

10 November 2025

Jayne Macdonald Homestead Bay Expert Panel Chair

Dear Jayne,

Response to Minute 3 of the Expert Panel - Homestead Bay [FTAA-2506-1071]

This memorandum is in response to Minute 3 of the Expert Panel which directs that expert conferencing be undertaken in relation to the option to collect, treat, and dispose of wastewater via an onsite standalone system.

In paragraph 4 of Minute 3 the Panel requests input on the conferencing proposal as set out in parts (a) – (i) of that paragraph. Otago Regional Council (**ORC**) provides the following input as set out in Table 1 below.

Table 1 ORC input on proposal

Minute 3 proposal	ORC input
a) Expert conferencing be convened focusing on the wastewater system, with the assistance of any additional experts as necessary (for example, planning experts where new or amended conditions may be proposed);	



b)	Conferencing will be limited to experts only;	ORC agrees that it is appropriate to limit the conferencing to wastewater and planning experts.
c)	Conferencing need not be facilitated, given the specificity of the topics and issues to be discussed as listed below;	ORC considers that given the timeframe for response, the conference may benefit from facilitation. This would be particularly relevant if the attendees differ substantially in number or expertise from those listed in the response to paragraph (a) above. In the alternate, if the number of attendees differs substantially from that listed above, ORC requests that the Panel direct who is to be responsible for compiling the joint witness statement.
d)	Conferencing is to occur online, although a hybrid (in-person and online) arrangement may also be suitable;	ORC considers that in-person conferencing, or a hybrid arrangement, is preferable to online conferencing, particularly given the very short timeframe allocated for the preparation of the joint witness statement. Council suggests the following in-person options for consideration: 1. In-person conferencing in Christchurch. ORC understands that LEI are based in Christchurch. A suitable conferencing venue for approximately 8-10 persons can be provided at the SLR offices in Christchurch. A hybrid arrangement could be accommodated from this facility, if some attendees need to appear online. Flight options to Christchurch are generally plentiful. 2. In-person conferencing in Queenstown. The Applicant is based in Queenstown. ORC is not able to suggest a venue. There are generally fewer flight options to and from Queenstown compared with Christchurch.
e)	The scope of conferencing is to be confined to the topics and issues listed below, together with any consequential amendments to or additional conditions;	ORC has no issues with the topics listed in paragraph (i).
f)	At the conclusion of conferencing, experts are to prepare a Joint Witness Statement (JWS) setting out areas of agreement and disagreement (with reasons). The JWS will be provided to the Panel for consideration	Noted.



as part of its deliberations and made	
available to the parties;	
g) The Environment Court Practice Note 2023	Noted.
(Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses) will	
apply to the conferencing process	
(including the preparation of expert	
summaries and the JWS); and	
h) The following timetable is proposed (one	ORC can comply with the suggested timeframe,
half to one full day is considered sufficient):	but notes that preparation of a joint witness
i. Experts to circulate summary	statement within one working day of
statements to other experts by Friday,	completion of the conference is a short
14 November 2025;	timeframe. In-person conferencing and
ii. Conferencing to occur on Tuesday, 18	facilitation of the conference are preferred.
November 2025;	
iii. JWS to be circulated no later than 5.00	
p.m. on Wednesday, 19 November	
2025.	
i) List of topics and issues for conferencing:	Noted.
Treated effluent standards prior to	
discharge to ground for BOD ₅ , TSS, TN, TP,	
and E. coli, including hydraulic application	
rate and mass loading limits;	
Groundwater monitoring piezometers	
upstream and downstream of land disposal	
areas, including monitoring trigger levels	
for further investigation;	
Surface water monitoring and trigger levels	
for further investigation;	
Preparation of a remedial action plan	
where monitoring results exceed specified	
trigger levels;	
Suitability and sufficiency of proposed	
disposal areas for land application,	
including land slope, drainage, and buffer	
requirements; and	
Storage or other management	
requirements for avoiding surface ponding	
and runoff.	

Please advise if you require any further clarification on any matters raised above. Please direct all correspondence to shay.mcdonald@orc.govt.nz and consent.fasttrack@orc.govt.nz.



Shay McDonald

Principal Consents Planner