gods) and with the births, lives, and deaths of tūpuna (forebears). Many of the hills and mountains bear the names of the waka (canoes) and the crew members important to the hapū of Arowhenua, Waihao and Moeraki. Many of the rivers, lakes and plains are named to represent the movements and marks upon the land of these ancestral vessels and people. Smaller hills and rivers often bear names of later people and events from the history of hapū or of whānau.

The places and their names were part of the mātauraka held by Kai Tahu tūpuna in which religious beliefs, history and geography were combined. Kāi Tahu tūpuna would have been able to find their way around the vast and varied Te Manahuna landscape with ease from their knowledge of the tribal traditions and histories. Today, knowledge of these traditional cultural narratives and landscapes is maintained by kaumatua (elders) and whanau. Therefore, for Arowhenua, Waihao and Moeraki, the recognition and protection of significant cultural landscapes is imperative.

3.3 Kāi Tahu Relationship to Te Manahuna

While Te Ao Marama (Lake Benmore) and Ruataniwha are man-made lakes, the area is one of the most culturally significant areas for Kāi Tahu and specifically Arowhenua, Waihao and Moeraki whānau.

Papatipu rūnaka have lived on the fertile coastal plains of mid and south Canterbury and the inland basin of Te Manahuna/Mackenzie Basin for hundreds of years, venturing up the river valleys into the mountains of the takiwā, crossing the passes inland to Te Manahuna, or over to Te Tai Poutini (West Coast) to access and process mahika kai. The waters that flow from Aoraki and Kai Tiritiri-o-te-moana (the Southern Alps) supported the substantial mahika kai of Te Manahuna, which drew Kai Tahu tūpuna to the area on a seasonal basis. Their travel was dictated by the knowledge of where food, water and other resources could be found at particular times of the year.

It was the natural resources that attracted Kai Tahu tūpuna to Te Wai Pounamu, and the harvesting of those resources is what kept them there. The distinctive resources of birds, eel, fish and other wildlife bound the people to the land and to the waters and strengthened their will to hold on to them as well as learn and pass on mātauraka in whare wānaka.

Lake Ōhau is a glacial lake in Te Manahuna (the Mackenzie Basin), fed by Te Awa Aruhe (Hopkins River) and Ōtaao (Dobson River). Ōhau is renowned for the north-west wind that blows over the lake. Ōhau along with nearby lakes Pūkaki and Takapō (Tekapo) formed part of the Kāi Tahu and papatipu rūnaka

seasonal food gathering patterns and was renowned for tuna (eels) and weka that were gathered and preserved for the upcoming winter months. Lakes Ōhau was the location of kāika nohoaka (traditional villages used seasonally) sites, māori rock art and culturally sensitive areas

Prior to the creation of the hydro schemes and canals, Lake Ōhau was the beginning of the Waitaki River, which flowed from Lake Ōhau to the Pacific Coast. The entire reach of the Waitaki River from Lake Ōhau to the coast has a large number of cultural sites, most of which are now under water.

The Waitaki River (from Lake Ōhau to river mouth) is of such cultural significance that up to 10 Papatipu Rūnaka can whakapapa to it; however, at present, only Arowhenua, Waihao and Moeraki are actively involved in its management.

The protection of Te Manahuna is a priority for Kai Tahu and Papatipu Rūnaka, including avoiding further intensification of agriculture and industry and further reductions in surface and groundwater quality. The expansion of irrigation and intensification of farming has impacted on water quality, resulting in the serious decline of water quality in the Ōhau and Twizel Rivers, increasing nitrate levels in groundwater and the adjoining lakes, and consequential impacts on the health of indigenous flora and fauna.

4. THE SITE

The project site is located on the eastern side of State Highway 8 (SH8) and the Twizel township. The relatively flat site) is approximately 868 ha in area, and the proposed solar farm is to be located entirely within one land parcel, being Lot 3 DP 422901.

The site (refer to Figure 4 below) is bounded by the Twizel and Ōhau Rivers. The Twizel River has its origins in numerous streams which flow down the eastern flanks of the Ben Ōhau Range, the longest of which are the Gladstone Stream and the Duncan Stream. The Twizel River flows south, veering slowly southeast close to the town of Twizel. From there it flows into the northern end of Lake Benmore.

The Ōhau River is a short river, some 8 km long, that links Lake Ōhau with Lake Ruataniwha. Downstream of Lake Ruataniwha, the Ōhau River is dry except for releases of water from the hydro generators. Until the construction of the Waitaki River hydroelectric project, it was a significant tributary of the Waitaki River.

The Twizel Solar property is located on the old Pūkaki River outwash plain that is typically dry even after heavy rain. There are no flowing streams within the property itself. The property also lies within the Pūkaki groundwater sub-catchment. The receiving environment for the groundwater from this sub-catchment is the northern part of Lake Benmore.



Figure 4: Site location. Source: Canterbury Maps.

Historically, the property has been run as a low intensity high country run with some minor cropping. Up until 2019, under the previous landowner's ownership, the property was sown in Ryecorn, with cattle grazing from June through to November. A quarry located in the northwest boundary of the site appears to be still functioning at a low scale. Nova notes that the quarry does not form part of the solar farm project.

An oxidation pond is located on adjoining land owned by the Mackenzie District Council Land, approximately 750 m from the northwest corner of the site.

5. NOVA ENERGY SOLAR FARM PROPOSAL

5.1 Project Overview

Based on a review of the draft Substantive Application, the Project comprises the construction, commissioning and operation of a solar plant and associated infrastructure at the site including connection to the national grid at the Twizel substation. The site layout for the solar plant is shown in Figure 5 below.




Figure 5: Indicative layout. Source: Nova Energy Substantive Application (May 2026).

Key project works are summarised in two phases: civil works and solar plant construction. These works will be undertaken in a single continuous stage.

5.2 Civil Works

Civil works will include:

- Construction of internal access tracks, including a central main track and a network of service tracks providing access to inverters.
- Earthworks for trenching of 33 kV sub-circuits, PV panel DC cabling, and communications and control cabling, along with minor cut-and-fill to accommodate panel installation.
- Stormwater management measures, including:
 - swale drains to direct stormwater flows and connect to existing farm drainage where practicable.
 - retention and sediment settlement ponds (where required) to manage discharge flow rates and sediment.
 - culverts beneath access tracks, where required.
 - natural hazard mitigation works, incorporating the measures above as appropriate.

5.3 Plant Construction

Solar plant construction will involve installation of support structures, mounting of panels, and connection to electrical infrastructure. In summary, this includes:

- Installation and operation of ground-mounted solar panels on a solar PV tracking system, mounted with single pile foundations.
- Electrical cabling of panels to associated electrical components and inverters.
- Installation of inverters on driven piles, elevated above ground level and connected to low-voltage (LV) and high-voltage (HV) systems.
- Installation of HV circuits (up to six), primarily on overhead poles, terminating at a new 33 kV switchyard equipped with protection, control, and isolation systems
- Construction of a switchyard (approximately 220 m²), including gravel surfacing and concrete foundations for a power conditioning structure.
- Consolidation of HV circuits into two feeder lines connecting to the substation.
- Connection of the solar plant to the two 220/33 kV transformers at the Transpower Twizel Substation, including associated 220 kV and 33 kV infrastructure.
- Construction of a permanent workshop building (approximately 350 m²) and an operations and maintenance building (approximately 155 m²), including three-waters infrastructure and parking.

5.4 Temporary Facilities

Temporary facilities will be established on-site to support construction activities, including:

- Site offices for construction management.
- Temporary construction power supply.
- Toilets and washroom facilities connected to waste storage tanks for regular servicing and off-site disposal.
- Laydown areas.
- Parking.
- Site signage

6. NATIONAL STATUTORY FRAMEWORK

There are two Iwi Environmental Management Plans that are relevant to this resource consent application. They are the Kati Huirapa Iwi Management Plan (1992) prepared for Arowhenua, and the Waitaki Iwi Management Plan (2019) prepared by Arowhenua, Waihao and Moeraki.

6.1 Fast-Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA)

The FTAA requires that functions, powers and duties under the Act are exercised in a way that is consistent with obligations under existing Treaty settlements.² Conditions on an approval may be set to recognise or protect a relevant Treaty settlement.³ The processes under the FTAA carry obligations for meaningful iwi engagement at all stages, including the following:

² FTAA, section 7 and section 82.

³ FTAA, section 84.

6.1.1 Consultation

Applicants for listed projects are required to consult relevant iwi authorities, hapū and Treaty Settlement Entities, provide a summary of the consultation and explain how it informed the project before lodging a substantive application.⁴ The High Court has confirmed principles that apply to such consultation, including:

- Adequate information about a proposal is to be given in a timely manner so that those consulted know what is proposed.
- Those consulted must be given a reasonable opportunity to state their views.
- While those consulted cannot be forced to state their views they cannot complain, if having had both time and opportunity, they for any reason fail to themselves of the opportunity available
- Consultation is never to be treated perfunctorily or as a mere formality.
- The parties are to approach consultation with an open mind.
- Consultation is an intermediate situation involving meaningful discussions and does not necessarily involve resolution by agreement.
- Neither party is entitled to make demands.
- There is no universal requirement as to form or duration.
- The whole process is to be underlain by fairness.⁵

6.1.2 Substantive Application

To assist their consideration of a project, Expert Panels are strongly encouraged to invite comments on the application and draft conditions, or request further information about the application, from relevant iwi authorities, hapū and Treaty Settlement Entities and other relevant Māori groups.⁶ Guidance from panel convenors suggests panels should invite comments and consider information regarding mātauraka Māori, tikaka, matters of significance to Māori, whether the application aligns with Treaty settlement obligations and recognised customary rights under relevant legislation as well as how iwi feedback could be incorporated into consent conditions and management plans.

6.1.3 Hearing

If a hearing is held, section 58 requires panels to recognise tikaka Māori where appropriate.

6.2 Ngāi Tahu Claim Settlement Act 1998 (NTCSA)

The Ngāi Tahu Claim Settlement Act 1998 (NTCSA) was enacted to settle the historical Ngāi Tahu claims against the Crown and provides redress under Te Tiriti o Waitangi. The Crown apology explicitly recognises the rakatirataka of Kāi Tahu within its takiwā as follows:

“The Crown apologises to Ngāi Tahu for its past failures to acknowledge Ngāi Tahu rangatiratanga and mana over the South Island lands within its boundaries, and, in

⁴ FTAA, sections 29, 43(2)

⁵ *Land Air Water Association v Waikato Regional Council* [2001] ELHNZ 428 at [453], cited in *Ngāti Kuku Hapū Trust v Environmental Protection Agency* [2025] NZHC 2046

⁶ Fast-track Approvals Act 2024: Panel Conveners Practice and Procedure Guidance (22 July 2025) at page 9.

fulfilment of its Treaty obligations, the Crown recognises Ngāi Tahu as the tāngata whenua of, and as holding rangatiratanga within, the Takiwā of Ngāi Tahu Whānui.”⁷

Rakaitirataka is about upholding our rights and obligations to generations past, present and future. This includes te taiao, the mauka, awa and other landscapes of Kā Rūnaka and the ability to sustain our people in the future: rakaitirataka therefore concerns the interrelationship between our people and the natural environment.

The NTCSA includes specific mechanisms that provide for the exercise of rakaitirataka and kaitiakitaka by mana whenua in relation to mahika kai, taoka species, and other resource management matters. These include rights in relation to the management of listed taoka species and specified significant areas including statutory acknowledgement areas.

Statutory acknowledgements are a mechanism to highlight the interest of Kāi Tahu in resource management processes affecting the areas covered by the acknowledgement. The Statutory Acknowledgements for Lake Ōhau and Te Ao Mārama (Lake Benmore) are set out in Schedules 32 and 59 of the NTCSA respectively.

Schedule 97 of the NTCSA details species the Crown recognises as taoka species.

6.3 Resource Management Act 1991

All decision-making under the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) is subject to the provisions of Part 2, which describes the purpose and principles of the RMA. These provisions recognise and provide for Kāi Tahu values and interests in Te Manahuna.

The relationship of Kāi Tahu with the wai, the whenua and taoka species is a matter of national importance that must be recognised and provided for in managing natural and physical resources.⁸ In respect to this requirement, the High Court has stated:

“The statutory obligation to recognise and provide for the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their whenua and taonga, to have to regard to their kaitiakitanga and take into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi, does not permit indifference to the tikanga-based claims of iwi to a particular resource management outcome”.⁹

The depth and breadth of the associations of mana whenua with Te Manahuna is discussed in Section three of this report.

In achieving the purpose of the Act particular regard is required to kaitiakitaka.¹⁰ Kāi Tahu whānau exercise kaitiakitaka in Te Manahuna. Maintaining a balance between the right to access and use natural resources, and the responsibility to care for te taiao, with a focus on providing a sustainable base for future generations is implicit in kaitiakitanga.

The Environment Court has found that kaitiaki have a right to protect the history of their cultural and customary associations to an area.¹¹

⁷ NTCSA, section 6.

⁸ RMA, section 6(e).

⁹ *Ngati Maru Trust v Ngati Whatua Orakei* [2020] NZHC 2768.

¹⁰ RMA, section 7(a).

¹¹ *Ngāi Te Hapū v Bay of Plenty Regional Council* [2017] NZEnvC 73 at [88].

7. MACKENZIE DISTRICT PLAN ZONING AND OVERLAYS

The application site is zoned General Rural Zone (GRUZ). The following policy overlays apply to the site: Flight Protection Area Pūkaki; Noise Control Boundary; Outstanding Natural Landscape; Sites of Natural Significance; Area of Visual Vulnerability (High and Medium); Flood Hazard Assessment; Liquefaction Assessment; and Hydro Inundation Hazard. The site also adjoins two Site and Area of Significance to Māori (SASM) waterways and one SASM lake (refer to Figure 6 below). These are: Twizel River, Ōhau River and Lake Benmore.




Figure 6: Relevant policy overlays applicable to the application site under the Mackenzie District Plan. Source (MDC EPlan).

7.1 Assessment of SASM Objectives and Policies

It is important to mana whenua that culturally significant sites and areas are protected from inappropriate activities, as their traditions are embedded in the landscape. The cultural landscape is a key component of mana whenua identity, and recognition of the history and traditions held within the landscape enables Kāi Tahu whānau to experience and engage with the landscape as their tūpuna once did.

The recognition of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori (SASM) within the Mackenzie District Plan enables mana whenua to safeguard the cultural values of Te Manahuna and to pass on mātauraka to future generations. The protection of the Sites of Significance adjoining the Twizel Solar Farm, respectively Te Ao Marama (Lake Benmore), the Twizel River and the Ōhau River, is sought by mana whenua.

Given the proximity of the Twizel Solar site to several identified SASM areas and the potential for indirect effects, the following table outlines the relevant objectives and policies and provides commentary on how the proposal aligns with these provisions.

Assessment Limitations

This assessment of the SASM objectives and policies is based on information available at the time of preparation of this report, including the draft project design details, ongoing technical assessments, and information received through the pre-application consultation process.

It is noted that the proposal may be subject to further refinement through the substantive application process under the Fast Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA), including the preparation of further technical information and input from relevant parties.

Accordingly, commentary on alignment with SASM objectives represents an assessment of current understanding and proposed mitigation measures and may be subject to change as the project is further refined.

Table 1: Commentary on Relevant SASM Objectives and Policies from the Mackenzie District Plan.

PROVISION	RŪNAKA AND NGĀI TAHU COMMENTS
Strategic Objective MW-01: <i>The role of mana whenua is recognised and their historic and contemporary relationship with the District’s land, water bodies, indigenous species and other sites and areas of significance are recognised and provided for.</i>	This objective requires recognition of the historic and contemporary relationship of mana whenua with Te Manahuna and, consideration of how the proposal may affect that relationship. From a mana whenua perspective, land, water, and indigenous species are interconnected and form a unified whole, often expressed through the concept of “ki uta ki tai” (from the mountains to the sea). In this context, the potential effects of the proposed solar farm- including ancillary infrastructure and security fencing on the landscape and biophysical characteristics of the immediate and wider environment are relevant considerations. Through pre-application consultation, mana whenua sought to ensure their role and relationship with Te Manahuna are recognised and provided for. This has included developing mana whenua-specific consent conditions (including a Kaitiaki Governance Group and work programme) and discussion with Nova on key ecological matters. These discussions have focused on protecting avifauna through monitoring and trigger points informed by species status and population trends, particularly for <i>kakī</i> and other taoka species. Early engagement has also identified opportunities to enhance indigenous biodiversity values. Through pre-application engagement, mana whenua has provided a Kāi Tahu-perspective on key aspects of the project, which has helped inform Nova’s environmental responses at an early state which may reduce the need for more intensive engagement later in the process (as has occurred on other solar farm projects progressed under the FTAA).
Strategic Objective MW-02:	This objective requires mana whenua to be actively involved in decision-making that affects their values and

<i>Mana whenua are able to:</i> <i>1. be actively involved in decision making that affects their values and interests;</i> <i>2. exercise their kaitiakitaka responsibilities; and</i> <i>3. carry out customary activities in accordance with tikanga.</i>	interests and ensuring that they can continue to exercise kaitiakitaka and carry out customary activities in accordance with tikanga. Within Te Manahuna, water bodies such as Lake Benmore and associated river systems are recognised as wāhi tapu and wai tapu. Access to these areas by mana whenua is typically undertaken via land, in accordance with tikanga. As such, it is important that physical access routes and the ability to undertake cultural practices are maintained and, where possible, enhanced. As noted in relation to Objective MW-01, mana whenua has sought to provide for their ongoing involvement through the establishment of a Kaitiaki Governance Group and the development of a work programme. These mechanisms are intended to support active participation in decision-making, enable the exercise of kaitiakitaka, and provide opportunities to maintain or enhance customary practices. Nova has indicated support for securing these mechanisms through conditions of consent.
Objective SASM-O1: <i>Rakatirataka is recognised by supporting mana whenua to exercise kaitiakitaka over SASM.</i>	For mana whenua to effectively exercise kaitiakitaka, meaningful input is required into management plans and matters relating to the protection of taoka species, landscape values, and mahika kai. The proposed governance group is expected to provide a pathway for ongoing involvement; however, these matters need to be embedded within the development of management plans and secured through appropriate consent conditions. It is noted that Nova's draft consent conditions (provided for consultation) include opportunities for mana whenua involvement in the preparation of relevant management plans and through the proposed Kaitiaki Governance Group. Mana whenua has also had opportunities to understand and input into the development of mitigation measures, including the setting of triggers and thresholds for review or adjustment of mitigation approaches where required. Through the establishment of a specific work programme, it is anticipated that mana whenua will have further opportunities to protect and enhance their values and associations with the site and the surrounding environment.
Objective SASM-O2: <i>The relationship of mana whenua with their values within SASM is sustained and community awareness of the values of SASM is encouraged.</i>	This objective requires an understanding of the values associated with SASM features and the relationship mana whenua have with their takiwā. As noted above, a number of SASM adjoin the site boundaries and form part of a wider cultural landscape within Te Manahuna. It is anticipated that the

	establishment of an ongoing relationship with Nova, through mechanisms provided for in the draft conditions, will provide opportunities for mana whenua to sustain their values, while also enhancing Nova's understanding of those values over time. As also noted above, pre-lodgement engagement on the substantive application has enabled mana whenua to inform key management responses to potential environmental effects associated with the project, subject to the necessary approvals being granted.
Objective SASM-O3: <i>Inappropriate subdivision, use and development within SASM is avoided.</i>	Given the scale and complexity of proposed solar farm development within Te Manahuna, mana whenua has sought to be closely involved with Nova, as well as other solar farm applicants, to support the identification, avoidance, and appropriate management of potential adverse effects. Effective engagement is, however, dependent on the availability of robust and reliable information to inform assessment and decision-making, which has at times been challenging in relation to other projects. It is understood that Nova has been closely observing other solar farm projects being progressed under the Fast Track Approvals Act 2024 (FTAA) to support the preparation of a comprehensive application to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA). While this may assist in aligning the proposal with the intent of this objective, the extent to which inappropriate subdivision, use, and development within SASM areas is avoided has yet to be fully demonstrated, as further technical information continues to be provided and additional input is being received from section 53 parties, including technical experts for the Department of Conservation. As such, full alignment with this objective may not be able to be confirmed until a later stage of the substantive application process.
Objective SASM-O4: <i>The ability of mana whenua to access, maintain and use mahika kai and nohoaka sites of cultural value is enhanced.</i>	It is anticipated that the establishment of an ongoing relationship with Nova will provide opportunities for mana whenua to maintain access to mahika kai areas and undertake associated cultural practices. A key mechanism to support consistency with this objective is the development and implementation of a strategic work programme. Nova may also wish to consider how access and cultural values can be appropriately provided for within the site, noting that the solar farm is likely to require permanent security fencing.
Policy SASM-P2:	Mana whenua confirms that a consultation process led by Nova has provided opportunities for input at the pre-application stage for the project, as well as during the earlier Referral stage.

<i>Ensure consultation with the relevant mana whenua is undertaken where activities have the potential to adversely affect SASM and their values.</i>	It is further noted that the proposed consent conditions provide for ongoing opportunities for engagement with mana whenua, including through the establishment of a Kaitiaki Governance Group, a Cultural Monitoring Programme, and a Strategic Cultural Programme (work programme). These mechanisms are intended to support continued collaboration between Nova and mana whenua, including in relation to the protection of taoka species and the avoidance and management of potential effects arising from the solar farm development.
Policy SASM-P3: <i>Enable mana whenua to undertake mahika kai within SASM in accordance with tikaka.</i>	As above. Refer to Objective SASM-O4.
Policy SASM-P4: <i>Maintain existing access to SASM for mana whenua and encourage landowners to explore opportunities and methods to provide new access to SASM, where requested by mana whenua.</i>	
Policy SASM-P5: <i>Encourage restoration and enhancement of indigenous vegetation that supports mahika kai.</i>	While no specific restoration or enhancement projects have yet been confirmed for the site, mana whenua have identified potential enhancement opportunities in response to the loss of bird habitat and the potential for bird strike associated with the proposed development. It is noted that the Arowhenua nursery has experience in delivering indigenous vegetation restoration and enhancement projects in other parts of Te Manahuna, which combined with the strategic work programme (to be advanced by the governance group), may provide a pathway for future opportunities associated with the site.

8. IWI MANAGEMENT PLANS

8.1 Iwi Management Plan of Kati Huirapa (1992)

The Kati Huirapa Iwi Management Plan contains objectives and policies that promote the sustainable management of the takiwā, with the key focus areas being:

- Water quality and quantity
- Mahika Kai quality and quantity
- Habitat Integrity; and
- Provision for customary practices, including access for gathering mahika ka.

The key themes that were introduced into the Iwi Management Plan by Kati Huirapa are:

- Provide for cultural and spiritual values and customs and traditions;
- Allow all things that affect Arowhenua to be dealt with by Arowhenua first and foremost; and
- Increase opportunities for Arowhenua to practice customs and traditions associated with the uri (descendants) of Arowhenua takiwā.
- Ensure all breeding areas for fish and birds (taonga species) are undisturbed,
- Ensure access to mahika kai is maintained; and
- Ensure access to mahika kai also allows provides for access to water of sufficient quantity and quality to exercise traditional rights and customary uses.

8.2 Waitaki Iwi Management Plan (2019)

Arowhenua, Waihao and Moeraki developed the Waitaki Iwi Management Plan as an expression of rakatirataka and in fulfilment of their shared kaitiaki responsibilities within the Waitaki Catchment.

Natural and cultural resources are taoka handed down by tūpuna (ancestors). It is the responsibilities of the present generation to ensure that the resources are managed sustainably for the generations that follow. The plan provides a whanau-focused policy framework for the protection and enhancement of natural and cultural resources in the Waitaki Catchment.

The Plan was developed in 2019 to:

- Describe the values held by Kāi Tahu relating to Aoraki, wai, mahika kai and wāhi tupuna in the Waitaki Catchment.
- Identify the primary issues Kāi Tahu have regarding these matters in the Waitaki Catchment.
- Articulate Kāi Tahu policies and management guidelines for these matters
- Provide for the relationship that Kāi Tahu have with these resources.

9. CONSULTATION

Nova has undertaken extensive and ongoing consultation with mana whenua representatives, Regional Environmental Entities, and Kāi Tahu throughout the development of the solar farm project. This engagement has continued as project details have progressed ahead of the submission of the substantive application.

Through this process, mana whenua has had opportunities to better understand the scope and scale of the project, including drawing on learnings from similar solar farm developments. Engagement has also enabled mana whenua to identify key matters of interest and contribute to the development of environmental management approaches, including mitigation measures, monitoring frameworks, and the establishment of appropriate thresholds to support adaptive management responses to ecological effects. It has also supported the development of mechanisms to enable an enduring working relationship between mana whenua and Nova.

Initial engagement was undertaken while Nova was proposing to seek resource consents through a standard RMA process. Subsequent engagement has reflected Nova's decision to pursue referral of the project under the FTTA.

A Mana Whenua Report was prepared in February 2025 to accompany the referral application to the Ministry for the Environment. Since that time, Nova has continued to engage with mana whenua representatives and their advisors through a combination of hui (both in-person and online), information sharing, and a site visit to the project area. This has included the provision of draft Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE) documentation and supporting technical reports in advance of lodgement of the substantive application with the EPA.

Overall, engagement to date has been open and responsive, with feedback from mana whenua understood to have informed ongoing project development, including environmental management approaches and the drafting of proposed consent conditions.

A detailed summary of consultation undertaken following approval of the referral application by the Minister for Infrastructure on 1 August 2025 (covering November 2025 to May 2026) is provided in Appendix 3.

10. POSITIVE ASPECTS ASSOCIATED WITH SOLAR

Kāi Tahu and the three Rūnaka would like to emphasise that Kāi Tahu is not opposed to solar farms. Kāi Tahu support the development and construction of renewable energy as opposed to the burning of fossil fuels and the construction of large dam structures that flood and alter key unique ecological, social, economic and cultural landscapes.

While Kāi Tahu support renewable energy proposals, they are also of the belief that such activities need to be situated in appropriate areas where the visual and physical impacts are either avoided or appropriately mitigated; for example, through the use of indigenous planting that is found within the Pūkaki Ecological District.

11. POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS ARISING FROM SOLAR FARMS

The following sections identify the key actual and potential adverse effects associated with large-scale solar farm development within Te Manahuna from a mana whenua perspective. These effects are interconnected and cumulative in nature, reflecting the relationship between cultural values, ecological systems, landscape character, and wai. While discussed under separate headings for ease of assessment, these matters are viewed holistically through a ki uta ki tai lens.

11.1 Loss of Connection to Whenua

The Kāi Tahu association with the Waitaki Catchment and Te Manahuna extends back to the earliest human habitation of Te Wai Pounamu. The Waitaki River (now Te Ao Mārama / Lake Benmore), along with the significant landforms of Te Manahuna including the Benmore Range, represent ancestral landscapes from which Kāi Tahu descend. These mountains, lakes, rivers, and valleys are fundamental to Kāi Tahu identity as an iwi.

This relationship is formally recognised through the Statutory Acknowledgement for Te Ao Mārama (Lake Benmore) under the NTCSA, which affirms the cultural, spiritual, historic, and traditional associations of Kāi Tahu Whānui with the lake and its wider catchment. Te Ao Mārama overlays the original path of the Waitaki River, an ancestral pathway linking Aoraki to the sea, and remains central to Kāi Tahu identity. The lake also inundated areas of historical importance, including nohoanga, wāhi tapu, urupā, and rock art sites, many of which now lie beneath its waters.

Prior to European settlement, Kāi Tahu tūpuna moved extensively through South Canterbury, the Waitaki Valley, and Te Manahuna, undertaking seasonal cycles of mahika kai gathering. Movement

patterns followed the lifecycles of species and were supported by extensive networks of ara tawhito (traditional trails), which enabled access to resources such as weka, kākāpō, kiore, and tuna. These routes also functioned as important social and economic connections and remain a taoka in themselves.

A key example of this interconnected landscape is the kāika mahika kai site known as Kahuika, located at the junction of the Ōhau, Pūkaki, and Takapō Rivers near the southern extent of the project area. This site was strategically used during seasonal harvests, particularly for tuna, and reflects the interdependent nature of the wider catchment. The location of this site is shown in Figure 7 below.




Figure 7: Aerial image illustrating the approximate location of the site and the culturally significant kāika nohoaka and ancient trails.

Colonisation and early European settlement resulted in substantial land loss through mechanisms such as Kemp’s Deed, eroding Kāi Tahu connection to large areas of Te Manahuna and disrupting access to mahika kai and seasonal resources. The introduction of regional and district boundaries that did not align with iwi and hapū takiwā further compounded this issue, undermining traditional ki uta ki tai resource management systems.

The taking of land from mana whenua (‘te tango whenua’) has had profound and ongoing impacts on the economic, social, and cultural wellbeing of Kāi Tahu, and has constrained access to land and waterways reducing the ability to pass mātauraka across generations.

Further impacts occurred between 1920 and 1970 with the development of the Waitaki hydroelectric scheme. The construction of multiple power stations, lakes, and canal systems transformed the landscape and further reduced access to traditional hunting areas and mahika kai resources. The

creation of hydro lakes also resulted in the loss or inundation of numerous culturally significant sites, including nohoaka, urupā, wāhi tapu, wāhi taoka, and Māori rock art.

More recently, the expansion of irrigation, intensification of farming, and land use conversion within Te Manahuna have further restricted access to culturally significant sites, many of which remain on private land and are therefore dependent on landowner cooperation for access.

Within this context of cumulative and ongoing land use pressures, Kāi Tahu are concerned that the establishment of large-scale solar farms (including the Nova Twizel Solar Farm) will further erode connection to ancestral landscapes. In particular, the scale and nature of the proposed development have the potential to constrain access to key areas, including identified kāika nohoaka along the Ōhau River, which are intended to support mahika kai practices and intergenerational transfer of mātauraka.

Nohoaka are not simply access points, but places from which Kāi Tahu Whānui can experience the landscape as their tūpuna did, maintain cultural practices, and pass on mātauraka to future generations. Any constraints on access or changes to surrounding environments risk undermining their purpose and effectiveness.

In addition to physical access, the perception and experience of the landscape is critical. For Kāi Tahu, landscapes are inseparable from their cultural and ancestral associations. Changes to landscape character, including the introduction of large-scale infrastructure, therefore have implications not only for visual amenity but for cultural identity and whakapapa connections.

Visual and experiential landscape values are therefore a core component of cultural connection to Te Manahuna. The ability to experience the openness, scale, and ancestral qualities of the landscape is integral to maintaining that connection. Earlier iterations of the proposal considered landscape 'screen plantings' to reduce the visibility of the solar farm from public viewpoints. However, this approach has not been progressed due to potential adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity and ecological values. The visual effects of the Twizel Solar Farm therefore remain largely unaddressed. In this context, mana whenua encourages further consideration of alternative landscape design responses.

The Haldon Solar Farm proposal is understood to be considering similar measures, including landscape design options to reduce visibility from public roads while avoiding adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. This may provide a useful example of how design responses can better balance ecological constraints with the need to maintain cultural connections to the landscape.

Active participation in restoration projects and the regeneration of mahika kai habitats, provides opportunities for mana whenua to maintain a hands-on relationship with the whenua, rekindle connections, and pass on mātauraka.

11.2 Cumulative Effects

Cumulative development pressures within Te Manahuna will further erode Kāi Tahu connection to the whenua (as described in section 11.1), including through the scale and concentration of solar farm development across the landscape.

There are multiple solar farms proposed for Te Manahuna either under the Resource Management Act 1991 or through the Fast Track process, as outlined in Appendix 2. These solar farms will occupy an area of approximately 6,117.36 ha within Te Manahuna.

Kāi Tahu are currently aware of nine large-scale solar farm developments that are currently proposed in Te Manahuna, as illustrated in Figures 8 and 9 below.




Figure 8: Aerial map illustrating the location of the nine proposed solar farms within Te Manahuna.
Source: Canterbury Maps




Figure 9: Closer aerial photo of the proposed solar farms located within the southern portion of Te Manahuna.
Source: Canterbury Maps

Key:

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Lodestone Energy, Haldon Solar | 5. Simpsons, Balmoral Station |
| 2. Far North Solar, The Point Solar Farm | 6. Far North Solar, Ōhau A |
| 3. Nova Energy, Twizel Solar Farm | 7. Douglas McIntyre, Twizel Solar |
| 4. Helios Energy, Grampians Solar | 8. Contact Energy, Irishman Creek Station |
| | 9. Kakariki Renewables, Grays Hill Station |

The cumulative scale of the proposed solar farm development along the northern side of the Ōhau River and the top portion of Lake Benmore is evident. The proposed solar farms and their extensive security fencing effectively create a physical barrier, preventing mana whenua from accessing their historical nohoaka and mahika kai sites, and cumulatively impacting on the values of this wāhi tūpuna landscape. In combination with other existing barriers, including the Ōhau Canal, the Nova Twizel Solar Farm acts as a further barrier preventing mana whenua from accessing their cultural site and mahika kai resources.

Kāi Tahu acknowledge that the distinctive natural landscape and biodiversity values of Te Manahuna have been permanently modified through the creation of the Waitaki hydro scheme, expansion of irrigation, and intensified agriculture, which have altered the baseline for future development. However, the Nova application introduces further large-scale industrial development into Te Manahuna, in combination with the other proposed solar farm developments.

In addition to direct land-use change, solar farm developments may result in changes to soil moisture, evaporation rates, and localised microclimatic conditions by creating heat island effects. These changes can influence ecological systems, including potential increases in surface water temperatures, effects on aquatic ecosystems, and the fragmentation of surface and groundwater interactions. Such changes may also affect indigenous fauna, including aquatic insects and waterbirds, some of which may be attracted to or interact with the solar farm's infrastructure. Given the presence of significant and endangered taoka species within and surrounding the site, these potential effects require careful assessment and appropriate management through the substantive application process.

11.3 Biodiversity

Changes to biodiversity values contribute to the erosion of cultural connection by reducing the availability of mahika kai resources and diminishing ecological relationships that underpin Kāi Tahu identity (as described in section 3 of this report).

The Twizel Solar project site has been subjected to varying degrees of agricultural development over a long period of time. Despite the modified condition of the site, it retains biodiversity values that need to be appropriately understood, protected and where possible, enhanced.

The Wildlands Report notes that the proposed solar farm site is located within the Pūkaki Ecological District, which is characterised by dry outwash plains between Lakes Tekapo and Benmore, predominantly below 600 metres above sea level.¹² The climate is semi-arid to sub-humid, with cold winters, warm summers, and annual rainfall of approximately 600–1,600 mm. While pasture dominates much of the Ecological District, areas of tussock land and indigenous scrub (including matagouri, coprosma, kōwhai, and corokia) remain. Grazing pressures from sheep and rabbits have significantly influenced vegetation patterns across the landscape.

Notwithstanding this level of modification, the wider environment, particularly braided river systems continue to provide important habitat for a range of bird species, as well as notable rare invertebrate species.¹³

Wildlands concludes that all vegetation and habitat types assessed, (except for sweet brier shrubland) meet criteria for ecological significance, primarily due to their function as habitat for Threatened and At-Risk indigenous fauna. While exotic-dominated grassland systems (such as browntop–sweet

¹² Wildlands (March 2026), provided by Nova on 30 April 2026.

¹³ Wildlands (March 2026), p.16.

vernal–clover pasture) are highly modified and contain limited indigenous vegetation, their structure provides important habitat for dryland fauna where indigenous habitat is limited.

These habitats support species such as minute grasshopper and McCann’s skink and provide potential breeding habitat for Tōrea / South Island pied oystercatcher. It is also possible that kakī / black stilt may utilise pasture areas for foraging following rainfall events.

Wildlands further identifies that 13 of the 15 vegetation and habitat types assessed meet criteria for rarity and distinctiveness, with Threatened or At-Risk vascular plant and indigenous fauna species present.¹⁴ Ephemeral wetland habitats also meet rarity criteria, while alder- and willow-dominated wetlands are assessed as significant.

A range of additional indigenous vegetation types, including flood channel shrubland, tall fescue marsh, scarp herbfield and grassland, wildling conifer/scarp herbfield and grassland, old river terrace systems, and sweet vernal–mouse-ear hawkweed communities, are also identified as ecologically significant due to their ecological context, including their role in providing habitat and connectivity across the site.

Overall, indigenous vegetation within the site is considered to have high ecological value, due to its limited extent locally and the presence of Threatened and At-Risk species.

While the project design has been refined to avoid areas of higher-density indigenous vegetation, the introduction of large-scale solar infrastructure will result physical and ecological changes, including shading across parts of the site, which is likely to influence vegetation patterns and habitat function over time.

The proposal also includes sheep grazing beneath and between solar arrays. It is understood that the site is not currently well suited to grazing and the introduction of pastoral use in this manner is likely to further modify existing ecological characteristics and habitat values.

The wider Te Manahuna environment has already experienced significant biodiversity losses resulting from the expansion of irrigation, intensification of agriculture, and long-term land use change. Within this context, further reduction or modification of remaining biodiversity values through large-scale solar development is a key concern for mana whenua.

From a Kāi Tahu perspective, the retention of existing biodiversity values within the Twizel Solar Farm site, and the implementation of integrated restoration and rehabilitation approaches, are considered important to support the ongoing relationship between Kāi Tahu and the whenua and to address cumulative biodiversity loss across Te Manahuna.

11.4 Avifauna

Effects on avifauna, including threatened and taoka species, further contribute to the degradation of ecological and cultural values associated with Te Manahuna.

The special association that Kāi Tahu have with taonga species, including bird species within the Kāi Tahu takiwā, is formally acknowledged by the Crown in the NTCSA, with lists of taonga species contained in Schedules 97 and 98.

The Wildlands desktop assessment concludes that nine threatened or at-risk species are recorded in the vicinity of the site, including several taoka species such as the Nationally Critical kakī / black stilt

¹⁴ Wildlands (March 2026), p.51

(*Himantopus novaezelandiae*), kotuku / white heron (*Ardea alba modesta*), and the Nationally Endangered tarapirohe / black-fronted tern (*Chlidonias albobriatus*).¹⁵

The application site adjoins the Kakī / Black Stilt Captive Breeding Centre (Old Iron Bridge Road), managed by the Department of Conservation. Kakī were formerly widespread throughout New Zealand, but their range contracted significantly during the 20th century. Breeding is now largely confined to the Mackenzie Basin, between the Lake Tekapo and Lake Pūkaki basins in the north and the Ahuriri River in the south.

Kakī inhabit braided riverbeds, side streams, swamps, tarns, and lake margins, and may also utilise irrigated paddocks where suitable feeding conditions are present. While most riverine bird species migrate to coastal areas in winter, kakī are known to remain within the Mackenzie Basin even under harsh seasonal conditions.

Given the presence of taoka species, including nationally critical and nationally endangered species in close proximity to the site, and the ecological significance of the wider braided river system, a robust understanding of species-specific risk is required to appropriately assess potential effects arising from the proposed development, particularly in relation to collision risk.

It is understood that much of the avifauna survey work undertaken to inform the proposal was completed during the referral stage of the Fast-track process between 2022 and 2024, with no substantial further seasonal survey work currently proposed. Given the scale of the proposed development, the dynamic nature of braided river ecosystems, and the presence of nationally critical and nationally endangered species within the wider environment, mana whenua question whether the existing information base is sufficiently robust to fully characterise seasonal and long-term avifauna use of the site and surrounding landscape.

This is of particular concern given that the proposed management framework relies heavily on adaptive management responses once the solar farm is established. The potentially limited nature of the existing avifauna information base raises concerns regarding the extent and significance of potential effects and the ability to proactively avoid or mitigate adverse impacts on taoka species.

While a draft avifauna management plan and some survey information (prepared during the referral process) have been provided, there remains limited detail on species-specific risk and the proposed adaptive management framework. Although a range of potential mitigation measures have been identified, these are presented as a “toolbox” of options, with limited clarity regarding how and when they would be implemented, or their likely effectiveness.

As currently presented, the proposed management framework does not provide sufficient certainty that adverse effects on avifauna can be appropriately avoided, remedied, or mitigated.

A species-specific avifauna management plan developed in consultation with mana whenua and relevant ecological experts (including DOC) should be developed. This should include a bird collision monitoring programme supported by clearly defined mortality thresholds or trigger levels that would initiate timely and effective management responses.

However, based on the information currently available, there remains uncertainty regarding:

- the detail and robustness of monitoring methodologies;
- the adequacy and currency of the existing avifauna survey information;
- the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation approach; and

¹⁵ Wildlands (2026) *Assessment of Potential Ecological Effects of the Proposed Nova Energy Solar Farm near Twizel*

- the extent to which management responses would achieve positive outcomes for affected species.

This level of uncertainty is of significant concern to mana whenua, particularly given their involvement in the kakī recovery programme and the taoka species status of these birds (as recognised under the NTCSA).

11.5 Wai / Water

The way in which mana whenua understand and relate to wai (water) is culturally distinct. Wai is a living taoka and is central to the identity, wellbeing, and cultural continuity of Kāi Tahu Whānui. It is recognised as a sacred resource, carrying both physical and spiritual significance, and symbolising the connection between past, present, and future generations.

Despite the erosion of some customary practices through historical land use change and colonisation, wai remains integral to the cultural life of mana whenua. The health and mauri of water bodies continue to be a primary concern and focus for mana whenua.

Water catchments within Te Manahuna were central to the survival and wellbeing of tūpuna living in the rohe. Awa such as the Ōhau, Twizel, Pūkaki, and Takapō Rivers provided natural movement corridors through the landscape and enabled access to inland resources and mahika kai sites. The wider catchment operated as an interconnected system supporting seasonal occupation, resource gathering, and cultural practice.

However, changes in land use, increased demand for irrigation, and broader climatic shifts, including reduced snowmelt and altered hydrological patterns, have placed increasing pressure on water systems within the rohe. These changes contribute to reduced lake and river levels, increased water temperatures, and associated ecological effects, including conditions that can support algal bloom formation where nutrient levels are elevated.

For mana whenua, the degradation of wai directly affects the ability to exercise customary practices, particularly mahika kai, and weakens the intergenerational transmission of mātauraka. Fragmentation of access to healthy water systems also affects the ability of kaitiaki to pass knowledge to mokopuna in the way it was historically received.

For mana whenua, degradation of wai cannot be separated from degradation of the wider cultural landscape, as the health of waterways is intrinsically linked to the health of the whenua and the ability to sustain mahika kai practices.

Maintaining and enhancing the life-supporting capacity of wai therefore remains central to the relationship between Kāi Tahu Whānui and Te Manahuna. The protection of water quality, quantity, and ecological function is intrinsically linked to cultural wellbeing, identity, and the ongoing expression of kaitiakitaka.

Within this context, further land use change and cumulative development pressures within the catchment have the potential to exacerbate existing stressors on water systems and further erode cultural and ecological values associated with wai.

Potential effects associated with stormwater management, groundwater interactions, erosion and sediment control, and wider catchment modification are therefore matters of ongoing concern for mana whenua.

12. MANAGEMENT OF ADVERSE EFFECTS

12.1 Introduction

During the initial site visit undertaken on 6 November 2024, representatives of Nova and the Rūnaka discussed a range of opportunities to support ongoing cultural and environmental outcomes associated with the proposed solar farm development.

Since that time, engagement between mana whenua and solar farm developers within Te Manahuna has evolved significantly, including broad agreement to establish Kaitiaki Governance Groups (KGG) to facilitate ongoing kanohi ki te kanohi engagement at a mana ki te mana level throughout the life of solar farm projects, alongside the development of Strategic Cultural Programmes (SCP) to support ongoing mana whenua involvement in environmental management and project implementation.

Through these processes, mana whenua expectations have shifted beyond stand-alone monitoring initiatives toward a broader and more integrated approach to environmental management, restoration, cultural participation, and long-term relationship building.

Mana whenua considers that ongoing involvement throughout the life of the Twizel Solar Farm project is important to ensure that their values, mātauraka Māori, and kaitiakitaka continue to inform project implementation, environmental management, monitoring, and adaptive responses over time.

12.1.1 Restoration and Enhancement Opportunities

During engagement, mana whenua broadly expressed support for restoration and enhancement initiatives within and surrounding the project site. This includes undertaking landscape planting along parts of the site boundary (to partially screen views of the site), while recognising the need to avoid adverse effects on existing indigenous biodiversity values.

Mana whenua however, note that restoration initiatives should extend beyond landscape treatment alone and include opportunities to support wider ecological restoration outcomes, including enhancement of mahika kai habitats, pest management initiatives, and ecological rehabilitation within Te Manahuna.

In this regard, it is acknowledged that Nova proposes to avoid identified wetland areas and establish invertebrate habitat enhancement areas. Mana whenua anticipates receiving further details regarding these enhancement initiatives in due course.

It is also noted that no specific mitigation response is currently proposed in relation to potential impacts on avifauna habitat. As such, there may be further opportunities to explore additional enhancement or restoration initiatives.

Where appropriate, restoration approaches should be developed collaboratively with mana whenua, ecological experts, and relevant agencies such as the Department of Conservation. Through discussions with Nova, it is understood that there is support for this ongoing involvement.

12.1.2 Mātauraka Māori and Environmental Monitoring

Mana whenua considers that mātauraka Māori should form part of the ongoing environmental management approach for the project. This includes recognition of the relationship between environmental health and the mauri of the wider landscape and catchment.

Potential areas of ongoing monitoring identified by mana whenua include:

- soil health and contamination monitoring;

- ecological health and habitat condition monitoring;
- lizard and skink population monitoring;
- avifauna monitoring; and
- water quality monitoring.

Mana whenua consider that monitoring should not be viewed solely as a technical exercise, but also as a means of understanding how the mauri of the environment is responding over time to management approaches.

Where degradation of mauri occurs, this may indicate broader ecological and cultural decline within the landscape. Conversely, positive ecological outcomes and the flourishing of taoka species may indicate that restoration and management approaches are functioning effectively.

12.2 Loss of Connection to Whenua

In response to the combined effects of the Twizel Solar Farm, mana whenua has sought to establish mechanisms to support an enduring and active relationship with the consent holder. This includes the establishment of a Kaitiaki Governance Group (KGG) and a Strategic Cultural Programme (SCP).

The KGG provides a formal and ongoing forum for engagement throughout the life of the project, enabling mana whenua to exercise kaitiakitaka and contribute mātauraka Māori to environmental management and decision-making.

The SCP provides a structured and adaptive work programme through which cultural, ecological, and landscape initiatives can be developed and implemented. This includes monitoring, restoration, enhancement, cultural induction, and other initiatives agreed through the KGG.

Together, these mechanisms provide a pathway that supports an ongoing relationship with the whenua and opportunities for mana whenua participation in the ongoing management of the site and surrounding environment.

At a broader level, the health of the environment and the wellbeing of Kāi Tahu Whānui are intrinsically linked. Continued erosion of connection to ancestral landscapes risks compounding historical impacts and weakening the ability to sustain cultural identity, practices, and knowledge over time.

The erosion of connection to whenua described above arises through a range of interrelated factors, including cumulative landscape change, loss of biodiversity, and changes to wai. These matters are further addressed in the following sections.

12.3 Cumulative Effects

In response to the cumulative and ongoing effects of solar farms, mana whenua has sought to establish enduring relationships with solar farm developers within Te Manahuna through the creation of Kaitiaki Governance Groups (KGG) and the development of Strategic Cultural Programmes (SCP).

Together, these mechanisms are intended to support a coordinated, adaptive approach to managing effects across the landscape over time, while enabling mana whenua to participate in monitoring, restoration, and decision-making.

Mana whenua has also expressed interest in more integrated environmental management across the three solar farm projects currently progressing under the FTAA process. This would better reflect a ki uta ki tai approach and support more effective management of cumulative effects on ecological systems and cultural values.

12.4 Biodiversity

In response to the biodiversity values and potential effects identified above, mana whenua seeks to ensure that ecological management approaches adopted for the Twizel Solar Farm are robust, integrated, and capable of responding to change over time.

This includes a strong emphasis on:

- the retention and protection of existing indigenous biodiversity values, particularly where these support taoka species and mahika kai;
- restoration and enhancement of degraded habitats, including opportunities to improve ecological connectivity across the site and wider landscape; and
- incorporation of mātauraka Māori into ecological management, monitoring, and decision-making processes.

Mana whenua consider that the establishment of a Kaitiaki Governance Group (KGG) and the development of a Strategic Cultural Programme (SCP) provide important mechanisms to support these outcomes. Through these mechanisms, mana whenua can actively participate in ecological monitoring, restoration initiatives, and the ongoing refinement of management responses over the life of the project.

12.5 Avifauna

In response to avifauna effects, mana whenua seeks development of a robust, species-specific management framework in partnership with ecological experts and relevant agencies, including DOC.

This management framework should include:

- updated and ongoing avifauna survey work to improve understanding of seasonal and long-term species use of the site and surrounding environment;
- clearly defined monitoring methodologies and collision risk assessment processes;
- measurable mortality thresholds or trigger levels linked to specific management responses; and
- ongoing review and refinement of management approaches as further information becomes available over the life of the project.

A precautionary approach is considered necessary given the presence of taoka species, the proximity of the Twizel Solar Farm site to breeding and recovery programmes, and the uncertainty that currently remains regarding the scale and significance of potential effects.

Clear implementation pathways, monitoring requirements, and adaptive management responses are required to provide greater confidence in long-term ecological outcomes for avifauna within Te Manahuna.

12.6 Wai / Water

Mana whenua supports an integrated and precautionary approach to the management of wai that recognises the interconnected nature of water systems across Te Manahuna. In practice, to maintain the mauri of waterways, this includes:

- avoiding adverse effects on water quality and hydrological processes where practicable;
- ensuring robust stormwater, erosion, and sediment control measures are implemented and monitored; and
- enabling ongoing mana whenua involvement in water-related management and monitoring through the Kaitiaki Governance Group and associated management processes.

From a mana whenua perspective, protection of wai is fundamental to sustaining ecological health, mahika kai practices, and the ongoing relationship between Kāi Tahu Whānui and the wider landscape.

12.7 Limitations and Dependencies of Proposed Responses

A range of responses and management approaches have been identified in the above sections, including Kaitiaki Governance Groups (KGG), Strategic Cultural Programmes (SCP), ecological management frameworks, and monitoring programmes. While these mechanisms provide important opportunities for engagement, participation, restoration, and adaptive management, their effectiveness is dependent on several key factors, including:

- consistent implementation across multiple projects and associated consents/approvals;
- access to robust ecological, hydrological, cultural, and monitoring information to inform decision-making;
- the inclusion of clear, enforceable, and appropriately resourced consent conditions;
- ongoing commitment and participation from consent holders, mana whenua, and relevant agencies over the life of the projects; and
- the ability of adaptive management approaches to respond effectively to cumulative and long-term environmental change.

Accordingly, while these mechanisms provide opportunities to support the management of effects and strengthen mana whenua involvement in environmental management, they may not fully address the cumulative erosion of cultural, ecological, and landscape values occurring within Te Manahuna.

13. CONCLUSION

*Mō tātou, ā, mō kā uri a muri ake nei.
For us and for our children after us*

Kāi Tahu still grieve the loss of their traditional connection to Te Manahuna and the erosion of the values of this significant wāhi tūpuna landscape. The construction of hydro lakes and canals has resulted in the loss of large, braided rivers and significant biodiversity losses including the loss of habitats for indigenous plant, bird and fish species. The expansion of irrigation and intensification of agriculture has further degraded the values of Te Manahuna. The slow destruction of Te Manahuna is seen by mana whenua as “a death by a thousand cuts”.

Mana whenua is concerned that the proposed solar farm developments will further erode the ability of mana whenua to exercise kaitiakitaka and rangatirataka, and to pass on mātauraka to the next generation.

Ongoing engagement with Nova through the Kaitiaki Governance Group (KGG) and Strategic Cultural Work Programme will ensure that restoration initiatives, monitoring programmes, and adaptive management responses remain responsive over the life of the Twizel Solar Farm project. This includes enabling mana whenua participation in:

- ecological restoration initiatives;
- monitoring and management activities;
- review of environmental outcomes; and
- development of adaptive responses where adverse effects are identified.

Mana whenua also considers that, over time, there may be opportunities to explore more integrated management approaches across solar farm developments within Te Manahuna, particularly where projects are located in close proximity to one another, as is the case with the three projects currently being progressed under the FTAA.

APPENDIX 1: MANA WHENUA PROPOSED CONDITIONS

Kaitiaki Governance Group (KGG)

KGG1 – Establishment

At least three (3) months prior to the commencement of physical works, the Consent Holder shall invite Mana Whenua to establish the Kaitiaki Governance Group in relation to the Solar Farm.

The invitation shall seek direction on the makeup of the KGG, frequency of hui and group composition.

Advice Note: Mana whenua status is to be determined by Kā Rūnaka, which comprises Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua, Te Rūnanga o Waihao, and Te Rūnanga o Moeraki.

KGG2- Membership

The Kaitiaki Governance Group shall comprise:

- a) up to three (3) representatives of the Consent Holder; and
- b) up to three (3) representatives appointed by Mana Whenua who elect to participate in the Kaitiaki Governance Group.

When and if required, representatives from the Canterbury Regional Council, Mackenzie District Council, the Department of Conservation, and/or the Landowners, may be invited to attend Kaitiaki Governance Group meetings by agreement of the Kaitiaki Governance Group.

KGG3 – Purpose

The purpose of the Kaitiaki Governance Group includes:

- a) facilitating ongoing engagement and communication between the Consent Holder and Mana Whenua;
- b) supporting the preparation and overseeing the implementation of the Strategic Cultural Programme as described in Condition X below;
- c) enabling discussions between the Consent Holder and Mana Whenua on the effectiveness of the measures implemented by the Consent Holder to manage impacts on mana whenua values;
- d) providing feedback to the Consent Holder on the required management plans;
- e) providing a forum for mana whenua to share Mātauranga Māori and cultural perspectives relevant to the Project site and the surrounding environment;
- f) providing access to the site for the purposes of mahika kai harvest and other customary practices; and
- g) Identifying initiatives that recognise and provide for Mana Whenua values associated with the taiao.

Advice Note: Initiatives may include, but are not limited to, interpretive signage, pouwhenua, and/or artworks in locations agreed between the Consent Holder and Mana Whenua.

KGG4 – Meetings

The Consent Holder must invite the Kaitiaki Governance Group to meet at least quarterly during construction of the Project.

Following construction, the Kaitiaki Governance Group must meet at an agreed frequency, with at least one meeting held each calendar year.

KGG5- Record-Keeping

The Consent Holder must maintain a record of matters raised through the KGG and the Consent Holder's response to those matters. Such records must be made available to Mackenzie District Council on request.

KGG6- Information and Feedback

The Consent Holder must:

- a) Provide the Kaitiaki Governance Group with up-to-date information relevant to the design, construction, operation, and decommissioning of the Solar Farm;
- b) Seek advice from the Kaitiaki Governance Group on the draft management plans required by the conditions of this consent, at least twenty working days prior to their submission for certification; and
- c) Any advice provided by the Kaitiaki Governance Group (including advice from Aoraki Environmental Consultancy and/or Aukaha) on the draft management plans must be recorded and appended to the relevant management plan. The Consent Holder must record a response to each comment, describing how the advice has been adopted in the management plan. Where advice provided by the Kaitiaki Governance Group has not been adopted, the reasons for not incorporating the advice must be recorded.

Strategic Cultural Programme (Work Programme)

SCP1 – Preparation

Prior to the commencement of physical works on site, a Strategic Cultural Programme must be prepared by the Kaitiaki Governance Group and provided to Mackenzie District Council for information. The Strategic Cultural Programme must be implemented for the duration of the Solar Farm.

SCP2 – Purpose

The Strategic Cultural Programme shall:

- a) Be a programme of works that integrates project outcomes and initiatives contemplated by these resource consents to promote the mana of the taiao and the people;
- b) Recognise and provide for Mana Whenua values of the area affected by the activities authorised by these resource consents and support the management of the impacts on those values through the implementation of monitoring, restoration and enhancement measures; and
- c) Integrate mātauranga Māori into environmental management associated with the Solar Farm.

SCP3 – Content

The Strategic Cultural Programme, shall include:

- a) Participation of Mana Whenua in the monitoring, mitigation, restoration, and/or enhancement activities for the project site and other affected areas associated with the Solar Farm, as required by the conditions of these resource consents;
- b) Mechanisms to incorporate mātauranga Māori into environmental management practices associated with the Solar Farm;
- c) Cultural awareness or induction initiatives for project staff and contractors;
- d) Processes to identify and respond to matters affecting mana whenua values, including the health and wellbeing of the taiao, that may arise during construction or operation of the Project; and
- e) Processes for periodic review of the programme to enable adaptation over time.

SCP4 – Participation / Opt-Out

- a) If Mana Whenua representatives invited to participate in the Kaitiaki Governance Group advise the Consent Holder that they do not wish to participate in the preparation or implementation of the Strategic Cultural Programme, the Consent Holder will not be required to comply with Conditions SCP1–SCP3.
- b) For the avoidance of doubt, the Consent Holder must still demonstrate that reasonable steps have been taken to invite mana whenua to participate in accordance with Condition KGG1.

SCP5 – Resourcing

In the event no separate agreement is in place between the Consent Holder mana whenua in relation to these resource consents, the Consent Holder shall meet the costs of establishing, resourcing, and paying (on an ongoing basis for the duration of this consent, where applicable) for any of the roles and functions of Mana Whenua in conditions KGG1-KGG6 and SCP1-SCP4.

SCP6-Access

The Consent Holder must, subject to any relevant health and safety requirements, ensure that Mana Whenua representatives have access to all relevant parts of the Project site to carry out any of the roles and functions of Mana Whenua in conditions KGG1-KGG6 and SCP1-SCP4.

APPENDIX 2: TE MANAHUNA SOLAR FARMS

Kāi Tahu are aware of nine solar farm developments that are proposed in Te Manahuna. The proposed solar farms that are to be considered through the Fast Track process or through the RMA process are identified in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Solar Farms Proposed for Te Manahuna.

Number on Aerial	Project Name	Solar Farm Size	Land Area	Colour on Map	Process – Fast Track / RMA
1	Lodestone Energy– Haldon Solar	180 MW	320 ha	Orange	Fast Track (listed)
2	Far North Solar Farm – The Point Solar Farm	450 MW	670 ha	Yellow	Fast Track (listed)
3	Nova Energy– Twizel Solar Project	300 MW	868 ha	Purple	Fast Track (referral)
4	Helios Energy – Grampians Solar Project	300 MW	574 ha	Aqua	Fast Track (referral)
5	Simpsons – Balmoral Station Solar Array	88 MW	113 ha	Dark Purple	Fast Track (listed)
6	Far North Solar Farm – Ōhau A (area has been defined)	280 MW	281 ha	Blue/Grey	Undetermined
7	Douglas McIntyre – Twizel Solar	280 MW	791.36 ha	Orange	RMA – Consents have been lodged with Waitaki DC and Environment Canterbury
8	Contact (previously Energy – Manawa Energy – Irishman Creek Station (extent is currently unknown)	220 MW	500 ha	Green	Undetermined
9	Kakariki Renewables – Grays Hills Staton	1,800 MW	2,150 ha	Grey	Undetermined

APPENDIX 3: RECORD OF CONSULTATION

Date/ Consultation Type/ Purpose	Location (if applicable)	Attendees	Key Matters Discussed/ Outcomes
4 November 2025 – In-person meeting Purpose: To discuss a potential way forward following Nova’s review of feedback on the Fast Track Referral Application.	Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu Office, 15 Show Place, Christchurch	Karl Russell, Kylie Hall and Ally Crane (AECL) Lisa MacKenzie (TRoNT) Bob Warnock and Melany Hunt (Nova Energy representatives)	• Environmental protection and mitigation – Rūnaka emphasised the need for targeted mitigation measures addressing threatened species, soil health, water quality, and cultural values, to be reflected in the application, management plans, and consent conditions. • Threatened species – Project design should prioritise avoidance, with mitigation and management where effects cannot be avoided. Nova advised that ecological work to date has focused on avoiding effects through design. • Soil and water quality – Nova confirmed a commitment to maintaining soil and water quality, including proposed consent conditions for monitoring and sampling. • Lizard and skink management – Surveys have been undertaken and draft management plans prepared. • Eco-sourcing and mitigation planting – Rūnaka recommended eco-sourcing native plant species to improve establishment success. Engagement with the Arowhenua nursery would be undertaken via AECL. • Consent conditions – Outcomes should be clearly articulated and secured through robust consent conditions. • Landscape and cultural values – Rūnaka highlighted the importance of protecting cultural landscapes, including connections between ancestral trails and waterways. • Cultural effects and engagement – The importance of developing cultural narratives was noted, along with challenges associated with fragmented engagement. The project was identified as an opportunity to establish a benchmark for similar developments. • Cumulative effects – Acknowledged as complex but requiring appropriate consideration. Outcome: Further hui will be held with Moeraki and Waihao Rūnaka to ensure a consistent understanding of

the project and to convey initial feedback. A full Rūnaka hui with Nova will be arranged following these discussions.

12 November 2025- Online meeting (MS Teams)	N/A	Trevor McGlinchey (Moeraki)	• Nova provided a progress update on the development of the solar farm application. • Project lifecycle – Confirmed to be at least 30 years. • Environmental management – Overview of draft mitigation strategies, including soil and water quality and threatened species management. • Cultural effects – Matters raised at the previous hui were discussed. • Long-term outcomes and opportunities – Employment, local business opportunities, and environmental enhancement through ongoing collaboration and monitoring were discussed. • Consent conditions – It was noted that, where possible, consensus should be reached prior to lodgement, with feedback incorporated into proposed conditions.
Purpose: To discuss a potential way forward following Nova’s review of feedback on the Fast Track Referral Application.		Tim Vial (Aukaha)	
		Amy Beran (TRoNT)	
		Bob Warnock and Melany Hunt (Nova Energy)	
Outcome: Dates and format for the next hui involving Rūnaka, Nova, and TRoNT will be confirmed and scheduled prior to the Christmas break.			
10 December 2025- In person meeting (12:30pm-3pm)	Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu Office, 15 Show Place, Christchurch	Trevor McGlinchey (Moeraki)	• Project effects and opportunities – Rūnaka outlined concerns and opportunities, including potential adverse impacts on fauna, flora, soils, visual amenity, access to landscape, nohoaka, and cumulative effects. • Positive aspects – Clean energy generation, reduced land-use intensity compared to pastoral farming, economic opportunities, and environmental enhancement potential were identified. • Application progress – Nova advised that draft management plans (including invertebrates, biosecurity, avifauna, and lizards) were underway but not yet ready for circulation. • Agency engagement – Ongoing discussions with DOC were noted. • Programme – Lodgement of the substantive application anticipated in Q2 2026. • Pest management – Nova confirmed funding for wilding pine control provided to DOC.
Purpose: For Mana Whenua to collectively engage with Nova to better understand the project and opportunities for involvement prior to submission of the substantive application, and to further discuss Mana Whenua perspectives and concerns.		Tim Vial (Aukaha)	
		Amy Beran and Deidre Francis (TRoNT)	
		Karl Russel, Kylie Hall and Ally Crane (AECL)	
		Bob Warnock and Melany Hunt (Nova Energy representatives)	

			- Investment opportunities – Rūnaka expressed interest in potential involvement. - Ongoing engagement – Rūnaka acknowledged and supported continued engagement as the project progresses. Outcome- Agreement to continue engagement as further information becomes available and as Nova responds to feedback provided by Rūnaka.
10 February 2026- In person meeting Purpose: To provide an update on progress with the substantive application and to further discussions on establishing a long-term working relationship.	Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu Office, 15 Show Place, Christchurch	Trevor McGlinchey (Moeraki) Tewera King (Waihao) Tim Vial (Aukaha) Amy Beran and Deidre Francis (TRoNT) Karl Russel, Kylie Hall and Ally Crane (AECL) Bob Warnock and Melany Hunt (Nova Energy representatives)	- Application development – Nova is progressing the draft substantive application and aligning it with Fast-track requirements. - Planning context – Consideration of updated national direction, including natural hazards. - Approvals framework – Overview of approvals required for the project. - Cumulative effects – Rūnaka expressed interest in understanding the relationship between multiple solar farm developments. - Governance and involvement – Support expressed for a governance role to enable ongoing Mana Whenua involvement. - Enhancement and mitigation – Interest in participating in planting and input into draft management plans. - Consent conditions – Enhancement measures, including lizard habitat, discussed. - Programme – Lodgement targeted for late April 2026. Outcome: Draft substantive application to be provided for review. Nova will continue to share information as it becomes available, including examples of iwi partnership models. Technical reports will be provided to enable review and potential peer review.
April 2026- Email correspondence		Various correspondence between Nova, Rūnaka (via AECL and Aukaha), and TRoNT	- Nova confirmed anticipated lodgement timing (late April). - Rūnaka sought continued opportunities for input prior to lodgement. - An update to the Mana Whenua Report was recommended to reflect more detailed project information and assessment findings.

			• A formal letter of feedback was provided by Rūnaka and TRoNT, raising concerns regarding potential deviation from the agreed consultation approach. • Nova agreed to delay lodgement to enable further consultation and review of detailed project information.
			Outcome: Lodgement timeframe extended to allow for additional engagement and input from Rūnaka and TRoNT.
5 May 2026- Online meeting (MS Teams) Purpose: For Nova to outline revised timeframes and process for lodgement, and to discuss feedback provided by Rūnaka (including the Mana Whenua Report).	N/A	Tim Vial (Aukaha) Amy Beran (TRoNT) Kylie Hall (AECL) Bob Warnock and Melany Hunt (Nova Energy representatives) BTW Company: Cam Twigley- Director, Planning and Environment Trent Lynch- Planner	• Nova acknowledged timing pressures and delays in providing information and committed to ongoing collaborative engagement. • Rūnaka advised that while the cultural context remains unchanged, an update to the Mana Whenua Report is appropriate given the availability of detailed project information. • Nova confirmed a delay to lodgement to allow further consultation and input. • SASM provisions will be assessed as part of the application. • Further technical reports (including ecological documentation) will be provided. • TRoNT agreed to share draft Mana Whenua-specific consent conditions developed for similar projects.
			Outcome: AECL to commence updating the Mana Whenua Report. Draft conditions to be shared with Nova. Ongoing information sharing to continue, with weekly meetings to be established ahead of the revised lodgement date of 22 May 2026.
12 May 2026 – Online meeting (MS Teams) Purpose: To continue discussion of project information and consider environmental management approaches and proposed consent conditions in further detail.	N/A	Tim Vial (Aukaha) Amy Beran (TRoNT) Kylie Hall (AECL) Bob Warnock and Melany Hunt (Nova Energy representatives) BTW Company:	• Avifauna effects – Detailed discussion on mortality thresholds and triggers for management response. • Monitoring quality – Rūnaka and TRoNT emphasised that robust collision monitoring is critical to establishing appropriate triggers. • Threatened species – Concerns raised regarding impacts on species with low population numbers. • Cumulative effects – Potential combined effects across multiple solar farm developments discussed.

	Cam Twigley- Director, Planning and Environment Trent Lynch- Planner	• Response timeframes – Concern noted regarding delays between trigger events and implementation of management responses. • Offsetting/compensation – Upfront compensation identified as a potential approach to address habitat loss or effects. • Consent conditions – Nova expressed general support for Mana Whenua conditions, with further refinement proposed to improve clarity and enforceability. • SASM – To be addressed within the Mana Whenua Report. Outcome: Nova to provide additional ecological documentation, including an integrated management framework and wildlife permit conditions. Updated draft consent conditions to be circulated. Rūnaka to continue progressing updates to the Mana Whenua Report ahead of the next meeting.
20 May 2026- Online meeting (MS Teams) Purpose: final discussions on any outstanding matters ahead of the revised submission date for the substantive application	-	UPCOMING CONSULATION.