Schedule 1

Table of Responses to Panel Question/Requests: Dated 2nd October

Panel Question	Winstone Response
Safe System Audit Report: Hunua Road Carriageway Upgrade Works Condition 79 subparts (e) to (h) are proposed to be advice notes. As they are currently constructed, the notes do not read as advice notes but as conditions, particularly with reference to the use of 'must'. Please reconsider the wording so they are constructed as advice notes and provide such amendments.	In response to the Panel, Winstone has reworded the Advice Notes attached to Conditions 79 and 80 as follows: **Advice Notes:** 79A…. 79B… 79C: ~~If any agreed mitigation measure(s) require a s~~Separate resource consent or third-party approval~~, it must be the sole responsibility of the Consent Holder to~~ may be required prior to implementing any agreed mitigation measures ~~obtain such consents and/or approvals prior to any Construction works and implementation of such measure(s).~~ 79D: ~~Construction works within the road reserve must occur prior to~~ The complete implementation of agreed recommendations, adopted changes and approval(s) (where required) agreed in the SSA are to be completed prior to commencing construction works within the road reserve. 79E: ~~All w~~Works in the road reserve ~~must~~ are to be carried out in accordance with the general requirements of The National Code of

Panel Question	Winstone Response
	Practice for Utility Operators' Access to Transport Corridors http://nzuag.org.nz/nationalcode/ApprovedNationalCodeFeb13.pdf and Auckland Transports Code of Practice https://at.govt.nz/about-us/auckland-transportcode- of-practice/ Condition 80: The Consent Holder must submit final plans and specifications of the final design of the updated Site access (including a 3D design of the access that demonstrates compliance with the level and gradients in the AUP access standard) in Conditions 78 to 79 to the Council for certification in accordance with Conditions 23 – 27 and 30 - 31, 20 working days prior to the commencement of construction of the access upgrade. Advice Note: 80A: ~~A separate engineering plan approval and vehicle crossing approval which~~ -implementation of the recommendations of the Preliminary Safe System Audit will be subject to separate engineering plan approval and vehicle crossing approval ~~must be obtained from the Council for the detailed design of the vehicle access upgrade, prior to construction of the upgrades commencing.~~
In its drafting changes to the conditions for the Wildlife permit in Schedule 1 of its comments on the draft conditions the applicant seeks a start date of 1 October 2026. In Schedule 2 of its comments, it seeks that the permit commence the date the permit is granted. Which start date does the Applicant prefer?	Winstone confirms that it prefers that the wildlife approval be valid for 10 years from the commencement date of these approvals, as the current Wildlife Act permit expires shortly. The intent was for this WA to replace the existing WA as it addresses lizard salvage and relocations from anywhere within the Hunua Quarry.
Groundwater Settlement Contingency Condition 247 Auckland Council have amended Schedule C to respond to Conditions 222 and 248 as drafted. The Panel seeks confirmation that the changes reflected in Schedule C are accurate.	Winstone confirms its response dated 29th September: - that the changes reflected in Schedule C are accurate. - A possible minor addition is required specifying that the report under Condition 247(c) be provided within 20 working days of any investigation.

Panel Question	Winstone Response
The Applicant asks in Schedule 2 of its comments on the draft conditions for us to include a reference to those activities that are permitted. Can the Applicant to confirm those are as listed in paragraphs [416] and [417] of the AEE.	Winstone confirm that the list of Permitted Activities in the AEE is accurate.
Off-Site Visual Mitigation Planting Condition 147: The Applicant's position is that 24 months of off-site planting maintenance is too onerous and the 12 months volunteered by the Applicant is preferred. We note that this is an Augier condition (albeit that all other changes suggested by the Panel have been accepted). The Panel would have introduced a similar condition with 24 months specified in any event. Given the level of potential adverse visual effect on neighbours, and critically the time until on-site mitigation occurs, ensuring the planting is appropriately established, this period is critical in the Panel's opinion. Therefore, if the Applicant does not accept the 24 months, then the Panel will need to re-consider the on-site mitigation and remediation requirements. The Applicant is requested to provide a revised suggested condition drafting for on-site remediation that is more in line with what the neighbours are seeking for onsite rehabilitation. The Panel will then exercise its discretion to consider which of the conditions to address this issue is more appropriate.	Given the Panel's opinion, Winstone now accepts the proposed 24-month maintenance period in preference to additional or revised conditions addressing onsite rehabilitation. However, in accordance with its response dated 29th September, it seeks to retain the following amendments: Winstone therefore seek a change to Condition 147 and Advice Note 149A as follows for clarity: **Condition 147:** The Consent Holder must maintain the planting for ~~12~~ 24 months after the planting is implemented, or as long as required thereafter, to ensure a minimum 90% plant survival rate… **Advice note:** 149A: Maintenance of mitigation planting after ~~12~~ 24 months from implementation (unless the minimum survival rate has not been achieved) is the responsibility of the landowner, unless an alternative arrangement is mutually agreed in writing between the Consent Holder and the landowner.